

Products of idempotent matrices over Prüfer domains

Laura Cossu

based on a joint work with P. Zanardo

Conference on Rings and Factorizations

Graz, February 19-23, 2018



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI PADOVA

The property (ID_n)

The property (ID_n)

The property (ID_n)

An integral domain R satisfies property (ID_n) if any SINGULAR ($\det = 0$) $n \times n$ matrix over R is a product of IDEMPOTENT matrices.

The property (ID_n)

The property (ID_n)

An integral domain R satisfies property (ID_n) if any SINGULAR ($\det = 0$) $n \times n$ matrix over R is a product of IDEMPOTENT matrices.

IDEMPOTENT MATRIX: square matrix \mathbf{M} such that $\mathbf{M}^2 = \mathbf{M}$.

The property (ID_n)

The property (ID_n)

An integral domain R satisfies property (ID_n) if any SINGULAR ($\det = 0$) $n \times n$ matrix over R is a product of IDEMPOTENT matrices.

IDEMPOTENT MATRIX: square matrix \mathbf{M} such that $\mathbf{M}^2 = \mathbf{M}$.

Standard form of a 2×2 non-identity idempotent matrix over R :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & 1-a \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } a(1-a) = bc.$$

Motivations and first results

The problem of characterizing integral domains satisfying property (ID_n) has been considered since the middle of the 1960's:

Motivations and first results

The problem of characterizing integral domains satisfying property (ID_n) has been considered since the middle of the 1960's:



J.A. ERDOS, On products of idempotent matrices, *Glasgow Math. J.*, 8: 118–122, 1967.

- Fields satisfy property (ID_n) for every $n > 0$.

Motivations and first results

The problem of characterizing integral domains satisfying property (ID_n) has been considered since the middle of the 1960's:



J.A. ERDOS, On products of idempotent matrices, *Glasgow Math. J.*, 8: 118–122, 1967.

- Fields satisfy property (ID_n) for every $n > 0$.



T.J. LAFFEY, Products of idempotent matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 14 (4): 309–314, 1983.

- Euclidean domains satisfy property (ID_n) for every $n > 0$.

Motivations and first results

The problem of characterizing integral domains satisfying property (ID_n) has been considered since the middle of the 1960's:



J.A. ERDOS, On products of idempotent matrices, *Glasgow Math. J.*, 8: 118–122, 1967.

- **Fields** satisfy property (ID_n) for every $n > 0$.



T.J. LAFFEY, Products of idempotent matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 14 (4): 309–314, 1983.

- **Euclidean domains** satisfy property (ID_n) for every $n > 0$.



J. FOUNTAIN, Products of idempotent integer matrices, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 110: 431–441, 1991.

- (ID_n) is equivalent to other properties in the class of **PID's**.
- The ring of integers \mathbb{Z} and **DVR's** satisfy property (ID_n) for every $n > 0$.

(ID_n) and (GE_n) in Bézout domains

(ID_n) and (GE_n) in Bézout domains

The property (GE_n)

An integral domain R satisfies property (GE_n) if any INVERTIBLE $n \times n$ matrix over R is a product of ELEMENTARY matrices.

(ID_n) and (GE_n) in Bézout domains

The property (GE_n)

An integral domain R satisfies property (GE_n) if any INVERTIBLE $n \times n$ matrix over R is a product of ELEMENTARY matrices.

NOTE: fields and Euclidean domains satisfy (GE_n) for every $n > 0$,
not every PID satisfies (GE_n) for every $n > 0$.

(ID_n) and (GE_n) in Bézout domains

The property (GE_n)

An integral domain R satisfies property (GE_n) if any INVERTIBLE $n \times n$ matrix over R is a product of ELEMENTARY matrices.

NOTE: fields and Euclidean domains satisfy (GE_n) for every $n > 0$, not every PID satisfies (GE_n) for every $n > 0$.

Theorem (Ruitenburg - 1993)

For a Bézout domain R (every f.g. ideal of R is principal) TFAE:

- (i) R satisfies (GE_n) for every integer $n > 0$;
 - (ii) R satisfies (ID_n) for every integer $n > 0$.
-



W. RUITENBURG, Products of idempotent matrices over Hermite domains, *Semigroup Forum*, 46(3): 371–378, 1993.

Lifting properties

Lifting properties

If R is a Bézout domain:

- R satisfies $(ID_2) \Leftrightarrow$ it satisfies (ID_n) for all $n > 0$
(Laffey's lift - 1983);

Lifting properties

If R is a Bézout domain:

- R satisfies $(ID_2) \Leftrightarrow$ it satisfies (ID_n) for all $n > 0$
(Laffey's lift - 1983);
- R satisfies $(GE_2) \Leftrightarrow$ it satisfies (GE_n) for all $n > 0$
(Kaplansky's lift -1949).

Lifting properties

If R is a Bézout domain:

- R satisfies $(ID_2) \Leftrightarrow$ it satisfies (ID_n) for all $n > 0$
(Laffey's lift - 1983);
- R satisfies $(GE_2) \Leftrightarrow$ it satisfies (GE_n) for all $n > 0$
(Kaplansky's lift -1949).

Thus, in a Bézout domain

$$(ID_2) \Leftrightarrow (ID_n)_{\forall n} \Leftrightarrow (GE_n)_{\forall n} \Leftrightarrow (GE_2).$$

Lifting properties

If R is a Bézout domain:

- R satisfies $(ID_2) \Leftrightarrow$ it satisfies (ID_n) for all $n > 0$
(Laffey's lift - 1983);
- R satisfies $(GE_2) \Leftrightarrow$ it satisfies (GE_n) for all $n > 0$
(Kaplansky's lift -1949).

Thus, in a Bézout domain

$$(ID_2) \Leftrightarrow (ID_n)_{\forall n} \Leftrightarrow (GE_n)_{\forall n} \Leftrightarrow (GE_2).$$

NOTE: $(GE_2) \not\Leftrightarrow (ID_2)$ outside Bézout domains: **local non-valuation domains** satisfy (GE_2) but not (ID_2) .

The conjecture $(ID_2) \Rightarrow$ Bézout

QUESTION: What about (ID_n) outside the class of Bézout domains?

The conjecture $(ID_2) \Rightarrow \text{Bézout}$

QUESTION: What about (ID_2) outside the class of Bézout domains?

The conjecture $(ID_2) \Rightarrow$ Bézout

QUESTION: What about (ID_2) outside the class of Bézout domains?

Theorem (Bhaskara Rao - 2009)

Let R be a projective-free domain (every projective R -module is free). If R satisfies property (ID_2) , then R is a Bézout domain.



K.P.S. BHASKARA RAO, Products of idempotent matrices over integral domains, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 430(10): 2690–2695, 2009.

The conjecture $(ID_2) \Rightarrow$ Bézout

QUESTION: What about (ID_2) outside the class of Bézout domains?

Theorem (Bhaskara Rao - 2009)

Let R be a **projective-free** domain (every projective R -module is free). If R satisfies property (ID_2) , then R is a **Bézout domain**.



K.P.S. BHASKARA RAO, Products of idempotent matrices over integral domains, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 430(10): 2690–2695, 2009.

This result and those by Laffey and Ruitenburg suggested the following:

Conjecture (Salce, Zanardo - 2014)

If an integral domain R satisfies property (ID_2) , then it is a **Bézout domain**.



L. SALCE, P. ZANARDO, Products of elementary and idempotent matrices over integral domains, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 452:130–152, 2014.

The conjecture $(ID_2) \Rightarrow \text{Bézout}$

NOTE:

- In view of Laffey's lift, if this conjecture would be true, then every domain satisfying property (ID_2) would satisfy property (ID_n) for any $n > 0$.

The conjecture $(ID_2) \Rightarrow \text{Bézout}$

NOTE:

- In view of Laffey's lift, if this conjecture would be true, then every domain satisfying property (ID_2) would satisfy property (ID_n) for any $n > 0$.
- $(GE_2) \not\Rightarrow \text{Bézout}$
Local non-valuation domains are non-Bézout domains satisfying (GE_2) .

The conjecture $(ID_2) \Rightarrow \text{Bézout}$

NOTE:

- In view of Laffey's lift, if this conjecture would be true, then every domain satisfying property (ID_2) would satisfy property (ID_n) for any $n > 0$.
- $(GE_2) \not\Rightarrow \text{Bézout}$
Local non-valuation domains are non-Bézout domains satisfying (GE_2) .

EXAMPLES:

Unique factorization domains

Projective-free domains

Local domains

PRINC domains (introduced
by Salce and Zanardo)

+ $(ID_2) \Rightarrow \text{Bézout}$

$(ID_2) \Rightarrow$ Prüfer

Our first result in support of the conjecture is the following

Theorem 1

If R is an integral domain satisfying property (ID_2) , then R is a Prüfer domain (a domain in which every finitely generated ideal is invertible).

$(ID_2) \Rightarrow \text{Pr\"ufer}$

Our first result in support of the conjecture is the following

Theorem 1

If R is an integral domain satisfying property (ID_2) , then R is a Prüfer domain (a domain in which every finitely generated ideal is invertible).

Thus, it is **NOT RESTRICTIVE** to study the conjecture inside the class of Prüfer domains.

Sketch of the proof of Th.1

Idea of the proof of Theorem 1:

- we first prove as a preliminary result that, given $a, b \in R$ non-zero

$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ product of idempotents $\Rightarrow (a, b)$ invertible.

Sketch of the proof of Th.1

Idea of the proof of Theorem 1:

- we first prove as a preliminary result that, given $a, b \in R$ non-zero

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ product of idempotents} \Rightarrow (a, b) \text{ invertible.}$$

- If we assume that R has (ID_2) , then every two-generated ideal of R is invertible.

Sketch of the proof of Th.1

Idea of the proof of Theorem 1:

- we first prove as a preliminary result that, given $a, b \in R$ non-zero

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ product of idempotents} \Rightarrow (a, b) \text{ invertible.}$$

- If we assume that R has (ID_2) , then every two-generated ideal of R is invertible.
- We conclude since R is a Prüfer domain iff every two-generated ideal of R is invertible.

A new relation between (ID_2) and (GE_2)

A new relation between (ID_2) and (GE_2)

Proving a preliminary technical result and using a characterization of the property (GE_2) over an arbitrary domain proved by Salce and Zanardo in 2014, we get that

Theorem 2

If an integral domain R satisfies property (ID_2) , then it also satisfies property (GE_2) .

A new relation between (ID_2) and (GE_2)

Proving a preliminary technical result and using a characterization of the property (GE_2) over an arbitrary domain proved by Salce and Zanardo in 2014, we get that

Theorem 2

If an integral domain R satisfies property (ID_2) , then it also satisfies property (GE_2) .

Corollary 1

If R is an integral domain satisfying property (ID_2) , then R is a Prüfer domain satisfying property (GE_2) .

Conjecture: an equivalent formulation

Conjecture - Equivalent formulation

If R is a **Prüfer non-Bézout domain**, then there exist invertible 2×2 matrices over R that are not products of elementary matrices, i.e. R does **not** satisfy property **(GE₂)**.

Conjecture: an equivalent formulation

Conjecture - Equivalent formulation

If R is a **Prüfer non-Bézout domain**, then there exist invertible 2×2 matrices over R that are not products of elementary matrices, i.e. R does **not** satisfy property **(GE₂)**.

We prove that the **coordinate rings of a large class of plane curves** and the ring of **integer-valued polynomials** $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfy the conjecture in this last formulation.

The case of the coordinate rings

The case of the coordinate rings

Let C be a smooth projective curve over the perfect field k , C_0 an affine part of C and $C \setminus C_0$ be the set of its points at infinity.

The case of the coordinate rings

Let C be a smooth projective curve over the perfect field k , C_0 an affine part of C and $C \setminus C_0$ be the set of its points at infinity.

Theorem 3

Let C be a plane smooth curve over the field k , having degree ≥ 2 , such that all the points at infinity are conjugate by elements of the Galois group $G_{\bar{k}/k}$. Then, the coordinate ring $R = k[C_0] = k[x, y]$ of C_0 does not satisfy property (GE_2) .

The case of the coordinate rings

Let C be a smooth projective curve over the perfect field k , C_0 an affine part of C and $C \setminus C_0$ be the set of its points at infinity.

Theorem 3

Let C be a plane smooth curve over the field k , having degree ≥ 2 , such that all the points at infinity are conjugate by elements of the Galois group $G_{\bar{k}/k}$. Then, the coordinate ring $R = k[C_0] = k[x, y]$ of C_0 does not satisfy property (GE_2) .

STRATEGY OF THE PROOF:

we prove that the group of units of R is k (i.e. R is a k -ring) and that $d = -\sum_{P \in C_\infty} \text{ord}_P$ is a degree-function. We conclude applying a Cohn's proposition on k -rings with degree functions.

An example

From the previous theorem, given a plane smooth curve C of degree ≥ 2 having conjugate points at infinity,

- if its coordinate ring R is not a PID, then R is a Dedekind domain that satisfies the conjecture.

An example

From the previous theorem, given a plane smooth curve C of degree ≥ 2 having conjugate points at infinity,

- if its coordinate ring R is not a PID, then R is a Dedekind domain that satisfies the conjecture.

EXAMPLE:

Let $x^4 + y^4 + 1 = 0$ be the defining equation of C over \mathbb{R} .

Then R is a non-UFD Dedekind domain:

$$(x^2 + y^2 - 1)(x^2 + y^2 + 1) = 2(xy - 1)(xy + 1)$$

is a non-unique factorization into indecomposable factors.

R does not satisfy properties (GE_2) and (ID_2) .

Remark 1

Remark 1

In 1966, Cohn proved that the rings of integers I in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, with d squarefree positive integer, do not satisfy property (GE_2) , unless $d = 1, 2, 3, 7, 11$.

Remark 1

Remark 1

In 1966, Cohn proved that the rings of integers I in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, with d squarefree positive integer, do not satisfy property (GE_2) , unless $d = 1, 2, 3, 7, 11$.

If d is also different from 19, 43, 67, 163, then the ring of integers I in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ is a Dedekind domain, non UFD, that does not satisfy (GE_2) thus satisfying the conjecture.



P.M. COHN, On the structure of the GL_2 of a ring, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 30: 5–53, 1966.

Remark 2

Remark 2

Remark 2

Remark 2

- If the coordinate ring R of a plane smooth curve C of degree ≥ 2 having conjugate points at infinity is a PID, then R is a non-Euclidean PID not satisfying (GE_2) .

Remark 2

Remark 2

- If the coordinate ring R of a plane smooth curve C of degree ≥ 2 having conjugate points at infinity is a PID, then R is a non-Euclidean PID not satisfying (GE_2) .

EXAMPLES:

The coordinate ring over \mathbb{R} of the curve $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 0$ and the coordinate ring over \mathbb{Q} of the curve $x^2 - 3y^2 + 1 = 0$ are non-Euclidean PID's not satisfying (GE_2) .

Remark 2

Remark 2

- If the coordinate ring R of a plane smooth curve C of degree ≥ 2 having conjugate points at infinity is a PID, then R is a non-Euclidean PID not satisfying (GE_2) .

EXAMPLES:

The coordinate ring over \mathbb{R} of the curve $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 0$ and the coordinate ring over \mathbb{Q} of the curve $x^2 - 3y^2 + 1 = 0$ are non-Euclidean PID's not satisfying (GE_2) .

- The rings of integers I in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ with $d = 19, 43, 67, 163$ are non-Euclidean PID's not satisfying (GE_2) .

Remark 2

Remark 2

- If the coordinate ring R of a plane smooth curve C of degree ≥ 2 having conjugate points at infinity is a PID, then R is a non-Euclidean PID not satisfying (GE_2) .

EXAMPLES:

The coordinate ring over \mathbb{R} of the curve $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 0$ and the coordinate ring over \mathbb{Q} of the curve $x^2 - 3y^2 + 1 = 0$ are non-Euclidean PID's not satisfying (GE_2) .

- The rings of integers I in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ with $d = 19, 43, 67, 163$ are non-Euclidean PID's not satisfying (GE_2) .

These classes of non-Euclidean PID's verify another conjecture proposed by Salce and Zanardo in 2014: “every non-Euclidean PID does not satisfy (GE_2) ”.



L.COSSU, P. ZANARDO, U. ZANNIER , Products of elementary matrices and non-Euclidean principal ideal domains, *Journal of Algebra*, 501: 182 - 205, 2018.

The ring $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$

We consider now the ring of integer-valued polynomials

$$\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \mid f(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The ring $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$

We consider now the ring of integer-valued polynomials

$$\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \mid f(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

- $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a \mathbb{Z} -module such that

$$\mathbb{Z}[X] \subseteq \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[X];$$

The ring $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$

We consider now the ring of **integer-valued polynomials**

$$\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \mid f(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

- $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a \mathbb{Z} -module such that

$$\mathbb{Z}[X] \subseteq \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[X];$$

- the polynomials

$$\binom{X}{n} = \frac{X(X-1)\cdots(X-n+1)}{n!},$$

with $\binom{X}{0} = 1$ and $\binom{X}{1} = X$, form a **basis** of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module;

The ring $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$

We consider now the ring of **integer-valued polynomials**

$$\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \mid f(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

- $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a \mathbb{Z} -module such that

$$\mathbb{Z}[X] \subseteq \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[X];$$

- the polynomials

$$\binom{X}{n} = \frac{X(X-1)\cdots(X-n+1)}{n!},$$

with $\binom{X}{0} = 1$ and $\binom{X}{1} = X$, form a **basis** of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module;

- $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a **Prüfer** domain but it is **not** a **Bézout** domain.

The case of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$

The case of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$

Discretely ordered rings

A ring R is **discretely ordered** if it is totally ordered and, for any $r \in R$, if $r > 0$, then $r \geq 1$.

The case of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$

Discretely ordered rings

A ring R is **discretely ordered** if it is totally ordered and, for any $r \in R$, if $r > 0$, then $r \geq 1$.

EXAMPLE: \mathbb{Z} is the most obvious example of discretely ordered ring.

The case of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$

Discretely ordered rings

A ring R is **discretely ordered** if it is totally ordered and, for any $r \in R$, if $r > 0$, then $r \geq 1$.

EXAMPLE: \mathbb{Z} is the most obvious example of discretely ordered ring.

Proposition

The ring of integer-valued polynomials $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a discretely ordered ring.

The case of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$

Discretely ordered rings

A ring R is **discretely ordered** if it is totally ordered and, for any $r \in R$, if $r > 0$, then $r \geq 1$.

EXAMPLE: \mathbb{Z} is the most obvious example of discretely ordered ring.

Proposition

The ring of integer-valued polynomials $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a discretely ordered ring.

STRATEGY OF THE PROOF:

Every $f \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ is of the form $f = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_n\binom{X}{n}$, with $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Set $f > 0$ if and only if $a_n > 0$ and $f > g$ if and only if $f - g > 0$, with $f, g \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then $f > 0 \Rightarrow f \geq 1$.

The case of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$

Using a collection of Cohn's results which characterize the products of elementary matrices over discretely ordered rings, we proved that the invertible matrix

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2X & 4 \\ 1 + 4X + 2\binom{X}{2} & 5 + 2X \end{pmatrix}$$

over $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ cannot be written as a product of elementary matrices.

The case of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$

Using a collection of Cohn's results which characterize the products of elementary matrices over discretely ordered rings, we proved that the invertible matrix

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2X & 4 \\ 1 + 4X + 2\binom{X}{2} & 5 + 2X \end{pmatrix}$$

over $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ cannot be written as a product of elementary matrices.

Then:

Theorem 4

The ring $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ does not satisfy property (GE_2) . Thus, it is a Prüfer non-Bézout domain satisfying the conjecture $(\text{ID}_2) \Rightarrow \text{Bézout}$ in its equivalent formulation.

Further developments

Further developments

- Prove the validity of the conjecture for other natural classes of Prüfer/Dedekind domains:

Further developments

- Prove the validity of the conjecture for other natural classes of Prüfer/Dedekind domains:
 - the ring of integers in number fields;
 - more general classes of coordinate rings of smooth curves;
 - the ring $\text{Int}(D)$, generalization of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ to a generic integral domain D ;

Further developments

- Prove the validity of the conjecture for other natural classes of Prüfer/Dedekind domains:
 - the ring of integers in number fields;
 - more general classes of coordinate rings of smooth curves;
 - the ring $\text{Int}(D)$, generalization of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ to a generic integral domain D ;
 - the Prüfer-Schülting domains obtained as intersections of formally-real valuation domains.

Further developments

- Prove the validity of the conjecture for other natural classes of Prüfer/Dedekind domains:
 - the ring of integers in number fields;
 - more general classes of coordinate rings of smooth curves;
 - the ring $\text{Int}(D)$, generalization of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ to a generic integral domain D ;
 - the Prüfer-Schülting domains obtained as intersections of formally-real valuation domains.
- Identify relations between elements of R preserved under idempotent factorizations.

Further developments

- Prove the validity of the conjecture for other natural classes of Prüfer/Dedekind domains:
 - the ring of integers in number fields;
 - more general classes of coordinate rings of smooth curves;
 - the ring $\text{Int}(D)$, generalization of $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ to a generic integral domain D ;
 - the Prüfer-Schülting domains obtained as intersections of formally-real valuation domains.
- Identify relations between elements of R preserved under idempotent factorizations.

Essential bibliography



P.M. COHN,
On the structure of the GL_2 of a ring,

Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 30: 5–53, 1966.



J.A. ERDOS,
On products of idempotent matrices,

Glasgow Math. J., 8: 118–122, 1967.



T.J. LAFFEY,
Products of idempotent matrices,
Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 14 (4): 309–314, 1983.



J. FOUNTAIN,
Products of idempotent integer matrices,
Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 110: 431–441, 1991.



W. RUITENBURG,
Products of idempotent matrices over Hermite domains,
Semigroup Forum, 46(3): 371–378, 1993.



K.P.S. BHASKARA RAO,
Products of idempotent matrices over integral domains,
Linear Algebra Appl., 430(10): 2690–2695, 2009.



L. SALCE, P. ZANARDO,
Products of elementary and idempotent matrices over integral domains,
Linear Algebra Appl., 452:130–152, 2014.



L. COSSU, P. ZANARDO, U. ZANNIER,
Products of elementary matrices and non-Euclidean principal ideal domains,
Journal of Algebra, 501: 182 - 205, 2018.

THANK YOU