

§ 2.1 Intro + Motivation

①

Homological algebra first appeared in connection to topological spaces. "homology" is a way of assoc. a sequence of modules (or groups, or ...) to another object, e.g., a topological space.
→ homology of top. space encodes all top. info about the space in algebraic language.

More formally: Idea is to study complexes and their homology from an abstract perspective.

Some of the most important definitions: let R be any (unital) ring
Def 2.1.1 A (chain) complex of R -modules $(C_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ is a sequence of R -modules C_i and R -module homom.
need: $\text{im}(\partial_{n+1}) \subseteq \ker(\partial_n)$

$$\cdots \rightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

such that $\partial_n \circ \partial_{n+1} = 0 \quad \forall n$. We say that C_n is the module in homological degree n , and the maps ∂_n are the differentials of the complex.

(Sometimes: omit ∂_n and just write $C_\bullet$ for α .)

[There is also a co-chain complex where arrows go the other way
 $\cdots C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_{n+1} \cdots \rightsquigarrow$ not used here]

We say a complex C is bounded above if $C_n = 0 \quad \forall n \gg 0$ and bounded below if $C_n = 0 \quad \forall n \ll 0$. A bounded α is one that is bounded above and below. If $C_\bullet$ is bounded, we just write:
 $C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_m$.

Prop 2.1.2: $\partial_n \circ \partial_{n+1} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{im}(\partial_{n+1}) \subseteq \ker(\partial_n)$.

Def 2.1.3 The α . $(C_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ is exact at n if $\ker \partial_n = \text{im} \partial_{n+1}$. An exact seq. is a α . that is exact everywhere:

$$M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} M_n \xrightarrow{f_n} M_{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \ker f_n = \text{im} f_{n+1}$$

Already seen: short exact seq: $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$.
(see)

(2)

Rmk 2.1.4 1st isom. thm: $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ seq $\Rightarrow C \cong B/f(A)$ $\approx A$

Notation: 2.1.5: $A \twoheadrightarrow B$ denotes a surjective map
 $A \hookrightarrow B$ denotes an injective map

Def 2.1.6: The cokernel of $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ is $\text{coker}(f) := B/\text{im}(f)$.

$\leadsto$ For seq $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$: $A = \ker g$, $C = \text{coker } f$.

Ex 2.1.7 (types of $\mathbb{Z}$ -mods): $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$

(2) Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal, $i: I \hookrightarrow R$ inclusion, $\pi: R \rightarrow R/I$ proj.

$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{i} R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/I \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow 0$ seq

(3) Let $R = k[x]/(x^2)$, then have ∞ -exact α :

$$\dots \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\cdot x} R \xrightarrow{\cdot x} R \rightarrow \dots \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{im}(\cdot x) = Rx \\ \ker(\cdot x) = Rx \end{array} \right)$$

(4) $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} N \rightarrow 0$ is exact $\Leftrightarrow f$ is isomorphism.

(5) $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact $\Leftrightarrow M = 0$.

These chain α . first appeared in alg. top $\leadsto$ homology.

Def 2.1.8: The homology of the complex $(C_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ is the sequence of R -modules $H_n(C_\bullet) = H_n(C) := \ker(\partial_n) / \text{im}(\partial_{n+1})$.

$$C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1}$$

The n -th homology of $(C_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ is $H_n(C)$. The submodules $Z_n(C) = Z_n(C) := \ker(\partial_n) \subseteq C_n$ are called cycles, and the submodules $B_n(C_\bullet) = B_n(C) := \text{im}(\partial_{n+1}) \subseteq C_n$ are called boundaries.

Sometimes: an element $X \in B_n(C)$ is called boundary, and
 $X \in Z_n(C)$ is called cycle. $\rightarrow$ n -boundary
 n -cycle

The homology of a complex measures how far the ex. is from being exact at each step. Again: say cohomology of chain co., written $H^n(C^\bullet) = H^n(C) = \ker(\partial_{n+1}) / \text{im}(\partial_n)$ (3) Won't be messing with those.

Rmk 2.1.9 A complex $(C_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ is exact at $n \iff H_n(C_\bullet) = 0$.

Example 2.1.10 (1) Let $R = k[x]/(x^3)$ and consider the α :

$$C_\bullet = \dots \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\cdot x^2} R \xrightarrow{\cdot x^2} R \xrightarrow{\cdot x^2} \dots$$

Here $\partial_n(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot x^2 \quad \forall n$ and $\text{im}(\partial_n) = \langle x^2 \rangle \leftarrow \text{the ideal } \langle x^2 \rangle \subseteq R$
 $\ker(\partial_n) = \{ \alpha \in R : \alpha x^2 = 0 \} = \langle x \rangle$ clearly $\ker(\partial_n) \supseteq \langle x \rangle$
if α has a const. term $\Rightarrow \alpha \cdot x^2$ has term of deg 2 $\Rightarrow \neq 0$.

Thus $\forall n: H_n(C_\bullet) = \langle x \rangle / \langle x^2 \rangle \cong R / \langle x \rangle \cong k$.

(2) Let $C_\bullet: \overset{0}{\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{\cdot 4} \overset{1}{\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \overset{0}{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow 0 \dots$ α . of ab. groups

Here $\partial_2(m) = 4m$, $\partial_1(m) = m \bmod 2$ and $\partial_n(m) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{2, 1\}$

$\Rightarrow H_n(C) = 0 \quad \forall n \geq 3$ and $\forall n \leq -1$

• $H_2(C) = \ker(\cdot 4) / \text{im}(0) = 0/0 = 0$.

• $H_1(C) = \ker(\pi) / \text{im}(\cdot 4) = 2\mathbb{Z} / 4\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

• $H_0(C) = \ker(0) / \text{im}(\pi) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) / (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

$C_\bullet$ is exact every where, except at $n = 1$.

In order to develop more concepts in homological algebra, we need some category theory language - introduce some basics, then get back to hom. algebra.

§2.2. Cat. theory basics

"abstract nonsense": language to talk about objects with the same properties: e.g. rings + homom. $\leadsto$ groups + homom. $\leadsto$ top. spaces + cont. maps

Intro to cat. theory:

"collection" of obj. in cat, not "set" (4)

In order to not run into problems, we use ZFC (Zermelo-Fraenkel with choice) axiomatization of set theory - find details elsewhere!

Categories

Def 2.2.1 A category $\mathcal{C}$ consists of the following data:

- a collection of objects: $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$,
- for each two objects $A, B \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, a collection $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ of arrows or morphisms from A to B , and
- for each three objects $A, B, C \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, a composition $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, C)$
 $(f, g) \mapsto g \circ f$ usually write gf ,

satisfying the following axioms:

(1) The $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ are all disjoint. In part, if $f \in \mathcal{C}$ is an arrow, then its source is A and its target is B as objects $A, B \in \mathcal{C} \leadsto f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$.

(2) For each $A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, there is an identity arrow $1_A \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, A)$ s.t. $1_A \circ f = f$ and $g \circ 1_A = g$ $\forall f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ and $\forall g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$.

(3) Composition is associative: $f \circ (g \circ h) = (f \circ g) \circ h$ for all appropriately chosen arrows.

Notation: Write: $f: A \rightarrow B$ or $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ for $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$.

Ex 1: Show that every element in a cat. has a unique identity morphism. (Let $A \xrightarrow{1_A} A$ and $A \xrightarrow{i_A} A$ be id arrows

BOLD Set
write underlin.

Example 2.2.2: (1) The cat Set with objects all sets and arrows all functions between sets.

- (2) Grp whose objects are the collection of all groups and arrows = group homom. . arrows 1_G are identity homom.
- (3) Ab with objects abelian groups and arrows are homom. of abelian groups, identity = id. homom.
- (4) Ring with objects rings and arrows ring homom.
- (5) R-mod left modules over a fixed ring R with R -module (mod- R : right modules) homom.

Similar R -mod : f.g. R -modules and their homom.

If $R = k$ a field, then objects are k -vector spaces and arrows linear tr. f. $\leadsto$ write Vect k .

- (6) The cat Top of top. spaces and continuous fcts.

One may consider many variations, e.g. : fin. dim'l vs. n -dim'l vs. with all lin. tr. f.

Not cat : $(n$ -dim'l) vs. + nonzero lin. maps : not closed under $\circ$
 - " - + maps with $\det = 0$: $\nexists$ identity maps

- (7) Set^{*} : (pointed sets) : object (X, x) sets X with pt $x \in X$ and morphism $\rightarrow (Y, y)$ are $f : X \rightarrow Y$ s.t. $f(x) = y$ + compos.

- (8) The empty cat has no objects and no arrows.

- (9) Path cat. of a quiver Q : objects = Q_0 and $\text{Hom}(i, j) =$ set of paths from i to j . (K -lin. path cat of Q : obj. = Q_0 , $\text{Hom}(i, j) = K$ -module with basis the paths $i \rightarrow j$)

Def 2.2.3 A cat $\mathcal{C}$ is called locally small if for all objects A, B in $\mathcal{C}$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ is a set. A cat $\mathcal{C}$ is small if it is locally small and the collection of objects is a set. In loc. small cat : Hom-sets.

Concrete cat : objects are sets with extra structure and arrows are fct. between objects.

E.g. : Set is locally small but not small.

Ex. 2.2.4 $\hookrightarrow$ used for limits Let $(X, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set : X itself is a cat.

objects are $x \in X$, and $\forall x, y \in X : \text{Hom}_X(x, y) = \begin{cases} \text{singleton} & \text{if } x \leq y \\ \emptyset & \text{if } x \not\leq y \end{cases}$ or (6)

Only one way to form composition: if there is an arrow $i \rightarrow j$ and an arrow $j \rightarrow k$, then $i \leq j$ and $j \leq k \Rightarrow \exists$ unique arrow $i \rightarrow k$.

This cat is clearly locally small, since all non-empty Hom-sets are singletons. It is also small, since the objects are (by construction) the set X . This cat. is called poset category denoted by $\underline{PO}(X)$.

$\rightarrow$ ex: $\underline{n}$ = cat with objects $0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\text{Hom}(i, j) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } i > j \\ \text{singleton} & \text{if } i \leq j \end{cases}$

This is $\underline{PO}(\{0, \dots, n-1\}, \leq)$

Rmk 2.2.5 A locally small cat ^{with just one element} is completely determined by its unique Hom-set: it thus consists of a set S with an associative operation that has an identity element $\rightarrow$ we call this a semi-group.

Now we get to morphisms: most concepts in cat. theory can be understood via diagrams $\leadsto$ "hom. algebra is a way to study commutative diagrams."

Def 2.2.6. A diagram in a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a directed multigraph whose vertices are objects in $\mathcal{C}$ and whose arrows are morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$. A commut. diagram in $\mathcal{C}$ is a diagram in $\mathcal{C}$ in which for each pair of vertices A and B , any two paths from A to B compose to the same morphism.

Ex 2.2.7 The diagram $\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \downarrow u & \searrow g & \downarrow v \\ D & \xrightarrow{r} & C \end{array}$ commutes $\Leftrightarrow g \circ f = v \circ u$.

Def 2.2.8 Let $\mathcal{C}$ be any category.

(1) an arrow $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ is left (right) invertible if there

exists $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ such that $gf = 1_A$ ($fg = 1_B$) (7)
 In this case, g is called the left (right) inverse of f .
 (g is left (right) inverse of f if the following diagram commutes:)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{left: } A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ & \searrow \text{id}_A & \downarrow g \\ & A & \end{array} \quad gf = 1_A \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{right: } B & \xrightarrow{g} & A \\ & \searrow 1_B & \downarrow f \\ & B & \end{array} \quad fg = 1_B$$

(2) An arrow $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ is an isomorphism if $\exists g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ s.t. $gf = 1_A$ and $fg = 1_B$. Then g is the inverse of f . Two objects A and B are isomorphic if $\exists$ an isomorphism $A \rightarrow B$.

(3) An arrow $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, C)$ is mono (or monomorphism or mono) if for all arrows $g_1, g_2: A \rightarrow B$: $A \xrightarrow{g_1} B \xrightarrow{f} C$ if $fg_1 = fg_2$, then $g_1 = g_2$.

(4) An arrow $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ is an epi or epimorphism or epic if for all arrows $g_1, g_2: B \rightarrow C$: $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g_1} C$ if $g_1f = g_2f$, then $g_1 = g_2$.

Exercise: Show that in Set the monos coincide with inj. fcts and epis coincide with surjective fcts.