

Recall right quotient ring: $X \subset R$ mult closed, all elts of X regular

Then covering $Q = R/X$ is right quotient ring if

- $\forall x \in X: x^{-1} \in Q$
- Every elt of Q is of the form qx^{-1} for some $q \in R, x \in X$.

Thm of Ore (Ore, Jacobson) shows: $\exists Q$ if X is right Ore set

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x \in X \quad \forall b \in R \quad \exists x \in X \text{ s.t. } bx = x \\ & (\Leftrightarrow \exists z \in X, c \in R \text{ s.t. } bz = x) \end{aligned}$$

+ universal property of Q .

We still have to prove the universal property of Q (12)+(13) of Thm 3.1.5.)

Recall: Thm 3.1.5 (Ore, Jacobson): Let $X \subset R$ be mult. closed subset of regular elements.

(1) \exists right quotient ring of R w.r.t. $X \subset X$ is a right Ore set.

(2) Suppose that X is a right Ore set and $Q = R/X$ is a right quotient ring. Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ be a ring homom., s.t. $\varphi(x)$ is invertible $\forall x \in X$. Then φ extends uniquely to a ring hom.

$$\psi: Q \rightarrow T$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & T \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \psi & \downarrow \text{ring hom} \\ Q & \xrightarrow{\psi} & T \end{array}$$

(3) Let X be a right Ore set and Q, Q' be right quotient rings for R w.r.t. X . Then the identity $\text{id}_R: R \rightarrow R$ extends uniquely to an isom. of Q onto Q' .

Proof of (2) \Rightarrow (3):

(2) There is at most one possibility for such a map ψ , because

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & T \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \psi & \downarrow \text{ring hom} \\ Q & \xrightarrow{\psi} & T \end{array}$$

$$\varphi(ax) = \varphi(a)\varphi(x)^{-1} = \psi(a)\psi(x)^{-1}.$$

as: $\varphi(x)$ is invertible

Thus it suffices to show that such a ψ exists:

Set $a, b \in R, x, y \in X$ with $ax^{-1} = by^{-1}$. By Lemma 3.1.4 (4):

$\exists c, d \in R$ s.t. $ac = bd$ and $xc = yd \in X$. In part. $(g(x), g(y))$ (2)
 $g(xc), g(yd)$ are all invertible in T , which implies $g(c), g(d) \in T^*$.
 $\Rightarrow g(a)g(x)^{-1} = g(ac)g(xc)^{-1} = g(bd)g(yd)^{-1} = g(b)g(y)^{-1}$.

This means that ψ is well defined for cosets $[a, x] \sim [b, y]$, and thus the rule $\psi(ax^{-1}) = g(a)g(x)^{-1}$ gives a well-def. map $\psi: Q \rightarrow T$.

Further $\psi(0) = \psi(0 \cdot 1^{-1}) = g(0)g(1)^{-1} = g(0)$ $\forall a \in R$, thus ψ extends g , and $\psi(1) = 1$.

We have to check that ψ is a homomorphism: let $a, b \in R$, $x, y \in X$, then $\exists c, d \in R$, s.t. $xc = yd \in X$, \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned}\psi((ax^{-1})(by^{-1})) &= \psi((ac+bd)(xc)^{-1}) = g(ac+bd)g(xc)^{-1} \\ &= g(ac)g(xc)^{-1} + g(bd)g(xc)^{-1} \\ &= \psi(ax^{-1}) + \psi(by^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, there are $e \in R$ and $z \in X$ s.t. $bz = xe$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}\psi((ax^{-1})(by^{-1})) &= \psi((ae)(yz)^{-1}) = g(ae)g(yz)^{-1} \\ &= g(a)g(e)g(z)^{-1}g(y)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha x^{-1} b y^{-1} \\ &= \alpha x^{-1} b z z^{-1} y^{-1} \\ &\quad \checkmark \\ &\quad \alpha e (yz)\end{aligned}$$

Since $g(b)g(z) = g(x)g(e) \Rightarrow g(e)g(z)^{-1} = g(x)^{-1}g(b)$
and hence $\psi((ax^{-1})(by^{-1})) = g(a)g(x)^{-1}g(b)g(y)^{-1}$. $\Rightarrow \psi$ ring hom.
(3) Follows from (2). ψ unique! \square

Since any two right quotient rings are isomorphic, we can make the following

Def 3.1.6 If $X \subset R$ is a right Ore set of regular elements, then we write RX^{-1} for any right quotient ring of R w.r.t. X . Similarly, write T^1R for a left quotient ring.

(3)

Prop 3.1.7 Let $X \subset R$ be a left + right Ore set of reg. elements. Then any right quotient ring (w.r.t. X) is also a left quot. ring (w.r.t. X), and conversely.

Pf: Let Q be a right quotient ring. Then Q is an overring of R and all elts of X are invertible in Q .

Let $s \in Q$, then $s = \alpha x^{-1}$ for some $\alpha \in R$, $x \in X$. Since X is a left Ore set, $\exists \beta \in R$ and $y \in X$ s.t. $y\alpha = \beta x \Rightarrow s = y^{-1}\beta$. Thus Q is a left quotient ring. \square

§ 3.2. Classical quotient rings

(4)

Def 3.2.1 (1) A classical right quotient ring R is a right quotient ring for R w.r.t. $X = \{ \text{regular elements} \}$.

If R has a classical right quotient ring then R is called a right order in Q . Classical left quotient rings Q are def. symmetrically, and in that case R is called a left order in Q .

(2) If a ring Q is a classical right quotient ring and a classical left quotient ring for R , then Q is called a classical quotient ring for R .

(3) The ring R is called a right Ore domain if the nonzero elements of R form a right Ore set ($\Leftrightarrow : \forall x, y \neq 0 \in R, \exists r, s \in R$ s.t. $xr = ys \neq 0$).

E: (1) Every comm. ring has a classical quotient ring.
 (2) Every comm. domain is a right Ore domain and its classical quotient ring is its quotient field.

$$x, y \neq 0 \in R : x \cdot y \neq 0$$

Lemma 3.2.2 For a domain R TFAE:

(a) R is a right Ore domain.

(b) R_R is uniform

(c) R_R has uniform dimension $< \infty$.

In part: if R is right noetherian, then R is a right Ore domain.

Pf: (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) : The right Ore condition is equivalent to saying that the intersection of two ^{nonzero} principal right ideals is non-zero, and this is equivalent to saying that the right R -module R_R is uniform.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) : clear.

(c) \Rightarrow (b) : Assume on the contrary that the right R -module

R_R has finite uniform dim. but is not uniform. (5)

Then \exists nonzero ideals I_1 and J_1 of R s.t. $I_1 \cap J_1 = 0$. We choose an $x_1 \neq 0$ in J_1 . Since R is a domain, we get

$x_1 R \cong R$ (as right modules). Thus $x_1 R$ contains nonzero right ideals I_2 and J_2 s.t. $I_2 \cap J_2 = 0$, and in part., we have $I_2 \oplus I_1 \subset R_R$. Proceeding by induction we obtain nonzero right ideals $I_1, J_1, I_2, J_2, \dots$ s.t. $I_n \cap J_n = 0$ and $I_{n+1} + J_n \subset J_n \quad \forall n \geq 1$.

In part., we have $I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus \dots \oplus I_{n+1} \subset R \quad \forall n \geq 1 \xrightarrow{\text{to}} \text{cdim}(R) < \infty$. By Rmk 2.3.7., every noetherian ring has finite uniform dim. \square

Thm 3.2.3 For a ring R , TFAE:

- (a) There is a right Ore set X of regular elements in R s.t. RX^{-1} is a division ring.
- (b) R has a classical right quotient ring which is a division ring.
- (c) R is a right Ore domain.

Pf: The implication (b) \Rightarrow (a) is clear.

(a) \Rightarrow (b) The ring RX^{-1} is an overring of R whose elements have the form αx^{-1} with $\alpha \in R, x \in X$. All regular elts of R are $\neq 0$ and since RX^{-1} is a division ring, they are invertible in RX^{-1} .

Thus RX^{-1} is a classical right quotient ring of R (Def 3.1.1.+3.2.1)

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Condition (b) implies that R is a domain and that all nonzero elements of R are regular. By Thm 3.1.5 (1), $R \setminus \{0\}$ is a right Ore set, which implies that R is a right Ore domain (by def.).

(c) \Rightarrow (b) By Thm 3.1.5, R has a classical right quotient ring Q . Any element $\neq 0$ of Q has the form αx^{-1} for some

nonzero elements $a, x \in R$. Since a is a regular element (6) of R , it is invertible in $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow ax^{-1}$ is invertible in \mathbb{Q} . Thus \mathbb{Q} is a division ring. \square

3.3. Goldie's Theorem

Goldie's Thm provides necessary and sufficient conditions for a ring to have a semisimple right quotient ring.

Alfred Goldie (1920-2005, Prof in Leeds, UK) introduced the concept of uniform dimension. See the article by Coertinhu-McConnell for historical development.

Recall:

Def: Any left ideal I of R is called a left annihilator if it is the left annihilator of some subset S of R : $I := \{r \in R : r \cdot s = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ (similar: right annihilator)

Def 3.3.1 A ring R is called a right Goldie ring if R_R has finite uniform dimension and satisfies the ACC on right annihilators. (\Rightarrow if R is noeth., the second cond. is automatic!)

Rmk 3.3.2 Let $I \subset R$ be a right ideal. Then I is a right annihilator if and only if $I = r\text{-ann}(l\text{-ann}(I))$.

Pf: By def $r\text{-ann}(l\text{-ann}(I))$ is a right annihilator.

Conversely, suppose that I is a right annihilator, e.g., $I = r\text{-ann}(X) = \{r \in R : Xr = 0\}$ for some X in R .

Then $X \in l\text{-ann}(I)$, which implies

$$I = r\text{-ann}(X) \supset r\text{-ann}(l\text{-ann}(I)) \supset I. \quad \square$$

Prop 3.3.3 Suppose that R has a right noetherian classical

(7)

quotient ring \mathbb{Q} . Then R is a right Goldie ring.

Moreover, if \mathbb{Q} is semi-simple, then R is semiprime.

Pf: We proceed in 3 steps.

(1) Show that R satisfies the ACC on right annihilators:

Let $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \dots$ be an ascending chain of right annihilators in R . For $n \geq 1$ set $J_n := l\text{-ann}_R(I_n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } r \in I_1 &\Rightarrow r \cdot I_1 = 0 \\ \text{since } I_2 \subset I_1 &\Rightarrow r \cdot I_2 = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow r \in J_2 \end{aligned}$$

This yields a descending chain of left annihilators.

By rmtk 3.3.2., it follows that $I_n = r\text{-ann}(J_n) \forall n \geq 1$.

In the ring \mathbb{Q} , we have

$$r\text{-ann}_{\mathbb{Q}}(J_1) \subset r\text{-ann}_{\mathbb{Q}}(J_2) \subset \dots$$

is an AC of right ideals. Since \mathbb{Q} is right noetherian, there is an $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ s.t. $r\text{-ann}_{\mathbb{Q}}(J_n) = r\text{-ann}_{\mathbb{Q}}(J_m) \forall n \geq m$. This implies that

$$I_n = R \cap r\text{-ann}_{\mathbb{Q}}(J_n) = R \cap r\text{-ann}_{\mathbb{Q}}(J_m) = I_m \quad \forall n \geq m.$$

$\Rightarrow R$ satisfies the ACC on right annihilators.

(2) Assume on the contrary that $R_{\mathbb{Q}}$ does not have finite uniform dimension. By Def 2.3.6, R contains an infinite direct sum of nonzero right ideals, say $R \supset \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} A_n$. For every $n \geq 1$ we choose a nonzero element $a_n \in A_n$. Since \mathbb{Q} is right noetherian, the sum $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n \mathbb{Q}$ cannot be direct. (to ACC)

\Rightarrow (after possible renumbering) $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t.

$$a_1 q_1 + \dots + a_n q_n = 0 \quad \text{and all summands are } \neq 0.$$

Further, there exist $b_1, \dots, b_n, x \in R$, with x regular, s.t. $q_i = b_i x^{-1} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then

$$a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_n b_n = (a_1 q_1 + \dots + a_n q_n)x = 0.$$

Since the sum of A_1, \dots, A_n is direct, it follows that $a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_n b_n = 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \varrho_i \varphi_i = \varrho_i b_i x^{-1} = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad (\text{all summands } \neq 0)$$

(8)

③ Suppose that \mathbb{Q} is semisimple. We have to show that the 0 ideal in R is semiprime. \checkmark i.e. $0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \varrho_i \varphi_i$. By Lemma 2.2.3.(d): $0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \varrho_i \varphi_i \Rightarrow \text{if } N^2 = 0 \Rightarrow N = 0$.

Set $N \subset R$ be an ideal s.t. $N^2 = 0$. We need to show that $N = 0$. The left annihilator $L = l\text{-ann}_R(N)$ is an ideal of R . By Lemma 2.3.3.(2): $L \subseteq_e R$ is essential.

We claim that $LQ \subset Q$ is an essential right ideal: Let $B \subset Q$ be a nonzero right ideal, choose a nonzero element $b \in B$ and set $b = \varrho_i x^{-1}$ with $\varrho_i, x \in R$ and x regular. Then

$$\varrho_i b x \in R \cap B \Rightarrow R \cap B \leq R \text{ is a nonzero right ideal.}$$

$\Rightarrow (R \cap B) \cap L \neq 0$ and hence $B \cap LQ \neq 0$. Thus $LQ \subseteq_e Q$ is ess.

Since \mathbb{Q}_Q is semisimple, Lemma 2.3.4.(7) implies that $LQ = Q$.

$\Rightarrow \exists y_1, \dots, y_n \in L$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in Q$ st.

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \varphi_i.$$

Moreover, $\exists \varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_n, x \in R$ with x regular, s.t. $\varphi_i = \varrho_i x^{-1} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

Thus we obtain

$$x = (y_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + y_n \varphi_n)x = y_1 \varrho_1 + \dots + y_n \varrho_n \in L.$$

Since $L = l\text{-ann}_R(N)$, it follows that $xN = 0$.

Since x is regular $\Rightarrow N = 0$. \square

In part, this proposition means that any ring having a classical right quotient ring must be a semiprime right Goldie ring.

Our next goal is to prove the converse, which is the main content of Goldie's thm (Thm 3.3.11).

Def 3.3.4: For a right module M , define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sing}(M) &:= \{x \in M : xI = 0 \text{ for some essential } I \subseteq_e R_R\} \\ &= \{x \in M : r\text{-ann}_R(x) \subseteq_e R_R\}. \end{aligned}$$

(9)

$\text{Sing}(M)$ is called the singular submodule of M .

If $M = R_R$, then $\text{Sing}(R)$ is called the singular ideal of R .

If $\text{Sing}(R) = 0$, then R is called non-singular.

Ex: Set $R = k[x,y]/(xy)$, then $\text{Sing}(R) = (0)$ (!)

$R = k[x]/(x^2)$, then (x) is essential and $\text{Sing}(R) = (x)$.

Caution: This is not the standard meaning of ' $\text{Sing}(R)$ ' from alg-geometry / commutative algebra! [$\text{Sing}(R) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \text{Spec}(R) \text{ s.t. } \mathfrak{p}$ is not regular]

Lemma 3.3.5. (1) If M is a right R -module, then $\text{Sing}(M) \subset M$ is a submodule.

(2) $\text{Sing}(R) \subset R$ is a two-sided ideal.

Pf (1) Clearly, $\text{Sing}(M)$ is an additive subgroup (if $xI = y$) \Rightarrow
 We have to show that it is stable under ^{right} multiplication: $\Rightarrow (x+y)(I \cap \mathfrak{m}) = 0$
 Set $x \in \text{Sing}(M)$ and $s \in R$. By Prop 2.3.4.(3) $\begin{array}{l} N \in M, n \in M \\ \Rightarrow m^n N \subseteq R_R \\ \text{since } I_n \subseteq R \end{array}$
 $\hookrightarrow s^{-1}(r\text{-ann}_R(x)) = \{ r \in R : sr \in r\text{-ann}_R(x) \} \subseteq R_R$

is essential in and clearly

$$x \circ \cdot s^{-1}(r\text{-ann}_R(x)) \subset x(r\text{-ann}_R(x)) = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow s^{-1}(r\text{-ann}(x)) \subset r\text{-ann}(x \circ)$. Since $s^{-1}(r\text{-ann}_R(x)) \subseteq R_R$ is ess.

$\Rightarrow r\text{-ann}(x \circ) \subseteq R_R$ is essential $\Rightarrow x \circ \in \text{Sing}(M)$.

(2) By (1) we get that $\text{Sing}(R) \subset R$ is a right ideal. Set $x \in \text{Sing}(R)$ and $r \in R$. Since $r\text{-ann}_R(rx) \supset r\text{-ann}_R(x) \Rightarrow r\text{-ann}_R(rx) \subseteq R$ is essential $\Rightarrow rx \in \text{Sing}(R)$. \square

Prop 3.3.6. (1) If R satisfies the ACC on right annihilators, then $\text{Sing}(R)$ is nilpotent.

(2) If R is a semiprime right Goldie ring, then the left annihilator of an essential right ideal is 0 .

Pf. - (1) Set $\mathcal{J} := \text{Sing}(R)$. We have

$$r\text{-ann}(\mathcal{J}) \subset r\text{-ann}(\mathcal{J}^2) \subset \dots$$

$\mathcal{J}^2 \subset \mathcal{J}$, if $x_1 x_2 = 0$ for all $x_i \in \mathcal{J}$, then $y(x_1) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}^2 h = 0$

Since R satisfies the ACC on right annihilators, $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$r\text{-ann}(\mathcal{J}^k) = r\text{-ann}(\mathcal{J}^{k+1}) \text{ and we claim that } \mathcal{J}^k = 0.$$

Assume on the contrary that $\mathcal{J}^k \neq 0$, and choose an $x \in R \setminus r\text{-ann}(\mathcal{J}^k)$, such that $r\text{-ann}(x)$ is maximal. Now, for every $a \in \mathcal{J}$, $r\text{-ann}(x) \cap R$ is essential, which implies $r\text{-ann}(x) \cap xR \neq 0$.

$\Rightarrow \exists \alpha \in R$ s.t. $\alpha x = 0$ and $x \neq 0$. Then $r\text{-ann}(x) \subset r\text{-ann}(x\alpha)$, and the maximality of $r\text{-ann}(x)$ implies that $\alpha x \in r\text{-ann}(\mathcal{J}^k)$.

Thus $\mathcal{J}^k \alpha x = 0$. Since this holds $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, we obtain that

$$\underline{x \in r\text{-ann}(\mathcal{J}^{k+1})} = r\text{-ann}(\mathcal{J}^k). \quad \blacksquare$$

(2) Note that any left annihilator $l\text{-ann}(I)$ of an essential right ideal $I \subseteq R$ lies in $\text{Sing}(R)$. Since a right Goldie ring satisfies ACC on right annihilators, $\text{Sing}(R)$ is nilpotent by (1). Since R is semiprime, Cor 2.2.7 $\Rightarrow l\text{-ann}(I) \subset \text{Sing}(R) = 0$.

$R \text{ sem.p.} \Leftrightarrow R \text{ has no nilp. id.}$

□