

# Group algebras, MARKUS M.R. TRIPP

→ development of modern noncommutative algebra was strongly motivated by representation theory of groups

IDEA OF REPRESENT. THEORY: study a group  $G$  by representing it in terms of linear transformations on a vector space  $V$

Definition: A representation of a group  $G$  on a vector space  $V$  over a field  $K$  is a group homomorphism

$$\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(V) := \{T: V \rightarrow V : T \text{ } K\text{-linear and invertible}\}$$

Remark: (1) This is equivalent to the existence of a "multiplication"  $\cdot: G \times V \rightarrow V$  s.t.

$$g \cdot (h \cdot v) = (gh) \cdot v, \quad e \cdot v = v, \quad g \cdot (v + \lambda w) = g \cdot v + \lambda(g \cdot w)$$

for all  $g, h \in G, \lambda \in K, v, w \in V$  (in short: a the linear structure of  $V$  preserving group action of  $G$  on  $V$ )

Proof: " $\Rightarrow$ ": Assume  $\rho$  representation; def.  $\cdot: G \times V \rightarrow V, g \cdot v := \rho(g)(v)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then: } g \cdot (h \cdot v) &= \rho(g)(\underline{h \cdot v}) = (\rho(g) \circ \rho(h))(v) = (gh) \cdot v, \\ &= \rho(h)(v) \quad \underline{= \rho(gh)} \quad (\rho \text{ group hom!}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e \cdot v &= \underline{\rho(e)}(v) = v, \quad g \cdot (v + \lambda w) = \rho(g)(v + \lambda w) \stackrel{\rho(g) \text{ } K\text{-lin.}}{=} \rho(g)(v) + \lambda \rho(g)(w) \\ &= \text{id}_V \quad (\rho \text{ group hom!}) \quad \quad \quad = g \cdot v + \lambda(g \cdot w) \end{aligned}$$

" $\Leftarrow$ ": Conversely, let  $\cdot: G \times V \rightarrow V$  be a "multiplication"; def.  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(V)$   
 $\rho(g) := (v \mapsto g \cdot v)$  ring hom. □

In this situation,  $V$  is called a  $G$ -module.

- (2) We can naturally transform  $V$  into an actual module over a ring;  
For this sake, we need to build  $G$  into a ring:

*in most cases:  $K$  field*  
**Definition:** Let  $K$  be commutative ring, and  $G$  a group. The group algebra  $K[G]$  is the free  $K$ -module with basis  $g \in G$  and multiplication

$$\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{g \in G} b_g g\right) := \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{\substack{g_1, g_2 \in G: \\ g_1 g_2 = g}} a_{g_1} b_{g_2}\right) g \quad \leftarrow \text{convolution}$$

**Remark:** (1) It is routine verification that  $K[G]$  is - as the terminology suggests - indeed a  $K$ -algebra.

$\triangleright \varepsilon: K \rightarrow K[G], \lambda \mapsto \lambda \overset{\text{identity in } K[G]}{(1_{K[G]})} = \lambda e_G$  is a ring monomorphism, so we can regard  $K$  as a subring of  $K[G]$  (identify  $\lambda$  with  $\lambda e_G$ )

$\triangleright \iota: G \rightarrow K[G], g \mapsto 1_K g$  is a group monomorphism, so we can regard  $G$  as a subgroup of  $K[G]$  (identify  $g$  with  $1_K g$ )

$\rightarrow$  so  $K[G]$  is non-commutative unless the group  $G$  is commutative  
 $((1_K g)(1_K h) = (1_K h)(1_K g) \Leftrightarrow gh = hg)$

- (2) Let  $G$  be a finite group; then  $K[G]$  is not a division algebra.

**Proof:** let  $g \in G \setminus \{e_G\}$ , and  $u = \text{ord}(g) < \infty$  (as  $G$  finite)

then:  $(1-g)(1+g+\dots+g^{u-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{u-1} (g^i - g^{i+1}) = 1 - \underbrace{g^u}_{=e_G} = 0$  □

*smallest  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $g^k = e_G$*

- (3)  $G$  can be relaxed to be a monoid - the construction still goes through.

- (4) NOW: representations of  $G$  on vector spaces over a field  $K$  /  $G$ -modules

one-to-one

$$\longleftrightarrow K[G]\text{-modules}$$

If  $V$  is  $G$ -module, then  $(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g) \cdot v := \sum_{g \in G} a_g (g \cdot v)$  makes  $V$  into a  $K[G]$ -mod; conversely, by restriction, every  $K[G]$ -module  $V$  is a  $G$ -module

(5) Let  $V, W$  be  $G$ -modules over  $K$ . We call a  $K$ -linear map  $T: V \rightarrow W$   $G$ -homomorphism if  $T(g \cdot v) = g \cdot T(v)$  for all  $g \in G, v \in V$ .

THEN: besides the obvious changes in terminology,

$$G\text{-homomorphisms } V \rightarrow W \cong K[G]\text{-module hom. } V \rightarrow W$$

If  $T: V \rightarrow W$   $G$ -hom., then  $T(\underbrace{(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g)}_{\substack{\text{Def.} \\ = \sum_{g \in G} a_g (g \cdot v)}} \cdot v) \stackrel{T \text{ K-linear}}{=} \sum_{g \in G} a_g \underbrace{T(g \cdot v)}_{= g \cdot T(v)} =$

$$\stackrel{\text{Def.}}{=} (\sum_{g \in G} a_g g) \cdot T(v);$$

Conversely, by restriction, every  $K[G]$ -module hom  $V \rightarrow W$  can be regarded as a  $G$ -homom.

(6) Similarly, for an  $K$ -algebra  $A$ , a monoid homomorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow (A, \cdot)$  extends uniquely to an  $K$ -algebra homomorphism of  $K[G]$  to  $A$ .

UNIQ.: any extension of  $\varphi$  would be in particular a  $K$ -module homom., so

$$\varphi(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \varphi(g) \quad (*)$$

EXIST.: (\*) only candidate:  $K$ -module hom. ✓

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \varphi\left(\underbrace{\left(\sum_{g_1 \in G} a_{g_1} g_1\right) \left(\sum_{g_2 \in G} b_{g_2} g_2\right)}_{= \sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} a_{g_1} b_{g_2} g_1 g_2}\right) &= \sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} a_{g_1} b_{g_2} \underbrace{\varphi(g_1 g_2)}_{= \varphi(g_1) \varphi(g_2)} = \\ &= \left(\sum_{g_1 \in G} a_{g_1} g_1\right) \left(\sum_{g_2 \in G} b_{g_2} g_2\right) = \varphi\left(\sum_{g_1 \in G} a_{g_1} g_1\right) \varphi\left(\sum_{g_2 \in G} b_{g_2} g_2\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla \varphi(1_K e_G) = 1_K \underbrace{\varphi(e_G)}_{= 1_A} = 1_A$$

Example: (1) if  $G = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ,  $K[G] \cong K[x, x^{-1}]$  (Laurent polynomials)

$$\uparrow$$

$$\text{element of form } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n n \hat{=} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n x^n$$

Similarly, if  $G = (\mathbb{N}_0^n, +)$ ,  $K[G] \cong K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  (Multivariate polynomials)

$$\uparrow$$

$$\text{element of form } \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} a_\alpha \alpha \hat{=} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} a_\alpha x^\alpha$$

(2) Viewing  $K[G]$  as a <sup>field</sup> module over itself, gives rise to the so called regular representation of a group  $G$ .

e.g.  $G = C_4 \rightsquigarrow K[C_4]$  as  $K[C_4]$ -module  $\xleftrightarrow{\text{translates to}}$   $K[C_4]$  as  $C_4$ -module  
 $= \{e_G, g, g^2, g^3\}$

$\longleftrightarrow$  representation  $\rho$  of  $C_4$ ;  $\rho: C_4 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(K[C_4])$

$$\rho(g^i) = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 g + \alpha_2 g^2 + \alpha_3 g^3 \mapsto g^i (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 g + \alpha_2 g^2 + \alpha_3 g^3))$$

$$= (\alpha_0 g^i + \alpha_1 g^{i+1} + \alpha_2 g^{i+2} + \alpha_3 g^{i+3})$$

$$\text{Aut}_K(K[C_4]) \cong GL_4(K)$$

$\longleftrightarrow$  def.  $X: C_4 \rightarrow GL_4(K)$ ,  $X(g^i) := [\rho(g^i)]_\alpha$   
representing matrix of  $\rho(g^i)$  wrt basis  $\alpha$

e.g. for  $g^2$  and  $\alpha$  std. basis of  $K[C_4]$ , we find

$$X(g^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} g^2 e_G = g^2 \\ g^2 g = g^3 \\ g^2 g^2 = e_G \\ g^2 g^3 = g \end{cases}$$

We call this a matrix representation (we can switch btw these notions if the module interpreted as a  $K$ -vector space is finite dimensional)

(3) let  $G = S_n$  naturally act on  $S := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (by permuting the elements of  $S$ )

extend action

then:  $V = KS = \{a_1 1 + \dots + a_n u : a_1, \dots, a_n \in K\}$

with  $\pi \cdot (a_1 1 + \dots + a_n u) = a_1 \pi(1) + \dots + a_n \pi(u)$  is  $S_n$ -module;

This is called the defining representation of  $S_n$ . As matrix represent. this reads as (wrt standard basis of  $KS$ )

$X: S_n \rightarrow GL_n(K)$ ,  $X(\pi) = (e_{\pi(j,i)})_{1 \leq j \leq n} \leftarrow$  permutation matrices  

$$\left( (X(\pi))_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi(j) = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \right)$$

Lastly, we present MASCHKE'S THEOREM - a main result regarding representations of a finite group  $G$

→ for  $K = \mathbb{C}$ , it suffices to understand so-called irreducible representations of  $G$  / simple  $K[G]$ -modules as...

Theorem (Maschke): Let  $K$  be a field and  $G$  a finite group. Then,  $K[G]$  is semisimple  $\Leftrightarrow \text{char}(K) \nmid |G|$ .

every  $K[G]$ -module is semisimple  $\uparrow$  Th. 1.3.3 smallest  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $n \cdot 1 = \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_n = 0$  if such  $n$  exists, and 0 otherwise

Proof: " $\Leftarrow$ ": Let  $V$  be a  $K[G]$ -module

we show: every  $K[G]$ -submod.  $U$  of  $V$  is a direct summand  $\Leftrightarrow$

$(\exists K[G]$ -submod.  $U'$  of  $V$  s.t.  $V = U \oplus U'$ )

$\Leftrightarrow$   $V$  is semisimple  
Th. 1.2.4

For this sake, let  $U$  be a  $K[G]$ -submodule of  $V$ ; IDEA: construct projection  $\pi: V \rightarrow V$  onto  $U$  that is also a  $K[G]$ -module homomorphism

$\Rightarrow V = \underbrace{\text{im } \pi}_U \oplus \underbrace{\text{ker } \pi}_{U'}$ ,  $U'$   $K[G]$ -submodule  
 $\uparrow$  projection means  $\pi^2 = \pi$

Let  $v \in V$ , then  $v = \underbrace{\pi(v)}_{\in \text{im } \pi} + \underbrace{(v - \pi(v))}_{\in \text{ker } \pi} \Rightarrow v = U + U'$   
(since:  $\pi(v - \pi(v)) = \pi(v) - \pi^2(v) = 0$ )

Let  $w \in \text{im } \pi \cap \text{ker } \pi$ , then:  $w = \pi(v) = \pi(\underbrace{\pi(v)}_{=0}) = 0 \Rightarrow v = U \oplus U'$   
 $\Rightarrow w = \pi(v)$  for some  $v \in V$

Let  $\pi_0: V \rightarrow V$  be any  $K$ -linear map such that  $\pi_0^2 = \pi_0$  and  $\text{im } \pi_0 = U$   
 (to construct it, choose a basis for  $U$  and extend it to a basis of  $V$ ,  
 set  $\pi_0|_U = \text{id}_U$  and do whatever you like on the other basis vectors  
 as long as images lie in  $U$ ;  $\pi^2(v) = \pi(\underbrace{\pi(v)}_{\in U}) = \pi(v), \forall v \in V$   
 $\underbrace{\pi|_U}_{\pi|_U = \text{id}_U}$

turn  $\pi_0$  in a

$\rightarrow$   
 $K[G]$ -module hom.

$$\pi: V \rightarrow V, \pi(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^{-1} \pi_0(gv)$$

$\parallel$   
 $(\underbrace{|G| \cdot 1}_{\neq 0})^{-1}$  exists (because  $\text{char}(K) \nmid |G|$ )  
 $= 1 + \dots + 1 \neq 0$

CLAIM 1:  $\pi$   $K[G]$ -module homomorphism ( $\Leftrightarrow \pi$  is a  $G$ -module hom.)

$\pi$  still  $K$ -linear as  $v, w \in V, \lambda \in K$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(v + \lambda w) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^{-1} \pi_0(g(v + \lambda w)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^{-1} \pi_0(gv + \lambda gw) = \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^{-1} \pi_0(gv) + \lambda \left( \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^{-1} \pi_0(gw) \right) = \pi(v) + \lambda \pi(w) \end{aligned}$$

$\pi_0$   $K$ -lin.

Let  $v \in V, h \in G$ ;  $\pi(hv) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^{-1} \pi_0(\underbrace{g(hv)}_{=(gh)v}) =$

$$= \underbrace{h h^{-1}}_{= e_G} \sum_{g \in G} g^{-1} \pi_0(ghv) = h \sum_{g \in G} \underbrace{h^{-1} g^{-1}}_{=(gh)^{-1}} \pi_0(ghv) = h \sum_{k \in G} k^{-1} \pi_0(kv) = h \pi(v)$$

$g \mapsto gh$  bijective

CLAIM 2:  $\pi$  projection with  $\text{im } \pi = U$

note,  $\text{im } \pi \subseteq U$  as  $\forall v \in V, \forall g \in G: \pi_0(gv) \in U \Rightarrow$

$U$   $K[G]$ -mod.

$$\Rightarrow \pi(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \underbrace{g^{-1} \pi_0(gv)}_{\in U} \in U;$$

remains to show,  $\Pi|_U \stackrel{!}{=} \text{id}_U$ : let  $u \in U$ ,  $\Pi(u) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^{-1} \Pi_0(gu) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} u = u$

" $\Rightarrow$ ": by contrapositive, assume  $\dim(K) \nmid |G|$ ; By Th. 1.4.10, it suffices to show  $J(K[G]) \neq 0$  ( $\Rightarrow K[G]$  not semisimple)

def.  $e := \sum_{g \in G} g \in K[G] \setminus \{0\}$

$R$  semisimple  $\Leftrightarrow$   
 $\Leftrightarrow R$  Artinian and  $J(R) = 0$

observe that  $\forall u \in G$ ,  $he = \sum_{g \in G} hg = \sum_{k \in G} k = e$ , thus:

$$e^2 = \left( \sum_{g \in G} g \right) \cdot e = \sum_{g \in G} \underbrace{ge}_{=e} \stackrel{\substack{\uparrow \\ \dim(K)||G|}}{=} 0;$$

1.4.6(2)

$K[G]e = \sum_{k \in G} ke$  nil left ideal  $\Rightarrow K[G]e \in J(K[G])$ , so that  $J(K[G]) \neq 0$   
 $\forall u \in G, ue = e$

