

Spherical subcategories and new invariants for triangulated categories

Martin Kalck

University of Edinburgh

CRM

February 25, 2015

This is joint work with A. Hochenegger & D. Ploog:

Spherical subcategories in algebraic geometry, arXiv:1208.4046.
Spherical subcategories in representation theory, arXiv:1502.06838.

Setup

\mathcal{T} 'nice' triangulated category, for example

- $\mathcal{D}^b(A) := \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, A fin. dim. k -algebra with $\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$ or
- $\mathcal{D}^b(X) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh } X)$, X smooth projective variety / k ;

More precisely, today we assume that \mathcal{T} is

- k -linear (where k is an algebraically closed field);
- Hom-finite;
- with Serre functor $\mathbb{S}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, i.e. \mathbb{S} autoequivalence and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(B, \mathbb{S}A)^*$$

are binatural isomorphisms). For example

- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(A)$ ($\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$), then $\mathbb{S} \cong A^* \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$ (Nakayama functor)
- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ (X smooth proj), then $\mathbb{S} \cong \omega_X \otimes_X^{\mathbb{L}} -[-\dim X]$

Setup

\mathcal{T} 'nice' triangulated category, for example

- $\mathcal{D}^b(A) := \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, A fin. dim. k -algebra with $\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$ or
- $\mathcal{D}^b(X) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh } X)$, X smooth projective variety / k ;

More precisely, today we assume that \mathcal{T} is

- k -linear (where k is an algebraically closed field);
- Hom-finite;
- with Serre functor $\mathbb{S}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, i.e. \mathbb{S} autoequivalence and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(B, \mathbb{S}A)^*$$

are binatural isomorphisms). For example

- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(A)$ ($\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$), then $\mathbb{S} \cong A^* \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$ (Nakayama functor)
- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ (X smooth proj), then $\mathbb{S} \cong \omega_X \otimes_X^{\mathbb{L}} -[-\dim X]$

Setup

\mathcal{T} 'nice' triangulated category, for example

- $\mathcal{D}^b(A) := \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, A fin. dim. k -algebra with $\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$ or
- $\mathcal{D}^b(X) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh } X)$, X smooth projective variety / k ;

More precisely, today we assume that \mathcal{T} is

- k -linear (where k is an algebraically closed field);
- Hom-finite;
- with Serre functor $\mathbb{S}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, i.e. \mathbb{S} autoequivalence and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(B, \mathbb{S}A)^*$$

are binatural isomorphisms). For example

- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(A)$ ($\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$), then $\mathbb{S} \cong A^* \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$ (Nakayama functor)
- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ (X smooth proj), then $\mathbb{S} \cong \omega_X \otimes_X^{\mathbb{L}} -[-\dim X]$

Setup

\mathcal{T} 'nice' triangulated category, for example

- $\mathcal{D}^b(A) := \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, A fin. dim. k -algebra with $\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$ or
- $\mathcal{D}^b(X) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh } X)$, X smooth projective variety / k ;

More precisely, today we assume that \mathcal{T} is

- k -linear (where k is an algebraically closed field);
- Hom-finite;
- with Serre functor $\mathbb{S}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, i.e. \mathbb{S} autoequivalence and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(B, \mathbb{S}A)^*$$

are binatural isomorphisms). For example

- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(A)$ ($\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$), then $\mathbb{S} \cong A^* \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$ (Nakayama functor)
- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ (X smooth proj), then $\mathbb{S} \cong \omega_X \otimes_X^{\mathbb{L}} -[-\dim X]$

Aim

Study d -spherelike objects $X \in \mathcal{T}$, i.e.

Setup

\mathcal{T} 'nice' triangulated category, for example

- $\mathcal{D}^b(A) := \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, A fin. dim. k -algebra with $\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$ or
- $\mathcal{D}^b(X) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh } X)$, X smooth projective variety / k ;

More precisely, today we assume that \mathcal{T} is

- k -linear (where k is an algebraically closed field);
- Hom-finite;
- with Serre functor $\mathbb{S}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, i.e. \mathbb{S} autoequivalence and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(B, \mathbb{S}A)^*$$

are binatural isomorphisms). For example

- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(A)$ ($\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$), then $\mathbb{S} \cong A^* \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$ (Nakayama functor)
- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ (X smooth proj), then $\mathbb{S} \cong \omega_X \otimes_X^{\mathbb{L}} -[-\dim X]$

Aim

Study d -spherelike objects $X \in \mathcal{T}$, i.e.

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, X[i])$$

Setup

\mathcal{T} 'nice' triangulated category, for example

- $\mathcal{D}^b(A) := \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, A fin. dim. k -algebra with $\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$ or
- $\mathcal{D}^b(X) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh } X)$, X smooth projective variety / k ;

More precisely, today we assume that \mathcal{T} is

- k -linear (where k is an algebraically closed field);
- Hom-finite;
- with Serre functor $\mathbb{S}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, i.e. \mathbb{S} autoequivalence and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(B, \mathbb{S}A)^*$$

are binatural isomorphisms). For example

- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(A)$ ($\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$), then $\mathbb{S} \cong A^* \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$ (Nakayama functor)
- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ (X smooth proj), then $\mathbb{S} \cong \omega_X \otimes_X^{\mathbb{L}} -[-\dim X]$

Aim

Study d -spherelike objects $X \in \mathcal{T}$, i.e.

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, X[i]) \cong k \oplus k[-d]$$

\mathcal{T} 'nice' triangulated category, for example

- $\mathcal{D}^b(A) := \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, A fin. dim. k -algebra with $\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$ or
- $\mathcal{D}^b(X) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh } X)$, X smooth projective variety / k ;

More precisely, today we assume that \mathcal{T} is

- k -linear (where k is an algebraically closed field);
- Hom-finite;
- with Serre functor $\mathbb{S}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, i.e. \mathbb{S} autoequivalence and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(B, \mathbb{S}A)^*$$

are binatural isomorphisms). For example

- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(A)$ ($\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$), then $\mathbb{S} \cong A^* \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$ (Nakayama functor)
- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ (X smooth proj), then $\mathbb{S} \cong \omega_X \otimes_X^{\mathbb{L}} -[-\dim X]$

Aim

Study d -spherelike objects $X \in \mathcal{T}$, i.e.

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, X[i]) \cong k \oplus k[-d]$$

Setup

\mathcal{T} 'nice' triangulated category, for example

- $\mathcal{D}^b(A) := \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, A fin. dim. k -algebra with $\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$ or
- $\mathcal{D}^b(X) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh } X)$, X smooth projective variety / k ;

More precisely, today we assume that \mathcal{T} is

- k -linear (where k is an algebraically closed field);
- Hom-finite;
- with Serre functor $\mathbb{S}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, i.e. \mathbb{S} autoequivalence and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(B, \mathbb{S}A)^*$$

are binatural isomorphisms). For example

- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(A)$ ($\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$), then $\mathbb{S} \cong A^* \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$ (Nakayama functor)
- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ (X smooth proj), then $\mathbb{S} \cong \omega_X \otimes_X^{\mathbb{L}} -[-\dim X]$

Aim

Study d -spherelike objects $X \in \mathcal{T}$, i.e.

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, X[i]) \cong k \oplus k[-d]$$

Setup

\mathcal{T} 'nice' triangulated category, for example

- $\mathcal{D}^b(A) := \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, A fin. dim. k -algebra with $\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$ or
- $\mathcal{D}^b(X) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh } X)$, X smooth projective variety / k ;

More precisely, today we assume that \mathcal{T} is

- k -linear (where k is an algebraically closed field);
- Hom-finite;
- with Serre functor $\mathbb{S}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, i.e. \mathbb{S} autoequivalence and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(B, \mathbb{S}A)^*$$

are binatural isomorphisms). For example

- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(A)$ ($\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$), then $\mathbb{S} \cong A^* \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$ (Nakayama functor)
- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ (X smooth proj), then $\mathbb{S} \cong \omega_X \otimes_X^{\mathbb{L}} -[-\dim X]$

Aim

Study d -spherelike objects $X \in \mathcal{T}$, i.e.

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, X[i]) \cong k \oplus k[-d]$$

\mathcal{T} 'nice' triangulated category, for example

- $\mathcal{D}^b(A) := \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, A fin. dim. k -algebra with $\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$ or
- $\mathcal{D}^b(X) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh } X)$, X smooth projective variety / k ;

More precisely, today we assume that \mathcal{T} is

- k -linear (where k is an algebraically closed field);
- Hom-finite;
- with Serre functor $\mathbb{S}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, i.e. \mathbb{S} autoequivalence and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(B, \mathbb{S}A)^*$$

are binatural isomorphisms). For example

- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(A)$ ($\text{gl.dim} A < \infty$), then $\mathbb{S} \cong A^* \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$ (Nakayama functor)
- If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ (X smooth proj), then $\mathbb{S} \cong \omega_X \otimes_X^{\mathbb{L}} -[-\dim X]$

Aim

Study d -spherelike objects $X \in \mathcal{T}$, i.e.

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(X) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, X[i]) \cong k \oplus k[-d]$$

Motivation: Why study spherelike objects?

Motivation 1: Geometry/Symmetry/Autoequivalences

*Twist functors: important in **geometry** & predicted by **Mirror Symmetry**.*

Motivation: Why study spherelike objects?

Motivation 1: Geometry/Symmetry/Autoequivalences

*Twist functors: important in **geometry** & predicted by **Mirror Symmetry**.*

Problem: Δ -embeddings need **not preserve CY-property!**

Motivation: Why study spherelike objects?

Motivation 1: Geometry/Symmetry/Autoequivalences

Twist functors: important in geometry & predicted by Mirror Symmetry.

Problem: Δ -embeddings need **not** preserve CY-property!

Motivating example: $\pi^* : \mathcal{D}^b(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$, with $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ **blow-up**.

Motivation: Why study spherelike objects?

Motivation 1: Geometry/Symmetry/Autoequivalences

*Twist functors: important in **geometry** & predicted by **Mirror Symmetry**.*

Problem: Δ -embeddings need **not preserve CY-property!**

Motivating example: $\pi^* : \mathcal{D}^b(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$, with $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ **blow-up**.

*However, images of **d-spherical objects** yield **d-spherelike objects**.*

Motivation: Why study spherelike objects?

Motivation 1: Geometry/Symmetry/Autoequivalences

Twist functors: important in geometry & predicted by Mirror Symmetry.

Problem: Δ -embeddings need **not preserve** CY-property!

Motivating example: $\pi^*: \mathcal{D}^b(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$, with $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ **blow-up**.

However, images of d -spherical objects yield d -spherelike objects.

Motivation 2: Complexity

Abstractly: k -dimension of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^(X)$ **measures complexity** of X .*

Motivation: Why study spherelike objects?

Motivation 1: Geometry/Symmetry/Autoequivalences

Twist functors: important in geometry & predicted by Mirror Symmetry.

Problem: Δ -embeddings need **not preserve** CY-property!

Motivating example: $\pi^*: \mathcal{D}^b(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$, with $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ **blow-up**.

However, images of d -spherical objects yield d -spherelike objects.

Motivation 2: Complexity

Abstractly: k -dimension of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^(X)$ **measures complexity** of X .*

Dimension = 1:

exceptional objects,

Motivation: Why study spherelike objects?

Motivation 1: Geometry/Symmetry/Autoequivalences

Twist functors: important in geometry & predicted by Mirror Symmetry.

Problem: Δ -embeddings need **not preserve** CY-property!

Motivating example: $\pi^*: \mathcal{D}^b(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$, with $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ **blow-up**.

However, images of d -spherical objects yield d -spherelike objects.

Motivation 2: Complexity

Abstractly: k -dimension of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^(X)$ **measures complexity** of X .*

Dimension = 1:

exceptional objects, extensively studied in geometry and repr. theory.

Motivation: Why study spherelike objects?

Motivation 1: Geometry/Symmetry/Autoequivalences

Twist functors: important in geometry & predicted by Mirror Symmetry.

Problem: Δ -embeddings need **not preserve** CY-property!

Motivating example: $\pi^*: \mathcal{D}^b(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$, with $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ **blow-up**.

However, images of d -spherical objects yield d -spherelike objects.

Motivation 2: Complexity

Abstractly: k -dimension of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^(X)$ **measures complexity** of X .*

Dimension = 1:

exceptional objects, extensively studied in geometry and repr. theory.

*Often: **building blocks** for \mathcal{T} , eg. full exceptional collections **bases** for \mathcal{T} .*

Motivation: Why study spherelike objects?

Motivation 1: Geometry/Symmetry/Autoequivalences

Twist functors: important in geometry & predicted by Mirror Symmetry.

Problem: Δ -embeddings need **not** preserve CY-property!

Motivating example: $\pi^*: \mathcal{D}^b(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$, with $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ **blow-up**.

However, images of d -spherical objects yield d -spherelike objects.

Motivation 2: Complexity

Abstractly: k -dimension of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^(X)$ **measures complexity** of X .*

Dimension = 1:

exceptional objects, extensively studied in geometry and repr. theory.

*Often: **building blocks** for \mathcal{T} , eg. full exceptional collections **bases** for \mathcal{T} .*

*Dimension = 2: **spherelike objects***

Motivation: Why study spherelike objects?

Motivation 1: Geometry/Symmetry/Autoequivalences

Twist functors: important in geometry & predicted by Mirror Symmetry.

Problem: Δ -embeddings need **not preserve** CY-property!

Motivating example: $\pi^*: \mathcal{D}^b(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$, with $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ **blow-up**.

However, images of d -spherical objects yield d -spherelike objects.

Motivation 2: Complexity

Abstractly: k -dimension of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^(X)$ **measures complexity** of X .*

Dimension = 1:

exceptional objects, extensively studied in geometry and repr. theory.

*Often: **building blocks** for \mathcal{T} , eg. full exceptional collections **bases** for \mathcal{T} .*

*Dimension = 2: **spherelike objects** – would like to develop general theory.*