

Week 1 (MAT 512.UB)

This is a course on noncommutative algebra, building on the algebra courses of the bachelor curriculum (if you know commutative algebra that's even better!)

Aims: Basics of ring and modules (for students of varying background)

→ following lecture notes of Alfred Guedinger, books by Goodearl-Warfield, McConnell Robson

- Examples and construction of algebras (→ mostly exercise classes)
- Maybe: module categories and related properties of modules

0. Why study noncommutative algebra?

In "nature" things do not usually commute

→ first example: $M_n(k)$, known from linear alg., is noncomm. ring
field $k = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \dots$

This should be close to being a field?!

But it's not, even for $n=2$, have zero divisors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

→ Find structure of such noncomm. rings, classify them...

Where do noncomm. rings appear?

(1) Rep. theory: study groups, Lie algebras → understand their representations → understand group algebras, universal enveloping algebra

(2) Physics: many algebras arise e.g. for spin in quantum mechanics (Clifford algebras), dimer models (dimer algebras)

(3) Differential eqn's: linear diff. eqns correspond to modules over ring of diff. operators. Also: quantum groups (algebras, not groups) arise in the study of integrable systems.

(4) Topology: cohomology of a top. space gives a ring. Jones polynomials for knots come from representation theory of Hecke algebras

(5) Number Theory: a basic object is the Brauer group, for classifying central simple algebras. Also: in proof of Wiles and Taylor of Fermat's last theorem (Hecke algebras)

(6) Functional analysis: C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras

(7) Linear algebra: Jordan normal form is the classification of finite dim. modules over $K[x]$.

Contents of our course: • Basics of noncomm. ring + module theory (Simple / semisimple modules structure thm [Artin-Wedderburn])

- uniform dimension
- Quotient rings + Goldie's theorem
- Dedekind-like rings (?)
- Basics of path algebras (?)
- Diff. operator rings (?)

Follows A. Gerdtlinger's lecture notes, Book by Goodenough-Weirfield

Notation:

In all of the course: all rings R (or Λ) have identity 1_R and homomorphisms $g: R \rightarrow S$ send 1_R to 1_S .

Recall: A ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a set with two binary operations $+$ and \cdot , s.t. (1) $(R, +)$ is an abelian group and (R, \cdot) is assoc.

$$(G1): a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c \quad \forall a, b, c \in R$$

$$(G2): \exists 0_R: a + 0 = 0 + a = a \quad \forall a \in R$$

$$(G3): \forall a: \exists b \text{ s.t. } a + b = b + a = 0$$

$$(G4): a + b = b + a \quad \forall a, b \in R$$

$$\cdot a(b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$$

$$\cdot \exists 1_R: a \cdot 1_R = 1_R \cdot a = a$$

and

$$(3) + \text{ and } \cdot \text{ are distributive } \forall a, b, c \in R: a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$$
$$(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$$

If \cdot is commutative, i.e. $a \cdot b = b \cdot a \quad \forall a, b \in R$, then R is commutative.

We will mostly be dealing with noncomm. rings.

Rmk: (1) assures that $R \neq \emptyset$. ($0_R \in R$)

Examples (0) $R = \{0\}$

(1) $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}_n, K[x]$ are all commutative rings.
field

(2) Let R be any ring and $n \geq 1$. Then $M_n(R)$ is a ring with matrix mult. and addition.

(3) $R[x]$ is a ring with polyn. addition + mult, but we require commutativity between $r \in R$ and x : $r \cdot x = x \cdot r \quad \forall r \in R$.

(Otherwise, the ring $R[x]$ would be much more complicated.)

(4) A domain D is a ring $\neq 0$, s.t. $\forall a, b \in D: a \cdot b = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0 \text{ or } b = 0$.

(5) A division ring (or skew field) is a ring $D \neq 0$ s.t.

$$\forall a \neq 0 \in D, \exists b \in D \text{ s.t. } a \cdot b = b \cdot a = 1.$$

(a : quaternions) A field is a commutative division ring.

A ring homomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is map s.t.

$$(RH1) \quad \varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) \quad \forall a, b \in R$$

$$(RH2) \quad \varphi(a \cdot b) = \varphi(a) \cdot \varphi(b)$$

$$(RH3) \quad \varphi(1_R) = 1_S.$$

• A left (right) ideal I of R is a subset $I \subseteq R$, s.t.

$$(I1) \quad I \neq \emptyset$$

$$(I2) \quad \forall a, b \in I : a \pm b \in I$$

$$(I3) \quad \forall r \in R, \forall a \in I : r \cdot a \in I \quad (a \cdot r \in I)$$

I is an ideal if it is both a left and right ideal.

Ex: In $M_n(K)$ ^{field}, the set $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, a_{ij} \in K \right\}$ forms a right ideal (!).

and $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, a_{ii} \in K \right\}$ a left ideal.

• The isomorphism theorems:

(1) A homomorph. $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ induces an isom.

$$R/\ker \varphi \cong \text{Im } \varphi$$

(2) If I is an ideal of R and $S \subseteq R$ is a subring of R

then

$$S/(S \cap I) \cong (S+I)/I.$$

(3) If I is an ideal of R , then the ideals of R/I are of the form J/I with J an ideal containing I , and

$$(R/I)/(J/I) \cong R/J.$$

[The opposite ring R^{op} is obtained from $(R, +, \cdot)$ by using $r \cdot s := sr$. Morphism $M_n(R) \xrightarrow{\text{transpose}} M_n(R^{op})$.

- Further: For a ring R , and if $a, b \in R$ with $a \cdot b = 1$, then a is a left inverse of b , and b is a right inverse of a .
- If a has a left + a right inverse, then these coincide and we say that a is invertible.
 - We denote by $R^* := \{a \in R : a \text{ is invertible}\}$, the unit group of R .

↙ sometimes $Z(R)$

- The centre of R is $C(R) := \{n \in R : n \cdot s = s \cdot n \ \forall s \in R\} \subseteq R$ and $C(R)$ is a commutative subring of R
 $\hookrightarrow S \subseteq R$ s.t. $S \neq \emptyset$
 $a \pm b \in S$
 $a \cdot b \in S$

- An element $a \in R$ is a left (right) zero-divisor if $\exists x \neq 0 \in R$ s.t. $ax = 0$ ($xa = 0$). A zero-divisor is a left- and right zero-divisor; $zd(R) := \{n \in R : n \text{ zero-div}\}$, and $zd(R) \cap R^* = \emptyset$.
- $a \in R$ is left (right) regular if for any $x \in R$ and $xa = 0$ ($ax = 0$) $\Rightarrow x = 0$. (Equiv: a is not a right zero-div).
- $a \in R$ is regular if it is both left + right regular. $\Leftrightarrow a$ is a NZD.
 $R^\circ := \{\text{regular } n \in R\} \leftarrow \text{semigroup}$

Modules We will always consider left modules M if not

further specified (ie. R ring, $(M, +)$ is a abelian group, input: $0 \in M$
 (M1) $(M, +)$ is a abelian group, input: $0 \in M$
 (M2) scalar mult: $R \times M \rightarrow M$
 $(r, m) \mapsto r \cdot m$ s.t.
 $(r_1 r_2) \cdot m = r_1 (r_2 \cdot m) \quad \forall r_1, r_2 \in R$
 $\forall m \in M$
 (M3) distrib: $r \cdot (m+n) = r \cdot m + r \cdot n$
 (M4) unital: $1_R \cdot m = m$

To make clear, write $M = {}_R M$.

Let now M be an R -module. Then M is

• cyclic if $M = \langle \alpha \rangle$ for some $\alpha \in M$

$$\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \alpha_i \mid r_i \in R \right\} \text{ mod. generated by } \{ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \}$$

• torsion free if $\text{ann}_R(x) = \{0\} \quad \forall x \neq 0 \in M$
 $\{ r \in R : r \cdot x = 0 \}$

• For a subset $E \subseteq M$, let

$$\ell\text{-ann}_R(E) := \text{ann}_R(E) = \{ \lambda \in R : \lambda x = 0 \quad \forall x \in E \}$$

be the left annihilator of E . Then $\ell\text{-ann}_R(E)$ is a left ideal in R . (!)

\rightarrow a subset $N \subseteq M$, s.t. N closed under addition from M , and scalar mult.

If $N \subseteq M$ is a submodule, then $\text{ann}_R(N)$ is a two-sided ideal.

• The direct product of $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$, denoted by $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ consists of

all sequences $(m_i)_{i \in I}$ with $m_i \in M_i$ and

addition $(m_i)_{i \in I} + (n_i)_{i \in I} := (m_i + n_i)_{i \in I}$ and

scalar mult: $r(m_i)_{i \in I} := (r m_i)_{i \in I} \quad \forall r \in R$ is a module (!)

Rmk The direct product of any family of domains is

reduced. (e.g: $K[x] \times K[y]$ reduced, but $K[x]/(x^2) \times K[y]$ not: $(x, 0) \neq (0, 0)$
 $(x, 0)^2 = (0, 0)$)

Finally: R is reduced if it has no nonzero nilpotent elements
 i.e. $a^n = 0$ for some $n \geq 1 \Rightarrow a = 0$.

CHAPTER 1

MODULE THEORY

§1.1 Free, noetherian, and artinian modules

Def: Let M be an R -module. A family of elements $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ in M is called

- R -linearly independent if for any family $(\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ we have: if $\lambda_i = 0$ for almost all $i \in I$ and $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i u_i = 0$, then $\lambda_i = 0 \forall i \in I$.
- R -basis of M if $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ is a linearly independent generating set of M . \Leftrightarrow the map $R^{(I)} \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism.

$$(\lambda_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i u_i$$

The module M is called free if it has a basis. If $E \subset M$ is a generating set, then the map

$$f: R^{(E)} \rightarrow M : (\lambda_u)_{u \in E} \mapsto \sum_{u \in E} \lambda_u \cdot u$$

is an R -module epimorphism. So any such M is an epimorphic image of a free module.

Ex: (1) R^n is a free module for any ring R .

(2) Let $R = M_n(k)$ and $M = R e_1 = M_n(k) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, a_{ii} \in k \right\}$

M is a left module but not free:

clearly, M is generated by the element $1_n \cdot e_1 = e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

but $f: R \rightarrow M$
 $A \mapsto A e_1$ is not an isomorphism (e.g. $f\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \middle| A\right) = f\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \middle| A'\right)$
 for any $A \neq A' \in M_{n \times n-1}(k)$)

(3) Vector spaces are modules over k . They are all free, since any $V \cong k^{(I)}$ for some I .

(4) Let $R = k[x, y]$, and $I = (x, y)$. Then I is not free, since x and y are not R -lin. independent. $\begin{pmatrix} y \cdot x \\ x \cdot y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Lemma 1.1.1. Let $R \neq \{0\}$ be a ring. TFAE:

(a) R is a division ring. $(\forall a \neq 0: \exists b: ab = ba = 1_R)$

(b) (c) $\{0\}$ and R are the only right (left) ideals of R .

Pf: (a) \Rightarrow (b) [similar (a) \Rightarrow (c)] Note: $R = 1_R R$. If $a \neq 0$, then $\exists b$ s.t. $a \cdot b = 1_R \Rightarrow \langle a \rangle = R$

(b) \Rightarrow (a) [similar (c) \Rightarrow (a)]: Let $a \neq 0 \in R$. Then by (b):

$$\langle a \rangle = aR = R \Rightarrow \exists b \in R: \underline{ab = 1}.$$

Further, since $b \neq 0 \Rightarrow \langle b \rangle = bR = R \Rightarrow \exists c \in R: \underline{bc = 1}$

$$\Rightarrow a = a \cdot 1 = a(bc) = (ab)c = c.$$

$$\Rightarrow ba = 1, \text{ and so } b \text{ is an inverse of } a. \quad \square$$

Lemma 1.1.2 Let R be a division ring. Then every R -module has a basis, and each two bases have the same cardinality.

Pf: (omitted) look at linearly indep. fact that every vector space has a basis + uses Zorn's Lemma (cardinality result) from set theory!

The next notion should be familiar from commutative algebra: noetherian and artinian modules. First a lemma:

(left - always assumed!)

Lemma 1.1.3 Let M be an R -module. TFAE:

(a) Every non-empty set of submodules of M has a maximal (resp. minimal) element.

(b) Every ascending (resp. descending) chain of submodules of M becomes stationary. (i.e. if $N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq \dots$ are submods, then $\exists j \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $N_i = N_j \forall i \geq j$)

(c) Every submodule of M is finitely generated. (resp. every factor module of M is f.g.) ($NSM \Rightarrow \exists u_1, \dots, u_n$ s.t. $N = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i$)
($N \leq M: \exists \bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n$ s.t. $M/N = \langle \bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n \rangle$)

Rmk: (b) is called ACC (DCC)

Def: If M satisfies one of the conditions (a)-(c) of Lemma 1.1.3, then M is called noetherian (resp. artinian). The ring R itself is called left noetherian (resp. left-artinian) if ${}_R R$ is a noetherian (resp. artinian) R -module. Equivalently: every ideal of R is finitely generated. left.

Some def for right noetherian (artinian).

If R is left and right noetherian, then it is called noetherian.

(\leadsto see examples in Ex. session!)

Rmk: Most examples will be at least one-sided noetherian.

Classical example of non-noetherian ring from commutative algebra: $R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$ polyn. ring in inf. many vars, then $(x_1) \subseteq (x_1, x_2) \subseteq (x_1, x_2, x_3) \subseteq \dots$ is a nonstationary ascending chain of ideals.

Pf (of Lemma 1.1.3): (b) \Rightarrow (a); Assume that R -module M has ACC. Assume that there is a nonempty set of R -submodules $\mathcal{W} \subseteq M$ without max. element. Choose $N_1 \in \mathcal{W}$, since there is no max. elt in \mathcal{W} , $\exists N_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $N_1 \subsetneq N_2$. Since N_2 is not maximal, $\exists N_3 \in \mathcal{W}$ s.t. $N_1 \subsetneq N_2 \subsetneq N_3$. Continue this way to get a contradiction to ACC.

(a) \Rightarrow (c): Let $N \leq M$ be a submodule, and let \mathcal{W} be the

family of f.g. submodules of M . Clearly, $\{O\} \in W$, and $\emptyset \neq N \neq \emptyset$. Thus it has a max. element A . If $A \neq N$, then $\exists n \in N \setminus A$. The module $A' = A + Rn$ is then finitely generated, i.e. $A' \in W$.
 Contradiction to A max. $\Rightarrow A = N$ f.g.

(c) \Rightarrow (b): Let $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending chain of submod. Let $N := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i$. By (c) \exists finite set of generators U of N .

Since U is finite, it must be contained in some $N_k, k \geq 1$. But then $N_i = N_k \forall i \geq k. \Rightarrow$ ACC. \square

Rmk: artinian modules similar!

Recall: A short exact sequence (s.e.s.) of R -modules L, M, N is a diagram $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$ s.t. $\text{im}(f) = \text{ker}(g)$.

- $\text{ker}(f) = 0 \leftarrow f$ inj.
- $\text{im}(g) = N \leftarrow g$ surj.

Lemma 1.1.4: Let M be an R -module, L, N too.

(1) If $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$ is s.e.s. of R -mods, then M is noeth. (artin.)
 $\Leftrightarrow L$ and N are noetherian (artinian).

(2) If $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$, then M is noetherian (artinian) $\Leftrightarrow M_1, \dots, M_n$ are noetherian (artinian).

Note: $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/L \rightarrow 0$

pf: Exercise! (1) \Rightarrow : $L \hookrightarrow M$, so $\text{im}(f) \cong L \subseteq M$ submod.

any asc. chain of submods in L is AC in M \Rightarrow noeth. \Rightarrow statem. for L . If $N_1 \subseteq \dots$ is AC in M/L then each $N_i \subseteq M_i \xrightarrow{\text{ACC in } M} M_i = M_{i+1} \forall i \geq 1 \Rightarrow N_i = N_{i+1}$.

\Leftarrow : Prop 1.2 in [Goodenough - Warfield]

(2) Cor 1.3 in [Goodenough - Warfield].

In part: ideals and factor rings of a noeth. ring R are

noetherian.

Cor 1.1.5: Let M be an R -module.

(1) TFAE: (a) R is left noetherian (left artinian).
(b) Every f.g. R -module is noetherian (artinian).

(2) If $f: R \rightarrow S$ is a ring epim. and R is left noetherian (left artinian), then the same is true for S .

(3) Let $R \subset S$ be a ring extension. If R is left noeth. and S is f.g. as R -module, then S is left noetherian.

Pf (1) (b) \Rightarrow (a): obvious

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Let M be f.g. R -module. Then $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ and epimorphism $f: R^n \rightarrow M$. Since R^n is noeth (artinian), M is noeth. (artinian) by Lemma 1.1.4.

(2) S is noeth. as R -module by 1.1.4. But any ideal in S is an R -module and R -submod of $S \stackrel{\text{noeth.}}{\Rightarrow}$ any ideal f.g.

(3) Similar \square

Lemma 1.1.6 (Hilbert's basis theorem) If R is left noetherian, then $R[X]$ is left noetherian.

Pf: Adept comm. Pf, see [G-W] Thm 1.9.

Lemma 1.1.7. Let R be a division ring and M an R -module.

TFAE: (a) M is noetherian.

(b) M is artinian.

(c) $\dim_R(M) < \infty$.

Pf: Adept comm. proof (uses f.c. modules and composition series \rightsquigarrow see [Atiyah-MacDonald, Prop 6.10]).

Remark 1.1.8 (1) Subrings of noetherian (artinian) rings need not be noetherian (artinian). Simple ex: $k[x,y]$ is noeth., but subring generated over

by $\{xy^i, i \geq 0\}$ is not (!)

(2) Let $R \subset S$ be a ring extension. If S is comm.
+ noetherian and module finite (i.e. a f.g. R -module), then
 R is noetherian by the thm of Eakin-Nagata.