# **Exercise sheet 4**

### Exercise 1 [Decay condition]

We consider a strongly convex function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , with associated parameter m > 0. We also assume that  $\nabla f$  is Lipschitz with modulus L > 0. We consider a steepest gradient descent method of the form:

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k),$$

where the step length  $\alpha_k$  is computed in such a way that for all k,

$$-c_1 \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \le \langle \nabla f(x_{k+1}), \nabla f(x_k) \rangle \le c_2 \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2$$

for some constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2 > 0$ .

- a) Prove that  $\alpha_k \leq (1+c_1)/m$ .
- b) We set  $\phi_k(\alpha) = f(x_k \alpha \nabla f(x_k))$ . Prove that  $\phi'_k$  is Lipschitz-continuous, compute  $\phi'_k(0)$  and  $\phi'_k(\alpha_k)$ , and deduce that  $\alpha_k \geq (1 c_2)/L$ .
- c) Prove that there exist values of  $c_1$  and  $c_2 > 0$  such that there exists  $\theta > 0$  such that for all k, the decay condition is satisfied:

$$f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) \ge \theta \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2.$$

d) Prove that if the decay condition is satisfied, then the sequence  $(x_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges to the unique minimizer of f.

#### **Exercise 2** [Minimizing step-size rule]

Let  $f(x) := \frac{1}{2}\langle Ax, x \rangle + \langle b, x \rangle$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be symmetric and positive definite. Moreover let  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a descent direction of f in the point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$\sigma^* := \underset{\sigma>0}{\arg\min} f(x + \sigma s) = \phi(\sigma)$$

denotes the step-size calculated by the minimizing rule.

- a) Explain why  $\sigma^* > 0$  holds.
- b) Show that  $\sigma^*$  exists and is unique. Calculate it.
- c) Show that  $\sigma = \sigma^*$  satisfies for all  $\gamma \in (0, 1/2]$  the Armijo-condition

$$f(x + \sigma s) - f(x) \le \sigma \gamma \nabla f(x)^{\top} s$$
,

but not for all  $\gamma > 1/2$ .

d) Draw the graph of  $\phi$  and visualize the results of c).

## Exercise 3 [Curry-step-size-rule]

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuously differentiable and choose a  $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that the level-set

$$N_f(x^0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | f(x) \le f(x^0)\}$$

is compact. Moreover let  $\nabla f$  be Lipschitz-continuous on  $N_f(x^0)$ ,  $x \in N_f(x^0)$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$  a descent direction of f in x. The Curry-step-size-rule chooses the step-size  $\sigma^* > 0$  given by the smallest positive stationary point of  $\phi(\sigma) := f(x + \sigma s)$ :

$$\sigma^* := \min\{\sigma > 0 \mid \nabla f(x + \sigma s)^\top s = 0\}.$$

- a) Prove the existence and uniqueness of  $\sigma^*$ . Hint: Assume that no positive stationary point of  $\phi$  exists and use the Mean value theorem to derive a contradiction to the compactness of  $N_f(x^0)$ .
- b) Prove using the Intermediate value theorem, that there exists a smallest  $0 < \hat{\sigma} < \sigma^*$  such that  $\nabla f(x + \hat{\sigma}s)^{\top}s = \frac{1}{2}\nabla f(x)^{\top}s$ .
- c) Prove:  $f(x + \sigma^* s) f(x) \le f(x + \hat{\sigma} s) f(x) \le \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{2} \nabla f(x)^\top s = -\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{2} |\nabla f(x)^\top s|$ .
- (d) Use the Lipschitz-continuity of  $\nabla f$  with the constant L and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, in order to prove that:

$$\frac{1}{2}|\nabla f(x)^{\top}s| \le \hat{\sigma}L||s||^2.$$

e) Use c) and d) in order to prove that

$$f(x + \sigma^* s) \le f(x) - \theta \left(\frac{\nabla f(x)^\top s}{\|s\|}\right)^2$$

with a constant  $\theta > 0$  independent of x and s holds.

#### **Exercise 4** [Programming exercise: Method of steepest descent]

Implement the method of steepest descent with Armijo line search using backtracking (Algorithm 2.4.1) from the lecture in Matlab or Octave. Divide the method into two functions:

1. The function Armijo calculates for given input a step-size sigma as its output:

with inputs and output:

**f:** A function-handle of the function f (see **feval** in the Matlab or Octave documentation)

**gfx:** The gradient of f evaluated at the point x

**x:** The current iterate

s: The current search direction

beta, gamma: The parameters of the Armijo-rule.

sigma: Step-size

2. A funktion SteepDescent, which uses the function Armijo and which has the following form:

function[x, steps] = SteepDescent(f, gf, x0, eps, maxsteps)

with inputs and outputs:

**f**, **gf**: function-handles of f and  $\nabla f$ 

**x0:** Initial guess  $x^0$ 

**eps:** Stopping-tolerance for  $\|\nabla f(x^k)\| \le \varepsilon$ 

maxsteps: Maximal number of iterates

steps: Number of iterations

**x:** Approximate solution

a) Test your program with the Rosenbrock-function

$$f(x) := 100(x_2 - x_1^2)^2 + (1 - x_1)^2$$

with the initial guess  $x^0 = (-1.2, 1)^T$  and parameters maxsteps = 10000,  $\beta = 0.5$  and  $\gamma = 10^{-4}$ . Test different values of  $\varepsilon = 10^{-1}$ ,  $10^{-2}$ ,  $10^{-3}$ ,  $10^{-4}$ ,  $10^{-5}$ .

- b) Formulate based on your results a conjecture about the point to which the method converges.
  - Is this point stationary?
  - Prove that this point is a local minimum. Is it local or global?
  - Exist other local or global minima?
- (c) Plot the contour-lines of f. (Matlab-function contour). Explain why the method is so inefficient.

Hand in by email (philip.trautmann@uni-graz.at) until 28.10.2019, 23:59 o'clock.