Convex Relaxation Techniques for Functions with Values in a Riemannian Manifold



Daniel Cremers Computer Science & Mathematics Technische Universität München



Evgeny Strekalovskiy



ר) **ב(ר** 

Jan Lellmann

### **Computer Vision Challenges**



Segmentation



#### **Multi-view Reconstruction**



#### **Space-time Reconstruction**

**Optical Flow** 

Super-resolution Texture

**Daniel Cremers** 

#### Image segmentation:

Geman, Geman '84, Blake, Zisserman '87, Kass et al. '88, Mumford, Shah '89, Caselles et al. '95, Kichenassamy et al. '95, <u>Paragios, Deriche '99, Chan, Vese '01, Tsai et al. '01, ...</u>



**Optical flow estimation:** 

Horn, Schunck '81, Nagel, Enkelmann '86, Black, Anandan '93, Alvarez et al. '99, Brox et al. '04, Baker et al. '07, Zach et al. '07, Sun et al. '08, Wedel et al. '09, ...

### Non-convex versus Convex Energies



Non-convex energy

Convex energy

Some related work: Brakke '95, Alberti et al. '01, Chambolle '01, Attouch et al. '06, Nikolova et al. '06, Cremers et al. '06, Bresson et al. '07, Lellmann et al. '08, Zach et al. '08, Chambolle et al. '08, Pock et al. '09, Zach et al. '09, Brown et al. '10, Bae et al. '10, Yuan et al. '10,...



color image processing  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^3$ 

optical flow estimation normal fiel $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^2 \qquad \mathcal{M}$  =

normal field inpainting  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S}^2$ 



#### Overview



Problem statement



Convex regularizers



Nonconvex regularizers



Manifold-valued functions



### Overview



#### Problem statement



Convex regularizers



Nonconvex regularizers



#### Manifold-valued functions



Consider the problem

$$\min_{u:\Omega\to\mathcal{M}} \int_{\Omega} s(x,u(x)) dx + R_{\mathcal{M}}(u),$$

with a Riemannian manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Both the data term and the regularizer  $R_{\mathcal{M}}$  may be non-convex.

Examples:

color denoising:  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^3$ , s(x, u) = |u - f|normal field denoising:  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{S}^2$ , s(x, u) = |u - f|optical flow:  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $s(x, u) = |f_1 - f_2 \circ u|$  **Example: Total Variation** 

For a scalar-valued function  $u \in BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  , the total variation is

$$TV(u) := \sup_{|\xi| \le 1} \int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \xi \, dx$$

For differentiable functions, integration by parts give

$$TV(u) = \sup_{|\xi| \le 1} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \,\xi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \,\xi \, dx$$

For  $u \in BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$TV(u) = \int_{\Omega - S_u} |\nabla u| \, dx + \int_{S_u} |u^+ - u^-| \, d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} + |D^c(u)|$$

Herve, Shulman '89, Rudin, Osher Fatemi '92

Daniel Cremers Convex Relaxation for Functions with Values in a Riemannian Manifold

u(x)

 $S_u$ 



Giaquinta, Mucci, PAMQ '07, Cremers, Strekalovskiy, JMIV '12 Lellmann, Strekalovskiy, Kötter, Cremers, ICCV '13

Daniel Cremers

Total Variation for Functions with Values in a Riemannian Manifold



## Total Variation for Functions with Values in a Manifold

Proposition 1:

For non-Euclidean manifolds,  $TV_{\mathcal{M}}$  is generally nonconvex.

Proposition 2:

The discrete version of

$$\min_{u:\Omega\to\mathcal{S}^1}\int_{\Omega} s(x,u(x)) dx + TV_{\mathcal{S}^1}(u)$$

is NP-hard.

#### Proof:

For 3 equidistantly spaced labels,  $TV_{S^1}$  is equivalent to a Potts regularizer on three labels.

Cremers, Strekalovskiy, JMIV 2012.

**Daniel Cremers** 

Total Variation for Functions with Values in a Riemannian Manifold





Problem statement



Convex regularizers



Nonconvex regularizers



Manifold-valued functions





 $u: \Omega \to \Gamma = [\gamma_{min}, \gamma_{max}]$ 

$$E(u) = \int_{\Omega} \rho(x, u(x)) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)| \, dx \qquad (*)$$

Let  $v: (\Sigma = \Omega \times \Gamma) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$   $v(x, \gamma) = \mathbf{1}_{u \ge \gamma}(x)$ 



Pock , Schoenemann, Graber, Bischof, Cremers ECCV '08

Functional Lifting and Multi-labeling  

$$u: \Omega \to \Gamma = [\gamma_{min}, \gamma_{max}]$$

$$E(u) = \int_{\Omega} \rho(x, u(x)) dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)| dx \qquad (*)$$
nonconvex functional  
Let  $v: (\Sigma = \Omega \times \Gamma) \to \{0, 1\} \qquad v(x, \gamma) = \mathbf{1}_{u \ge \gamma}(x)$   
Theorem: Minimizing (\*) is equivalent to minimizing  

$$E(v) = \int_{\Sigma} \rho(x, \gamma) |\partial_{\gamma} v(x, \gamma)| + |\nabla v(x, \gamma)| dx d\gamma \qquad (**)$$
convex functional  
Solve (\*\*) in relaxed space ( $v: \Sigma \to [0, 1]$ ) and threshold  
to obtain a globally optimal solution.

Pock , Schoenemann, Graber, Bischof, Cremers ECCV '08

## Global Optima for Convex Regularizers

Let

$$E(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx$$

be continuous in  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and u, and convex in  $\nabla u$ .

Theorem:

For any function  $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  we have:

$$E(u) = F(\mathbf{1}_u) := \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{K}} \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} \phi \cdot D\mathbf{1}_u,$$

where  $\phi$  is constrained to the convex set

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ \phi = (\phi^x, \phi^t) \in C_0\left(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}\right) : \phi^t(x, t) \ge f^*(x, t, \phi^x(x, t)), \ \forall x, t \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Pock, Cremers, Bischof, Chambolle, SIAM J. on Imaging Sciences '10

## Global Optima for Convex Regularizers

The functional E(u) can be minimized by solving the relaxed saddle point problem

$$\min_{v} F(v) = \min_{v} \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{K}} \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} \phi \cdot Dv,$$

<u>Theorem:</u>

The functional F fulfills a generalized coarea formula:

$$F(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\mathbf{1}_{v \ge s}) \, ds.$$

As a consequence, we have a thresholding theorem assuring that we can globally minimize the functional E(u).

Pock, Cremers, Bischof, Chambolle, SIAM J. on Imaging Sciences '10

Given the saddle point problem

$$\min_{x \in C} \max_{y \in K} \langle Ax, y \rangle + \langle g, x \rangle - \langle h, y \rangle$$

with close convex sets C and K and linear operator A of norm L.

The iterative algorithm

$$\begin{cases} y^{n+1} = \Pi_K (y^n + \sigma(A\bar{x}^n - h)) \\ x^{n+1} = \Pi_C (x^n - \tau(A^*y^{n+1} + g)) \\ \bar{x}^{n+1} = 2x^{n+1} - x^n \end{cases}$$

converges with rate O(1/N) to a saddle point for  $\sigma \tau L^2 \leq 1$ .

Pock, Cremers, Bischof, Chambolle, ICCV '09, Chambolle, Pock '10

### **Reconstruction from Aerial Images**



One of two input images Courtesy of Microsoft

Depth reconstruction

### **Reconstruction from Aerial Images**





### Overview



Problem statement



#### Convex regularizers



Nonconvex regularizers



#### Manifold-valued functions

### Nonconvex Regularizers



### Minimal Partitions & Multilabeling

$$\begin{split} \min_{\Omega_0,\dots,\Omega_n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |\partial\Omega_i| &+ \sum_i \int_{\Omega_i} f_i(x) \, dx \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \bigcup_i \Omega_i = \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ and } \Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset \ \forall i \neq j \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Potts \ '52, \ Blake, \ Zisserman \ '87, \ Mumford-Shah \ '89, \ Vese, \ Chan \ '02 \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Proposition: \quad \text{With } v_i = \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_i}, \text{ this is equivalent to} \\ \min_{v \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int_{\Omega} |Dv_i| + \int_{\Omega} v_i \, f_i \, dx = \min_{v \in \mathcal{B}} \sup_{p \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_i \int_{\Omega} v_i \, \operatorname{div} p_i \, dx + \int_{\Omega} v_i \, f_i \, dx \\ \text{where } \quad \mathcal{K} = \left\{ p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} : \left| p_i - p_j \right| \leq 1, \ \forall i < j \right\} \end{split}$$

Chambolle, Cremers, Pock '08, SIIMS '12, Pock et al. CVPR '09

**Daniel Cremers** Convex Relaxation for Functions with Values in a Riemannian Manifold

m  $v \in$ 





Input color image

10 label segmentation

Chambolle, Cremers, Pock '08, SIIMS '12, Pock et al. CVPR '09

<u>Reminder:</u> With  $v_i = 1_{\Omega_i}$ , the segmentation problem is:

$$\begin{split} \min_{v \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \int_{\Omega} |Dv_i| + \int_{\Omega} v_i f_i \, dx &= \min_{v \in \mathcal{B}} \sup_{p \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{i} \int_{\Omega} v_i \operatorname{div} p_i \, dx + \int_{\Omega} v_i f_i \, dx \\ \text{where} \quad \mathcal{K} &= \left\{ p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} : \left| p_i - p_j \right| \le 1, \, \forall i < j \right\} \end{split}$$

Consider instead the more general convex set:

$$\mathcal{K}_d = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} : \left| \langle p_i - p_j, \nu \rangle \leq d(i, j, \nu), \forall i < j, \nu \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1} \right\} \right\}$$

Penalize transitions depending on label values i, j and direction  $\nu$ .

Strekalovskiy, Cremers, ICCV 2011

 $\Omega_1$ 

 $\Omega_3$ 

 $\Omega_0$ 

 $\Omega_2$ 





Strekalovskiy, Cremers, ICCV 2011

## Minimal Partitions & Multilabeling



#### Strekalovskiy, Cremers, ICCV 2011



Input image

piecewise constant

piecewise smooth

Pock, Cremers, Bischof, Chambolle ICCV '09



### Color Mumford-Shah



Input image

Channelwise MS

**Vectorial MS** 

#### Strekalovskiy, Chambolle, Cremers, CVPR '12

**Daniel Cremers** 



### Overview



Problem statement



Convex regularizers



Nonconvex regularizers



Manifold-valued functions



## Total Variation for Functions with Values in a Manifold

Consider the problem

$$\min_{u:\Omega\to\mathcal{M}}\int_{\Omega}s(x,u(x))\,dx + TV_{\mathcal{M}}(u),$$

with a Riemannian manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ .



$$TV_{\mathcal{M}}(u) = \int_{\Omega \setminus S_u} |\nabla u| \, dx + \int_{S_u} d\mathcal{M}(u^-, u^+) \, d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} + |D^c(u)|$$
  
geodesic distance  
on the manifold

Giaquinta, Mucci, PAMQ '07, Cremers, Strekalovskiy, JMIV '12 Lellmann, Strekalovskiy, Kötter, Cremers, ICCV '13

**Daniel Cremers** 

Total Variation for Functions with Values in a Riemannian Manifold



Continuous labeling problem with all points of  $\mathcal{M}$ :  $\min_{u':\Omega\to\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})} \sup_{p:\Omega\times\mathcal{M}\to\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\Omega} \langle u',s\rangle dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle u',\mathsf{Div}\,p\rangle dx$ s.t.  $\|p(x, z_1) - p(x, z_2)\|_2 \leq d_{\mathcal{M}}(z_1, z_2), \forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{M}, \forall x \in \Omega, (*)$ Proposition: The pairwise constraints (\*) are equivalent to  $\|D_z p(x,z)\|_{\sigma} \leq 1, \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{M}, \forall x \in \Omega$ with spectral norm  $||M||_{\sigma} = \sup_{v \in T_z \mathcal{M}} \frac{||\langle M, v \rangle_{T_z \mathcal{M}}||_2}{||v||_{T_z \mathcal{M}}}$  for  $M \in (T_z \mathcal{M})^d$ 

linear number of constraints, respects local manifold structure

Lellmann, Strekalovskiy, Kötter, Cremers, ICCV '13



#### Input signal $f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$





#### Finite Labeling 8-Neighborhood

#### Lellmann, Strekalovskiy, Kötter, Cremers, ICCV '13



### **Example: Optical Flow**







flow with finite labeling



Lellmann, Strekalovskiy, Kötter, Cremers, ICCV '13

**Daniel Cremers** 

### Example: Normal Field Denoising



#### Lellmann, Strekalovskiy, Kötter, Cremers, ICCV '13

Daniel Cremers

## **Example: Normal Field Denoising**



Lellmann, Strekalovskiy, Kötter, Cremers, ICCV '13

**Daniel Cremers** 



 $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S}^2$ 



normals on the boundary



 $TV_{\mathcal{S}^2}$  - inpainted normal field

Lellmann, Strekalovskiy, Kötter, Cremers, ICCV '13

**Daniel Cremers** 

brightness  $I: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ chromaticity  $C = I/|I|: \Omega \to S^2$ 



smoothed chromaticity

brightness & chromaticity

smoothed brightness

Lellmann, Strekalovskiy, Kötter, Cremers, ICCV '13

Daniel Cremers

# Example: Camera Trajectory Denoising

 $\mathcal{M} = SO(3)$ 



SO(3)-denoised camera rotation

#### Lellmann, Strekalovskiy, Kötter, Cremers, ICCV '13

## Beyond Total Variation ( $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}$ )



**Daniel Cremers** 

UD

Convex Relaxation for Functions with Values in a Riemannian Manifold

0.8

0.9

Beyond Total Variation ( $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^2$ )



## Summary

We proposed a convex relaxation for solving variational problems for functions with values in a Riemannian manifold, including denoising, optical flow or inpainting.

The approach can handle arbitrary Riemannian manifolds, non-convex data terms and a variety of convex and non-convex regularizers.

The continuous labeling approach provides less orientation-bias and grid-bias than existing finite labeling approaches (sublabel accuracy).







