

WEEK 5

①

last week: Discussed how to make a right R -module M into a left R^{op} -module:

Define a map: $R^{\text{op}} \times M \rightarrow M$

$$(a, m) \mapsto a \cdot^{\text{op}} m = m \cdot a \quad \forall a \in R, \forall m \in M.$$

This makes M a left R^{op} -module: $\forall a, b \in R, \forall m \in M$

This week: Artin-Wedderburn structure theorem. But first:

Theorem 1.3.15 Let R be simple and artinian, M a simple (left) R -module, $D = \text{End}_R(M)$ and $n = \dim_D(M)$

this is well-def $\rightarrow$ every module over a div. ring is free $\sim$ dim = rk of this free mod

Then: $R \cong \text{End}_D M \cong M_n(D^{\text{op}})$ as rings.

Proof: Define $\Phi: R \rightarrow \text{End}_D M$

$$a \mapsto l_a: M \rightarrow M \\ x \mapsto a \cdot x$$

this is a ring hom (!) $\Phi(a+b)(x) = (a+b)(x) = ax + bx = \Phi(a)(x) + \Phi(b)(x)$
 $\Phi(ab)(x) = (ab)x = a(bx) = \Phi(a)(\Phi(b)(x))$

$$\Phi(a)(\Phi(b)(x)) = \Phi(a)(bx) = abx$$

$$\Phi(1)(x) = x = \text{id}_{\text{End}_D M}$$

let $a \in \ker \Phi$, then $\forall x \in R$
 $\Phi(a) = 0 \Rightarrow ax = 0 \forall x \in M$
 $a \cdot 1 = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$

Since $\ker \Phi = \{a \in R : ax = 0 \forall x \in M\}$ is a two-sided ideal in R and $1 \notin \ker \Phi$, it follows (from R simple), that $\ker \Phi = 0$.

$$\Phi(1)(x) = \text{id}_{\text{End}_D M} \neq 0 \text{ since } M \neq 0 \text{ } \leftarrow M \text{ simple}$$

$\Rightarrow \Phi$ is monomorphism.

In order to show surjectivity, we want to use Jacobson density thm, but for this we need finitely many elements, therefore

Claim: $\dim_D(M) < \infty$. (2)

Pf of Claim: Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{m_1, \dots, m_s\} \subset M$ be D -linearly independent and for $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ define

$$A_i = \{a \in R : am_j = 0 \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, i\}\} \subset R$$

clearly, $A_s \subset R$ is a left ideal. Since $m_1, \dots, m_s$ are D -linearly independent, there is, for all $y_1, \dots, y_s \in M$, some $f \in \text{End}_D M$ with $f(m_i) = y_i \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ [f is defined by values of a basis $\rightarrow$ part in part: $f(m_i) = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, s$ of basis also gives map]

By Thm 1.3.14, $\exists a \in R$ with $f(m_i) = am_i = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$.

$$\Rightarrow A_1 \supsetneq A_2 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq A_s.$$

$A_1 = \{a \in R : am_1 = 0\}$ Choose $y_1 = 0$, others don't care

$A_2 = \{a \in R : am_1 = am_2 = 0\} \rightarrow y_1 = 0, y_2 = 0$

$\forall a \in A_2 \rightarrow am_1 = 0 \Rightarrow a \in A_1$

$\forall$ we choose $y_1 = 0, y_2 \neq 0 \rightarrow$ get a with $am_1 = 0, am_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow a \in A_1 \setminus A_2$ etc.

If there was an infinite set of D -linearly independent elements, then we would have an infinite descending chain of left ideals. $\nrightarrow$ to R artinian. $\square$ Claim

Now let $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ be a D -basis of M . Again, by Thm 1.3.14 for every $f \in \text{End}_D(M)$, there is an $a \in R$ with $f(m_i) = am_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$.

$\Rightarrow f(m) = am = \varphi_a(m) \quad \forall m \in M$. Hence Φ is surjective.

$\Rightarrow \Phi$ is a ring isomorphism.

Since M is a free D -module of rank n . $M \cong D^n$

$\xRightarrow{\text{Lemma 1.3.12 (4)}} \text{End}_D M \cong \text{End}_D(D^n) \cong M_n(\text{End}_D(D))$ as rings

$\hookrightarrow \text{End}_R(M^n) = M_n(\text{End}_R(M))$

So it just remains to show that: $\text{End}_D D \cong D^{\text{op}}$ (as rings).

Consider the map $\psi: D^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}_D D$

(3)

$$a \mapsto f_a: D \rightarrow D: x \mapsto x \cdot a$$

ψ is inj. $[\psi(a)(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in D \Rightarrow x \cdot a = 0 \ \forall x \in D \Leftrightarrow a = 0]$

For any $g \in \text{End}_D D: (x \mapsto g(x))$, then $\forall x \in D: g(x) = g(x \cdot 1) = x \cdot g(1)$
 $\Rightarrow g(x) = \underbrace{\psi(g(1))(x)}_{x \cdot g(1)} \Rightarrow \psi$ is surjective.

ψ is a ring hom: additive $\checkmark$

$$\psi(1_D) = (x \mapsto x \cdot 1_D = x) = \text{id}_{\text{End}_D D} \checkmark$$

$$\psi(a \cdot {}^{\text{op}}b)(x) = \psi(b \cdot a)(x) = x \cdot (b \cdot a) = x \cdot b \cdot a$$

$$\psi(a)(\psi(b)(x)) = \psi(a)(x \cdot b) = (x \cdot b) \cdot a = x \cdot b \cdot a. \checkmark$$

$$\Rightarrow D^{\text{op}} \cong \text{End}_D(D). \square$$

Cor 1.3.16 For a simple ring R , TFAE:

- thm 1.3.16*
- (a) R is left artinian.
 - (b) R has a minimal left ideal.
 - (c) R is semi-simple.

(d) R is isomorphic to a matrix algebra over a division ring.

Pf: This follows from Thms 1.3.11, 1.3.15, and Ex. 1.3.5.

Theorem 1.3.17 (Artin-Wedderburn) $\leftarrow$ *or "WHAM": 1893 Wedderburn: Thm for c. fin. dim. algs*
 TFAE:

(a) R is semi-simple.

(b) $R \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \dots \times M_{n_s}(D_s)$ where $s, n_1, \dots, n_s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D_1, \dots, D_s$ are division rings.

Moreover, if R satisfies these conditions, then $s, n_1, \dots, n_s$, and $D_1, \dots, D_s$ are uniquely determined.

1907: Wedderburn: f. fin. algs over arb. fields
 1927: E. Artin: ACC + DCC
 1939: Hopkins: ACC follows from DCC

Pf: (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) By Thm 1.3.10 R is a direct sum of simple rings ⁽²⁾ and each of them is semi-simple. $(R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r R_i \leadsto \text{each } R_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} L_{ij})$
 the L_{ij} are also, R_i -mod. simple)

The statement follows from Cor 1.3.16.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) By our example 1.3.5, matrix rings over division rings are semi-simple (and simple!) and thus their product is semi-simple.

Proof of uniqueness: Suppose that

$$M_{n_1}(D_1) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{n_s}(D_s) \cong M_{m_1}(D'_1) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{m_r}(D'_r) \text{ as rings,}$$

where $n, s, n_1, \dots, n_s, m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ and D_i, D'_j are division rings.

Since this is an isom. of semi-simple rings, their 2-sided ideals coincide $\xRightarrow{\text{Thm 1.3.10}}$ $r=s$ and (after possible renumbering) $M_{n_i}(D_i) \cong M_{m_i}(D'_i)$

$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, so we only have to verify the following:

Claim Let D, D' division rings, $m, n \geq 1$, such that $M_n(D) \cong M_m(D')$.

Then $m=n$ and $D \cong D'$.

Pf of claim: Set $R = M_n(D)$ and $R' = M_m(D')$. Then

$$L = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}_n = Re \text{ with } e = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{idempotent}} \in R.$$

$\downarrow$
assume this is called e

L is a min. left ideal of R . Similarly,

$$L' = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} D' & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D' & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = R'f \text{ is min. left ideal in } R',$$

The ^{given} ring isomorphism $\gamma: R \rightarrow R', Re \mapsto R'e'$ $\xrightarrow{\text{idemp. of } R} \xrightarrow{\text{idemp. of } R'} R \xrightarrow{\gamma} R' \xrightarrow{\text{nat. } e' \mapsto f} R'$

induces (via base change in R') an isom $\gamma: R \rightarrow R'$

mapping $e \mapsto f$ and L to L' and hence $D \cong eRe \mapsto fR'f \cong D'$

Finally: $\dim_D(R) = n^2$
 $\dim_{D'}(R') = m^2 \Rightarrow n^2 = m^2 \Rightarrow n = m. \square$

(5)

Remark 1.3.18

(1) By Thm 1.3.17 we also obtain the following symmetric statements: For a ring R TFAE:

- (a) R is semi-simple (i.e. R is $\overset{2,2}{\text{left-}} R$ -module, written ${}_R R$)
- (b) R is a finite direct product of simple left artinian rings.
- (c) $R \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{n_s}(D_s)$, with parameters as in 1.3.17
- (d) R_R is a semi-simple right module.
- (e) R is a finite direct product of simple right artinian rings.

Similar statements for simple rings

- (2) A commutative semi-simple ring is isomorphic to a finite direct product of fields.
- (3) A semi-simple ring having no nonzero zero-divisors is a division ring.

§1.4 Jacobson Radical

Def 1.4.1. Let M be an R -module. The Jacobson radical $J(M)$ of M is the intersection of all maximal submodules of M .
 (Convention: If M doesn't have any max. submodules, then $J(M) = M$).

proper! ($N \subsetneq M$)

Examples 1.4.2

(1) From comm. algebra: $J(R) = \bigcap_{\substack{\downarrow R \text{ comm.} \\ \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spec max}(R)}} \mathfrak{m}$

$\Rightarrow$ If R is local, i.e. $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ only has one max. ideal

then $J(R) = m$
 (2) Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} k & k \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix} \subseteq M_2(k)$ upper triangular matrices
 left ideals $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} k & k \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 $\downarrow$ max $\downarrow$ not max $\downarrow$ max
 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix} \cap \begin{pmatrix} k & k \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = J(R)$.

(3) If M is semi-simple, then $J(M) = 0$.

Pf: If M is simple, then 0 is the only max. submodule $\Rightarrow J(M) = 0$.

If $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$, all M_i simple, $|I| \geq 2$, then $\forall i \in I$, $N_i = \bigoplus_{j \in I, j \neq i} M_j$

is a maximal submodule of M . Clearly $\bigcap_{i \in I} N_i = 0$. $\square$

(4) $J(\mathbb{Z}) = \bigcap_{p \text{ prime}} p\mathbb{Z} = 0$

(5) $J(M) = \bigcap \ker \varphi$, where the intersection runs over all $\varphi: M \rightarrow E$ with E simple.

Pf: If $0 \neq \varphi: M \rightarrow E$, where E is simple, then φ must be surjective [im(φ) is submodule of E] $\Rightarrow E \cong M/\ker \varphi$.
 Hom. Thm

$\Rightarrow \ker \varphi$ is maximal [see Rmk 1.2.2 (2)(c)]

Conversely, every max. submodule $N \subset M$ is the kernel of the projection $\pi: M \rightarrow \overline{M/N}$. simple by Rmk 1.2.2

Lemma 1.4.3 (1) If $\varphi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$, then $\varphi(J(M_1)) \subseteq J(M_2)$.

(2) If $N \subset M$ is a submodule with $N \subset J(M)$, then $J(M/N) = J(M)/N$.

(3) $J(M/J(M)) = 0$.

(4) If $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of R -modules, then $J(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} J(M_i)$.

Pf: (1) For every homom. $\psi: M_2 \rightarrow E$, where E is simple, we get a homom. $\psi \circ \varphi: M_1 \rightarrow E \xRightarrow{1.4.2.15} J(M_1) \subset \ker(\psi \circ \varphi)$

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} M_2 \xrightarrow{\psi} E$$

$\Rightarrow \varphi(J(M_1)) \subset \ker(\psi)$.

$$\text{Set } m_1 \in J(M_1) \Rightarrow \psi(\varphi(m_1)) = 0$$

$$\psi(\varphi(J(M_1))) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(J(M_1)) \subset \ker \psi.$$

Since $J(M_2) = \bigcap_{\substack{f: M_2 \rightarrow S \\ S \text{ simple}}} \ker(f) \Rightarrow \psi(J(M_2)) \subseteq \ker(\psi)$. (7)

(2) The maximal submodules of M/N are of the form M'/N with $N \subseteq M'$ and $M' \subsetneq M$ maximal. Since $N \subseteq J(M)$, for any such M' we have $N \subsetneq M'$. Thus $J(M/N) = \bigcap_{\substack{M' \subsetneq M \\ \text{max.}}} M'/N$. By def. $J(M)/N = (\bigcap_{M' \subseteq M \text{ max.}} M')/N = \bigcap_{M' \subseteq M \text{ max.}} M'/N$.

(3) Set $N = J(M)$ in (2): $J(M/J(M)) = J(M)/J(M) = 0$. $\square$

(4) We set $M := \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$. Consider $\varepsilon_i: M_i \hookrightarrow M$ inclusion. Then by (1), $\varepsilon_i(J(M_i)) \subseteq J(M) \forall i \in I \Rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} J(M_i) \subseteq J(M)$.

For the other inclusion, consider $p_i: M \rightarrow M_i$ projection.

Then (1) says that $p_i(J(M)) \subseteq J(M_i) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in I} J(M_i)$ for any $i \in I$. and hence $J(M) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in I} J(M_i)$. $\square$

Cor 1.4.4. If M is an artinian R -module, then $M/J(M)$ is semi-simple.

Pf: Since M is artinian and intersections of modules forms a descending chain ($M_1 \supseteq M_1 \cap M_2 \supseteq M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3 \supseteq \dots$ for any submods $M_i \subseteq M, i \in I$), the chain of inters. of max. submods must become stationary, so it is enough to consider finitely many:

$J(M) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i$ for some $M_i \subseteq M$ max. Thus have a seq:

$$0 \rightarrow J(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M/M_i$$

M_i max in $M \Rightarrow M/M_i$ is simple

From this get injective map $\psi: M/J(M) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M/M_i$

$\hookrightarrow M/J(M)$ since ψ injective!

$$m + J(M) \mapsto (m + M_i)$$

$\Rightarrow \psi(M/J(M))$ is isom. to a submodule of a s.s. module and thus itself semi-simple. $\square$

Def 1.4.5

- (1) An element $a \in R$ is called nilpotent if $\exists n \geq 1$ s.t. $a^n = 0$.
- (2) A subset $I \subseteq R$ is called nil if every $a \in I$ is nilpotent.
- (3) A left (resp. right, resp. two-sided) ideal I is called nilpotent if there is an $n \geq 1$ such that $I^n = 0$.

Examples: • By def. every nilpotent ideal is nil.

• $M_n(F) = \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$ = ring of upper triangular matrices, any matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is nilpotent. Hence $I = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is nilpotent ideal.
 $\{a \in R : a \cdot e = 0 \forall e \in E\}$ 2-sided

Lemma 1.4.6 (1) $J(R) = \bigcap_{E \text{ simple}} \text{ann}_R(E) \subseteq R$ is a 2-sided ideal
 (2) If $I \subseteq R$ is a nil left ideal, then $I \subseteq J(R)$.

Pf (1) Any annihilator $\text{ann}_R(M)$ of a left R -module is a 2-sided ideal: $\text{ann}_R(M) = \{x \in R : x \cdot m = 0 \forall m \in M\}$

$$\text{Let } r \in R, x \in \text{ann}_R(M) : (r \cdot x) \cdot m = r(xm) = r \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow rx \in \text{ann}_R(M) \\ (x \cdot r) \cdot m = x \cdot (rm) = 0 \Rightarrow xr \in \text{ann}_R(M).$$

Thus enough to show $J(R) = \bigcap_{E \text{ simple}} \text{ann}_R(E)$. isom. thm: $R_x \cong R/\text{ann}_R(x)$

If E is simple, then write $E = Rx$ for any $x \neq 0 \in E \Rightarrow E \cong R/\text{ann}_R(x)$.
 $\Rightarrow \text{ann}_R(x)$ is a maximal left ideal of R .

Conversely, if $I \subseteq R$ is a maximal left ideal, then R/I is a simple R -module and $I = \text{ann}_R(R/I)$. $x \in \text{ann}_R(R/I) \Leftrightarrow x \cdot (1+I) = 0+I \Leftrightarrow x+I = 0+I \Leftrightarrow x \in I$

Thus we have $J(R) = \bigcap_{E \text{ simple}} \left(\bigcap_{x \in E} \text{ann}_R(x) \right) = \bigcap_{E \text{ simple}} \text{ann}_R(E)$.

(2) Let $a \in I$. By (1) it suffices to show that $aE = 0 \forall E \text{ simple}$.
 Let E be a simple R -module and assume on the contrary that $\exists x \neq 0 \in E$ with $ax \neq 0$. Then $R(ax) = E$, and thus $J(R) \in R$

(9)

s.t. $b(x) = x$. Then $(ba)^2 x = ba(bax) = bax = x \implies (ba)^n x = x \forall n$.
 But $a \in I$ implies $ba \in I$ and ba nilpotent, i.e. $\exists m \geq 1$ s.t. $(ba)^m = 0$
 $\nRightarrow \square$

Now we will approach the noncomm. Nakayama lemma, we need some notation first:

Def 1.4.7 Let M be an R -module. A submodule $M' \subseteq M$ is called superfluous (in M) if for all submodules $N \subseteq M$ the equation $N + M' = M$ implies that $M = N$.

Lemma 1.4.8 Let M be an R -module.

(1) TFAE: (a) M is finitely generated.

(b) $M/J(M)$ is f.g. and $J(M)$ is superfluous.

(2) $J(R)M \subseteq J(M)$.

(3) NAKAYAMA'S LEMMA: If M is finitely generated, then $J(R)M$ is superfluous.

Pf: (1) (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): A factor module of a f.g. module is f.g.

$\Rightarrow M/J(M)$ is f.g. For the second part, take $N \subsetneq M$. By Rmk 1.2.2. N is contained in a max. submodule M' , i.e. $N \subseteq M' \subsetneq M$.

By def., $J(M) \subseteq M'$, and so also $N + J(M) \subseteq M'$. Hence:

$N + J(M) \subsetneq M$ for any $N \subsetneq M$. Thus, if $N + J(M) = M$, we must have that $N = M$.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) Let $n \geq 1$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ such that $M/J(M) = \sum_{i=1}^n R(x_i + J(M))$
 Then $M = (\sum_{i=1}^n R x_i) + J(M)$. Since $J(M)$ is superfluous, it follows that $M = \sum_{i=1}^n R x_i$.