

Recall: \mathfrak{p} two-sided ideal $\subseteq R$ prime $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \neq R$ and $\forall I, J \subseteq R$ s.t. $I \cdot J \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$
 in R/\mathfrak{p} , the ideal 0 is prime (i.e. R/\mathfrak{p} is prime ring) $\Rightarrow I \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \wedge J \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$

§2.2. Semiprime rings = generalization of reduced commutative rings

Recall from commutative algebra

Prop: Let R be a commutative ring.

(a) $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} \mathfrak{p} = \{a \in R : a \text{ nilpotent, i.e. } \exists n \geq 1 \text{ s.t. } a^n = 0\}$.

(b) For any ideal $I \subseteq R$: $\bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \\ \mathfrak{p} \supseteq I}} \mathfrak{p} = \{a \in R : \exists n \geq 1 \text{ s.t. } a^n \in I\}$

this is \sqrt{I} the RADICAL of I

(c) R does not contain any nilpotent elements $\Leftrightarrow 0 = \sqrt{0}$ i.e.

$0 = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime}} \mathfrak{p}$ is int. of p.i.'s

Pf: (a) \Rightarrow if a is nilpotent and $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ prime, look at R/\mathfrak{p} : since R/\mathfrak{p} does not have any nilpotent elts. $\Rightarrow a + \mathfrak{p} = 0$ and $a \in \mathfrak{p}$.

\Leftarrow : Assume that $a \in R$ is not nilpotent, then $\{a^n : n \geq 1\}$ is an m-system. Then $T \cap (0) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists \text{ p.i. } \mathfrak{p}$ with $\mathfrak{p} \cap T = \emptyset \Rightarrow a \notin \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} \mathfrak{p}$.
(lemma 2.1.3 (a)) i.e. $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$

(b) follows from (a) by passing to the factor ring R/I .

(c) is a special case of (a).

We will see: semiprime ideals are noncomm. analogues of radical ideals, and semiprime rings \leftrightarrow reduced rings in comm. alg.
 I semiprime = $\bigcap \mathfrak{p}$ (prime ids)

Def 2.2.1 For an ideal $I \subseteq R$ set

$\sqrt{I} = \{s \in R : \text{if } T \text{ is an m-system with } s \in T, \text{ then } T \cap I \neq \emptyset\}$

Lemma 2.2.2 Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal.

(1) $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \{s \in R : s^n \in I \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and equality holds (2)

if R is commutative.

(2) $\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{I \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} \mathfrak{p}$. In particular, \sqrt{I} is an ideal in R .

Pf: (1) Since, for every $s \in R$, the set $\{s^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an m -system, the first inclusion follows.
this is mult. closed: $s^n \cdot s^m = s^{n+m} \in T$ and $T \cap I \neq \emptyset$ because $\exists n : s^n \in I$ by def.

Now suppose that R is commutative,

let $s \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $s^n \in I$. Let T be an m -system with $s \in T$. Since R is commutative, $\exists r \in R$, s.t. $s^n \cdot r \in T$ ($\frac{s^n}{s^n} \in T$ etc)

Since I is an ideal, also $s^n r \in I \Rightarrow T \cap I \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow s \in \sqrt{I}$.

(2) \subseteq : Let $s \in \sqrt{I}$. If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ with $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, then $T = R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ is an m -system and $s \notin T$ (\because otherwise: $(R \setminus \mathfrak{p}) \cap \mathfrak{p} \supseteq (R \setminus \mathfrak{p}) \cap I \neq \emptyset$) and $\Rightarrow s \in \mathfrak{p}$.

\supseteq : Suppose that $s \notin \sqrt{I}$. Then there is an m -system T with $s \in T$ and $I \cap T = \emptyset$. Thus $I \in \Omega = \{J \subseteq R : I \subseteq J, J \cap I = \emptyset\}$ and by Lemma 2.1.9 there is a maximal element $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and $s \notin \mathfrak{p}$ the assertion follows. \square
prime

Lemma 2.2.3 For an ideal $I \subseteq R$, TFAE:

(a) I is an intersection of prime ideals.

(b) If $x \in R$ with $xRx \subseteq I$, then $x \in I$.

(c) If $J \subseteq R$ is an ideal with $J^2 \subseteq I$, then $J \subseteq I$.

(d) If $J \subseteq R$ is a right ideal with $J^2 \subseteq I$, then $J \subseteq I$.

(e) If $J \subseteq R$ is a left ideal with $J^2 \subseteq I$, then $J \subseteq I$.

(f) $I = \sqrt{I}$.

Pf (a) \Rightarrow (b) Suppose that $I = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{p}_\lambda$ with \mathfrak{p}_λ in $\text{Spec}(R)$ $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$, and let $x \in R$ with $xRx \subseteq I$.

For all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have $xRx \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_\lambda \Rightarrow x \in \mathfrak{p}_\lambda$ by Lemma 2.1.2. \Rightarrow

$$x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{p}_\lambda = \mathfrak{I}.$$

(3)

(b) \Rightarrow (a) Let $x \in R \setminus \mathfrak{I}$. We have to show that $\exists \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ with $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{I}$ and $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$.

Claim There is an m -system T with $x \in T \subset R \setminus \mathfrak{I}$.

If the Claim holds, then $\mathfrak{I} \subset \Omega = \{ \mathfrak{J} \subset R : \mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{J} : \mathfrak{J} \cap T = \emptyset \}$. By Lemma 2.1.9, Ω has a maximal element \mathfrak{p} , which is a prime ideal and $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$.

Remains: Proof of Claim: We recursively define $T \subset R \setminus \mathfrak{I}$: Set $x_1 := x$. Set $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \in T \subset R \setminus \mathfrak{I}$. Then $\exists r_n \in R$ with $x_n r_n x_n \in R \setminus \mathfrak{I}$ and we set $x_{n+1} := x_n r_n x_n$.

Set $T := \{ x_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$. This is indeed an m -system: we have to verify that $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} : x_i R x_j \cap T \neq \emptyset$. Let $i, j \geq 1$. If $i \leq j$, then $x_{j+1} \in x_j R x_j \subset x_i R x_j$. If $i \geq j$, then $x_{i+1} \in x_i R x_i \subset x_i R x_j$. \square claim

Rest of the week: Presentations

Tuesday 3/12/24: Daniel Heriotakis: Coefficient quivers of Knörrer invariant algebras

Thursday 5/12/24: Markus Tripp: Group algebras
Manuel Himmel: Clifford algebras