3. Exercise Sheet

Inverse Problems 16th November, 2021

### Basic information: Spectral measures and functional calculus

A selfadjoint bounded  $S \in L(H, H) := L(H)$  (*H* Hilbert space) possesses a (compact) spectrum  $\sigma(S) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : |\lambda| \leq ||S||\}$ ; if *S* is positive semi-definite (such is  $T^*T$ ), then  $\sigma(S) \subset [0, ||S||]$ . Based on this spectrum, there is a (unique) spectral measure  $E := E^S$ , with the following properties:  $E^S \colon \mathcal{B}(\sigma(S)) \to L(H)$  s.t.  $E^S(A)$  is an orthogonal projection for  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(S))$  (Borel measurable), with  $E^S(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $E^S(\sigma(S)) = id_H$  and  $E^S(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E^S(A_i)$  for measurable, pairwise disjoint  $A_i$ . Then

$$S = \int_{\sigma(S)} \lambda \, \mathrm{d}E^S(\lambda),\tag{1}$$

where the integral is understood as an integral of a real-valued function with respect to a vector valued (sigma additive) measure (see lecture on Advanced Analysis). In particular, for compact operators,  $E^S$  is a discrete measure and  $E^S(\{\lambda\})$  is the projection onto the eigenspace with respect to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  (and zero otherwise).

Moreover, for a selfadjoint  $S \in L(H)$  and for continuous (more generally bounded Borel measurable) functions  $f: \sigma(S) \to \mathbb{R}$  one can define the selfadjoint operator  $f(S) \in L(H)$  in the following way called functional calculus: For polynomials  $f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i t^i$ , the corresponding operator  $f(S) := \sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i S^i$ , which satisfies

$$(f+g)(S) = f(S) + g(S), \qquad (f \cdot g)(S) = f(S)g(S), \qquad ||f(S)|| \le ||f||_{\infty},$$
 (2)

and can be extended to continuous operators (by density). In particular, if  $f_n \to f$  pointwise such that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||f_n||_{\infty} < \infty$ , then  $f_n(S)x \to f(S)x$  for all  $x \in H$  (convergence in the strong operator topology).

In particular, these two concepts are connected via  $\chi_A(S) = E^S(A)$ , and

$$f(S) = \int_{\sigma(S)} f(\lambda) \, \mathrm{d}E^S(\lambda) \qquad \text{or equivalently} \qquad f(S)x = \int_{\sigma(S)} f(\lambda) \, \mathrm{d}\big(E^S(\lambda)x\big). \tag{3}$$

In the special case of S compact,  $f(S)x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(\lambda_n) \langle u_n, x \rangle u_n + f(0) \mathcal{P}_{\ker(S)}x$ , where  $(\lambda_n, u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an eigenvalue decomposition of S with  $\lambda_n \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\ker(S)}$  is the orthonormal projection onto  $\ker(S)$ .

The integral formula (3) with given x (on the right) can be extended to unbounded measurable functions f, however, f(S) is not necessarily continuous and  $\text{Dom}(f(S)) = \{x \in X \mid ||f(S)x|| < \infty\}$ , where  $||f(S)x||^2 = \int_{\sigma(S)} |f(\lambda)|^2 d||E^S(\lambda)x||^2$  if finite. The properties (2) remain valid.

# **Example 3.1)** [Singular value decomposition of Hilbert-Schmidt operators] Prove the statement of example 2.4b).

## Example 3.2) [Functional Calculus]

Let  $T \in L(X, Y)$  between Hilbert spaces X and Y. The following statements hold: For continuous  $f: \sigma(S) \to \mathbb{R}$  it holds that  $f(T^*T)T^* = T^*f(TT^*)$ . Also,  $\operatorname{Rg}(T^*) = \operatorname{Rg}(|T|)$  (with  $|T| := (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ).

a) Show these statements for compact T via the sum representation of the functional

University of Graz	Institut für Mathematik
	und wissenschaft. Rechnen



calculus and the singular value decomposition.

**b)** Bonus: Show the statement for bounded T (not necessarily compact).

**Hint.** There is a unitary mapping  $U: \overline{\operatorname{Rg}(|T|)} \to \overline{\operatorname{Rg}(T)}$  such that T = U|T| (see polar decomposition in Functional Analysis).

#### Example 3.3) [Pseudo-inverse via spectral measure]

Let  $T \in L(X, Y)$  between Hilbert spaces. In the lecture, it was shown that  $T^{\dagger} = (T^*T)^{\dagger}T^*$ .

- a) Show that  $(T^*T)^{\dagger} = \int_{\sigma(T^*T)\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{\lambda} dE^{T^*T}(\lambda)$ . What is  $\text{Dom}((T^*T)^{\dagger})$  and in which way does this characterize  $\text{Dom}(T^{\dagger})$ ?
- **b)** For  $\mu \ge 0$ , the set  $X_{\mu} = \operatorname{Rg}((T^*T)^{\mu})$  is called the source set to  $\mu$ . Find a characterization of  $X_{\mu}$  via the spectral measure  $E^{T^*T}$ .

**Hint.**  $E^{T^*T}(\{0\})$  corresponds to the orthogonal projection onto  $\ker(T^*T) = \ker(T)$ .

**Remark.** Point a) gives us a concrete definition of  $T^{\dagger}$  via the spectral measure and  $T^*y$ . This will be useful in finding suitable approximations (regularization) by replacing  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  by bounded functions, see later in the lecture. Since T compact is understood to possess some kind of smoothing properties, elements of  $X_{\mu}$  are somehow more 'regular'; the higher  $\mu$  the more so. This regularity will be exploited in the lecture to find convergence rates of regularization methods.

#### Example 3.4) [Showalter regularization]

Let  $T \in L(X, Y)$  between Hilbert spaces and  $y \in Y$  fixed. We consider the corresponding (functional) differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t}(t) + T^*Tx(t) = T^*y \qquad t \ge 0, \\ x(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where  $x \in \mathcal{C}^1([0,\infty), X)$  (continuously Fréchet differentiable with  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}(t) := \lim_{|h| \to 0} \frac{x(t+h) - x(t)}{h}$ ).

- a) Assuming that T is compact, compute a solution of (4) by decoupling into suitable differential equations for complex valued functions. (Here it is not so important to be perfectly rigorous). Find functions  $(f_t)_{t\geq 0}$  with  $f_t: \sigma(T^*T) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(t) = f_t(T^*T)T^*y$ .
- b) Show that there exists a unique solution to (4). Hint: Show rigorously that  $x(t) = f_t(T^*T)T^*y$  is a solution. Uniqueness can be shown by classical energy estimates, i.e., consider  $\frac{\partial ||x(t)||^2}{\partial t}$  if y = 0.
- c) Show that  $||x(t)|| \to \infty$  for  $t \to \infty$  if  $y \notin \text{Dom}(T^{\dagger})$ , while if  $y \in \text{Dom}(T^{\dagger})$ , then  $x(t) \to T^{\dagger}y$ . Hint: Consider the derivative of  $||x(t) T^{\dagger}y||^2$  when  $y \in \text{Dom}(T^{\dagger})$ .

**Remark.** As stated in Example 3.3 one could replace  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  with a bounded function. Here, this function  $f_t$  is given implicitly via (4), with the motivation that x(t) is always moving in a direction reducing the residue, i.e., a gradient flow (gradient descent). Concerning the solvability, the theorem of Picard-Lindelöf would also holds in Hilbert spaces.