

Editor - Z:\University\Numerik1\Ue3\bsp2.m

gauss.m x gauss_pivot_scaled.m x gauss_pivot.m x r.m x bsp2.m x +

```
1 - A = [3.03,-12.1,14;-3.03,12.1,-7;6.11,-14.2,21];
2 - b = [-119;120;-139];
3
4 - disp('a')
5 - disp(gauss(A,b,1));
6 - disp('b')
7 - disp(gauss_pivot(A,b,1));
8
9 - disp('c')
10 - disp(gauss_pivot(A,b,2));
11
12 - disp('d')
13 - disp(gauss_pivot_scaled(A,b,3));
14
15
```

Command Window

```
>> bsp2
a
    NaN    NaN    NaN

b
     0     10     0

c
     0     10     0

d
      0  10.0000  0.1430

fx >>
```

```
1 function [ n ] = r( n, prec )
2 %ROUND Summary of this function goes here
3 % Detailed explanation goes here
4
5
6     n = round(n, prec, 'significant');
7 end
8
9 |
```

```
1 function [ x ] = gauss_eliminate( A, b, prec )
2 %GAUSS_ELIMINATE Summary of this function goes here
3 % Detailed explanation goes here
4 % if A is a regular square n*n-matrix with no 0's on the diagonal
5 % and b is a 1*n vector
6 % then A and b will be converted to "standard form"
7 A = [A,b];
8 n = min(size(A));
9
10 % start with the matrix rounded correctly
11 for i=1:size(A,1)
12     for j = 1:size(A,2)
13         A(i,j) = r(A(i,j), prec);
14     end
15 end
16 %disp(A)
17
18 for k = 1:n-1
19     for i = k+1:n
20         A(i,k) = r(A(i,k) / A(k,k), prec);
21
22         for j = k+1:n+1
23             A(i,j) = r(A(i,j) - r(A(i,k) * A(k,j), prec), prec);
24         end
25     end
26     %disp(A);
27 end
28
29 x(n) = r(A(n,n+1) / A(n,n), prec);
30 for i = n-1:-1:1
31     s = 0;
32     for j = i+1:n
33         s = r(s + r(A(i,j) * x(j), prec), prec);
34     end
35     x(i) = r(r(A(i, n+1) - s, prec) / A(i,i), prec);
36 end
37 end
```

```
1 function [ x ] = gauss_pivot( A, b, prec )
2 %GAUSS_PIVOT Summary of this function goes here
3 % Detailed explanation goes here
4 A = [A,b];
5 n = min(size(A));
6
7 % start with the matrix rounded correctly
8 for i=1:size(A,1)
9     for j = 1:size(A,2)
10         A(i,j) = r(A(i,j), prec);
11     end
12 end
13 I = 1:n;
14
15 for k = 1:n-1
16     [tmp, p] = max(abs(A(I(k:n), k)));
17     p = p + k - 1; % adjust offset
18
19     % regulär? stop?
20     It = I(k); I(k) = I(p); I(p) = It;
21     for i = k+1:n
22         A(I(i), k) = r(A(I(i),k) / A(I(k), k), prec);
23         for j = k+1:n+1
24             A(I(i), j) = r(A(I(i),j) - r(A(I(i),k) * A(I(k),j),prec),prec);
25         end
26     end
27     %disp(A);
28 end
29
30 x(n) = r(A(I(n),n+1) / A(I(n),n), prec);
31 for i = n-1:-1:1
32     s = 0;
33     for j = i+1:n
34         s = r(s + r(A(I(i),j) * x(j), prec), prec);
35     end
36     x(i) = r(r(A(I(i), n+1) - s, prec) / A(I(i),i), prec);
37 end
38 end
```

```
1 function [ x ] = gauss_pivot_scaled( A, b, prec )
2     %GAUSS_PIVOT_SCALED Summary of this function goes here
3     A = [A,b];
4     n = min(size(A));
5     s = max(abs(A')));
6     I = 1:n;
7
8     % start with the matrix rounded correctly
9     for i=1:size(A,1)
10         for j = 1:size(A,2)
11             A(i,j) = r(A(i,j), prec);
12         end
13     end
14     %disp(A)
15
16     for k = 1:n-1
17         [tmp, p] = max(abs(A(I(k:n), k)' ./ s(I(k:n))));
18         p = p + k - 1; % adjust offset
19
20         % regulär? stop?
21         It = I(k); I(k) = I(p); I(p) = It;
22         for i = k+1:n
23             A(I(i), k) = r(A(I(i),k) / A(I(k), k), prec);
24             for j = k+1:n+1
25                 A(I(i), j) = r(A(I(i),j) - r(A(I(i),k) * A(I(k),j),prec),prec);
26             end
27         end
28         % disp(A);
29     end
30
31     x(n) = r(A(I(n),n+1) / A(I(n),n), prec);
32     for i = n-1:-1:1
33         s = 0;
34         for j = i+1:n
35             s = r(s + r(A(I(i),j) * x(j), prec), prec);
36         end
37         x(i) = r(r(A(I(i), n+1) - s, prec) / A(I(i),i), prec);
38     end
39 end
```

Aufgabe 4

Für eine gegebene Matrix wollen wir verschiedene Varianten der Gauß-Elimination implementieren, um eine LU -Zerlegung zu erhalten. Dazu betrachten wir erstmals in a.) und b.) die Gauß-Elimination ohne Pivotsuche.

Die Matrix ist gegeben als

```
A = spdiags(ones(n,3), [-p,0,q],n,n) + ep * speye(n);
```

für $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ und $\epsilon > 1$.

Code der Gauß-Elimination ohne Pivotsuche:

```
A = spdiags(ones(n,3), [-p,0,q],n,n) + ep * speye(n);
B = A;
for k = 1:(n-1)
    for i = (k+1):n
        A(i,k) = A(i,k) / A(k,k);
        for j = (k+1):n
            A(i,j) = A(i,j) - A(i,k) * A(k,j);
        endfor
    endfor
endfor

%cut the triangular matrices out of A
L = tril(A,-1) + eye(n);
U = triu(A);

%0 if correct factorisation
full(sum(abs(L*U-B)))
```

a.) richtig

Beispielhafte Konfiguration:

```
n = 6;
p = 3;
q = 4;
ep = 1.5;
```

Resultat:

L	U																																																																								
<pre>>> full(L) ans =</pre> <table><tr><td>1.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td></tr><tr><td>0.00000</td><td>1.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td></tr><tr><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>1.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td></tr><tr><td>0.40000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>1.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td></tr><tr><td>0.00000</td><td>0.40000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>1.00000</td><td>0.00000</td></tr><tr><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.40000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>1.00000</td></tr></table>	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.40000	0.00000	0.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.40000	0.00000	0.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.40000	0.00000	0.00000	1.00000	<pre>>> full(U) ans =</pre> <table><tr><td>2.50000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>1.00000</td><td>0.00000</td></tr><tr><td>0.00000</td><td>2.50000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>1.00000</td></tr><tr><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>2.50000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td></tr><tr><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>2.50000</td><td>-0.40000</td><td>0.00000</td></tr><tr><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>2.50000</td><td>-0.40000</td></tr><tr><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>0.00000</td><td>2.50000</td></tr></table>	2.50000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	2.50000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	2.50000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	2.50000	-0.40000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	2.50000	-0.40000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	2.50000
1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000																																																																				
0.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000																																																																				
0.00000	0.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000																																																																				
0.40000	0.00000	0.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000																																																																				
0.00000	0.40000	0.00000	0.00000	1.00000	0.00000																																																																				
0.00000	0.00000	0.40000	0.00000	0.00000	1.00000																																																																				
2.50000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	1.00000	0.00000																																																																				
0.00000	2.50000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	1.00000																																																																				
0.00000	0.00000	2.50000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000																																																																				
0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	2.50000	-0.40000	0.00000																																																																				
0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	2.50000	-0.40000																																																																				
0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	2.50000																																																																				

Wir sehen hier, dass die nicht-trivialen Elemente zwischen den Diagonalen $-p$ bis 0 bzw 0 bis q .

b.) richtig

Beispielhafte Konfiguration:

```
n = 6;
p = 3;
q = 3;
ep = 1.2;
```

Resultat:

L	U
<pre>>> full(L) ans = 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.45455 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.45455 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.45455 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000</pre>	<pre>>> full(U) ans = 2.20000 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 2.20000 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 2.20000 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.74545 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.74545 1.74545 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.74545 1.74545</pre>

Für Aufgabe c.) benötigen wir die Gauß-Elimination mit Pivotsuche (in den Zeilen)

Code:

```
%Initialize virtual row switch
I=1:n;
for k = 1:(n-1)
    %find pivot element
    [m, p] = max(abs(A(I(k:end),k)));
    %index shift
    p = p + k - 1;
    %abandon to avoid dividing by 0 if A is irregular
    if A(I(p),k) == 0
        break;
    endif
    %virtual row switch
    it = I(k); I(k) = I(p); I(p) = it;

    for i = (k+1):n
        A(I(i),k) = A(I(i),k) / A(I(k),k);
        for j = (k+1):n
            A(I(i),j) = A(I(i),j) - A(I(i),k) * A(I(k),j);
        endfor
    endfor
endfor
```

```

%create permutation matrix
P = zeros(n,n);
for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        P(i,j) = (I(i) == j);
    endfor
endfor

%cut the triangular matrices out of A
L = tril(A(I,:),-1) + eye(n);
U = triu(A(I,:));

%0 if correct factorisation
full(sum(abs(L*U-P*B) (:)))

```

c.) überarbeitet

Für Aufgabe c.) lassen sich in der ursprünglichen Aufgabenstellung $\epsilon > 0$ Gegenbeispiele finden. Wählen wir jedoch $\epsilon = \sqrt{2} - 1$ werden Pivot-Elemente 0 und der Algorithmus funktioniert nicht mehr. Daher die Bedingung $\epsilon > 1$. Nun ist die Aussage korrekt.

Beispielhafte Konfiguration

```

n = 8;
p = 3;
q = 3;
ep = 1.2;

```

Resultat:

L	U
<pre> >> full(L) ans = 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.45455 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.45455 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.45455 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.57292 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.57292 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 </pre>	<pre> >> full(U) ans = 2.20000 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 2.20000 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 2.20000 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.74545 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.74545 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.74545 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.62708 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.62708 </pre>

Für Aufgabe d.) benötigen wir die Gauß-Elimination mit totaler Pivotsuche.

Code:


```

%init I,J
I = 1:n;
J = 1:n;
for k = 1:(n-1)
    %find pivot element
    size = n - k + 1;
    [m, p] = max(abs(A(I(k:end),J(k:end)).'(:)));
    %calculate correct index
    p_i = ceil(p / size);
    p_j = mod(p, size);
    p_i = p_i + k - 1;
    p_j = p_j + k - 1;
    %abandon to avoid div by 0 if matrix is irregular
    if B(I(p_i),J(p_j)) == 0
        break;
    endif
    %swap iterator
    it = I(k);
    I(k) = I(p_i);
    I(p_i) = it;
    it = J(k);
    J(k) = J(p_j);
    J(p_j) = it;

    for i = (k+1):n
        A(I(i),J(k)) = A(I(i),J(k)) / A(I(k),J(k));
        for j = (k+1):n
            A(I(i),J(j)) = A(I(i),J(j)) - A(I(i),J(k)) * A(I(k),J(j));
        endfor
    endfor

    %calculate permutation matrices
    P = zeros(n,n);
    for i = 1:n
        for j = 1:n
            P(i,j) = (I(i) == j);
        endfor
    endfor
    Q = zeros(n,n);
    for i = 1:n
        for j = 1:n
            Q(i,j) = (J(j) == i);
        endfor
    endfor

    %cut the triangular matrices out of A
    L = tril(A(I,J),-1) + eye(n);
    U = triu(A(I,J));

    %0 if correct factorisation
    full(sum(abs(L*U-P*B*Q(:))))

```

d.) falsch

Wir finden hier ein Gegenbeispiel mit der Konfiguration

```

n = 8;
p = 4;
q = 4;
ep = 2;

```

Resultat für $L + L^T$

2.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.33333	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
0.00000	2.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.33333	0.00000	0.00000
0.00000	0.00000	2.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.33333	0.00000
0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	2.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.33333
0.33333	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	2.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
0.00000	0.33333	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	2.00000	0.00000	0.00000
0.00000	0.00000	0.33333	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	2.00000	0.00000
0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.33333	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	2.00000

Diese Matrix hat aber Bandbreite $p + q + 1 = 4 + 4 + 1 = 9 \neq 3$.

Beispiel 6

Ang. $w = \text{sqrt}(x) \Rightarrow w = x;$

for $i = 1: 10$

$w = (w + x/w)/2;$

end

Anzahl der flops der Cholesky-Zerlegung a) ohne Wurzel-Rechnung
b) mit Wurzel-Iteration

a) ① for $i = 2, \dots, n$
 $L_{-i1} = A_{-i1} / L_{-11}$ } Division: $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 1 = \underline{n-1}$

② for $j = 2, \dots, n-1$
 for $k = 1, \dots, j-1$
 $s = s + L_{-jk} * L_{-jk}$ } Add: $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} i = \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}$
 Mult: $-$ } $2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} i = 2 \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2} = \underline{(n-2)(n-1)}$

③ for $j = 2, \dots, n-1$
 for $i = j+1, \dots, n$
 for $k = 1, \dots, j-1$
 $s = s + L_{-ik} * L_{jk}$ } Add: $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (n-j-1) i$
 Mult: $-$ } $2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (n-j-1) i$
 $= 2 \left(n \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} i \right)$

④ for $j = 2, \dots, n-1$
 for $i = j+1, \dots, n$
 $L_{-ij} = [A_{-ij} - s] / L_{-ji}$

$= 2 \left(n \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2} - \frac{(n-2)(n-1)(2(n-2)+1)}{6} - \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2} \right)$
 $= \underline{\frac{1}{3}(n-2)(n-1)n}$

Sub: $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (n-j-1)$

Dir: $-$

$2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (n-j-1) = 2 \cdot \left(n \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} 1 \right)$
 $= 2 \left(n(n-2) - \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2} - (n-2) \right)$
 $= \underline{(n-2)(n-1)}$

$$\textcircled{5} \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{for } k=1, \dots, n-1 \\ S = S + L_{nk} * L_{nk} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{Add: } \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 1 = \underline{(n-1)} \\ \text{Mult: } n-1 \end{array}$$

$$\textcircled{6} \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{for } j=2, \dots, n-1 \\ L_{-jj} = \sqrt{A_{-jj} - S} \end{array} \right\} \text{Sub: } \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 1 - 1 = \underline{(n-1) - 1}$$

$$\textcircled{7} \left. \begin{array}{l} L_{-nn} = \sqrt{A_{nn} - S} \end{array} \right\} \text{Sub: } \underline{1}$$

$$\text{Flops: } \textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2} + \textcircled{3} + \textcircled{4} + \textcircled{5} + \textcircled{6} + \textcircled{7}$$

$$= \underbrace{4 \cdot (n-1)}_{\textcircled{1} \textcircled{5} \textcircled{6} \textcircled{7}} + \underbrace{2(n-1)(n-2)}_{\textcircled{2} \textcircled{4}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{3}(n-2)(n-1)n}_{\textcircled{3}} = \underline{\frac{1}{3}n(n^2 + 3n - 4)} = \text{Ergebnis c)}$$

b) mit Wurzel-Iteration

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{for } i=1:10 \\ w = (w + x/w)/2; \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{Div: } 2 \cdot 10 = 20 \\ \text{Add: } 10 \end{array} \Bigg\} 30$$

$$\text{Zusätzlich zu a) } \textcircled{8} \left. \begin{array}{l} j=2, \dots, n-1 \\ L_{-jj} = \sqrt{A_{-jj} - S} \end{array} \right\} \text{Wurzel: } \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} 30 = 30 \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} 1 = \underline{30(n-2)}$$

$$\textcircled{9} \left. \begin{array}{l} L_{-11} = \sqrt{A_{-11}} \\ L_{-nn} = \sqrt{A_{nn} - S} \end{array} \right\} \text{Wurzel: } 2 \cdot 30 = \underline{60}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Flops: } \underbrace{\textcircled{1} - \textcircled{7}}_{\frac{1}{3}n(n^2 + 3n - 4)} + \textcircled{8} + \textcircled{9} &= \frac{1}{3}n(n^2 + 3n - 4) + 30(n-2) + 60 \\ &= \underline{\underline{\frac{1}{3}n(n^2 + 3n + 86)}} \\ &= \text{Ergebnis a)} \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow a), c) korrekt!

```

% Beispiel 8 Numerik 1 für 23.10.2020
clear
N = 400;
T = 100;
v = 0.4;
timelimita = [];
timelimitb = [];
timelimitc = [];
timelimitd = [];

% beginnen nicht für ganz kleine N, da uns das Verhalten für größer
% werdende N interessiert
for i = 50:25:N;
h = 1/i;
tau = T/i;
d = [-ones(i-1,1),ones(i-1,1)];
Q = spdiags(d,[0,+1],i-1,i);
B = v/h*[sparse(i-1,i-1),Q;-transpose(Q),sparse(i,i)]; %Dimension 2*i-1
A = speye(2*i-1)-(tau/2)*B;
C = speye(2*i-1)+(tau/2)*B;
Cs = sparse(C);
Cf = full(C);
    for k = 1:i;
        x(k,1) = (k-1/2)*h;
    end
a0 = cos(pi*(2*x-1));
W0 = [(v/h)*(Q*a0); zeros(i,1)];

% es gilt die Iteration  $A * W^k = C * W^{(k-1)}$ 
% a
Wa = W0;
[L,U] = lu(A);
L = sparse(L); % eigentlich nicht notwendig, da bereits im sparse format
U = sparse(U);
tic
    for k = 2:i+1; % wir haben Wa_0 aber Index beginnt mit 1
        Wa(:,k) = U\ (L\ (Cs*Wa(:,k-1)));
    end
timea = toc;
timelimita = [timelimita, timea/i]; % dividieren gleich durch "N" bzw i,
% da uns der Grenzwert interessiert (Definition von big O)

% b
Wb = W0;
Ab = full(A);
Lb = full(L);
Ub = full(U);
tic
    for k = 2:i+1; % wir haben Wb_0 aber Index beginnt mit 1
        Wb(:,k) = Ub\ (Lb\ (Cf*Wb(:,k-1)));
    end
timeb = toc;
timelimitb = [timelimitb, timeb/i^2];

% c
Wc = W0;
Ac = sparse(A);
tic
    for k = 2:i+1; % wir haben Wc_0 aber Index beginnt mit 1
        Wc(:,k) = Ac\ (Cs*Wc(:,k-1));
    end

```

```

    end
    timec = toc;
    timelimitc = [timelimitc, timec/i^2];

% d
Wd = W0;
Ad = full(A);
tic
    for k = 2:i+1; % wir haben Wd_0 aber Index beginnt mit 1
        Wd(:,k) = Ad\ (Cf*Wd(:,k-1));
    end
    timed = toc;
    timelimitd = [timelimitd, timed/i^4];

end

% zur Kontrolle - Auslenkung einer Saite
h = 1/N;
tau = T/N;
d = [-ones(N-1,1), ones(N-1,1)];
Q = spdiags(d, [0, +1], N-1, N);
B = v/h*[sparse(N-1, N-1), Q; -transpose(Q), sparse(N, N)]; %Dimension 2*N-1
A = speye(2*N-1) - (tau/2)*B;
C = speye(2*N-1) + (tau/2)*B;
As = sparse(A);
Cs = sparse(C);
% Variablen nochmals belegt, da nur für ""N"" betrachtet

ws = W0;
a = a0;
for k = 2:N+1;
    ws(:,k) = As\ (Cs*ws(:,k-1));
    a(:,k) = a(:,k-1) + (tau/2) * (ws(N:2*N-1,k) + ws(N:2*N-1,k-1));
end

hold on
subplot(3,2,1)
plot(timelimita)
title('a) A=LU sparse O(N) ')

subplot(3,2,2)
plot(timelimitb)
title('b) A=LU full O(N^2) ')

subplot(3,2,3)
plot(timelimitc)
title('c) A sparse O(N^2) ')

subplot(3,2,4)
plot(timelimitd)
title('d) A full O(N^4) ')
hold off

% Darstellung der Saite
[m,n] = size(a);
subplot(3,2,5:6)
for l=1:n;
    plot(a(:,l))
    title('Auslenkung der Saite')
    axis([-inf inf min(min(a)) max(max(a))])

```

```
    pause(0.1)
end
```

```
% anhand der Grafiken kann man schließen, dass die Aussagen ""C"" und ""D""
% richtig sind
% Darstellung der Saite
```


