Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion

# The quasi-reversibility method for thermoacoustic tomography in a heterogeneous medium

Christian Clason

Zentrum Mathematik, TU München

joint work with M. Klibanov

Applied Inverse Problems 2007 Vancouver, 26 June

Motivation Problem Formulation

2 Method of Quasi-reversibility

Derivation Stability Convergence

### **3** Numerical Solution

Galerkin Approximation Implementation

4 Numerical Results

### **5** Conclusion

000

# Thermoacoustic Tomography

Imaging method, multi-modal:

- Electromagnetic irradiation (RF, Microwave) 1
- 2 Absorption in tissue
- Heating, expansion 8
- Pressure wave in tissue, coupling medium
- 6 Measurement of acoustic pressure in medium

Absorption dependent on tissue type  $\Rightarrow$  recognition of tumours

000

# Mathematical Model for TCT

### Model for propagation of pressure waves

No heat conduction, homogeneous excitation pulse:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{c^2(x)} \partial_{tt} u(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) &= 0 \qquad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,T] \\ u(x,t)|_{t=0} &= \alpha(x) \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \partial_t u(x,t)|_{t=0} &= 0 \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases}$$

 $(u(x, t) \text{ acoustic pressure, } c(x) \text{ wave speed, } \alpha(x) \text{ absorption parameter})$ 

Inverse problem: Calculate  $\alpha(x)$  from measurement of u(x, t)!

#### Goal

Reconstruction method for variable wave speed c

000

# Mathematical Model for TCT

### Model for propagation of pressure waves

No heat conduction, homogeneous excitation pulse:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{c^2(x)} \partial_{tt} u(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) &= 0 \qquad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,T] \\ u(x,t)|_{t=0} &= \alpha(x) \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \partial_t u(x,t)|_{t=0} &= 0 \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases}$$

 $(u(x, t) \text{ acoustic pressure, } c(x) \text{ wave speed, } \alpha(x) \text{ absorption parameter})$ 

Inverse problem: Calculate  $\alpha(x)$  from measurement of u(x, t)!

#### Goal

Reconstruction method for variable wave speed c

00

# Mathematical Model for TCT

### Model for propagation of pressure waves

No heat conduction, homogeneous excitation pulse:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{c^2(x)} \partial_{tt} u(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) &= 0 \qquad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,T] \\ u(x,t)|_{t=0} &= \alpha(x) \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \partial_t u(x,t)|_{t=0} &= 0 \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases}$$

 $(u(x, t) \text{ acoustic pressure, } c(x) \text{ wave speed, } \alpha(x) \text{ absorption parameter})$ 

Inverse problem: Calculate  $\alpha(x)$  from measurement of u(x, t)!

#### Goal

Reconstruction method for variable wave speed c

# Lateral Cauchy Problem

Notations:  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  domain,  $Q_T := \Omega \times [0, T]$ ,  $S_T := \partial \Omega \times [0, T]$ . Initial conditions unknown, boundary measurement  $(u, \partial_{\nu} u)$ :

#### Problem (C)

Given c, f,  $\varphi_0$ ,  $\varphi_1$ , find u(x, t) in  $Q_T$  so that:

	$\int \frac{1}{c(x)^2} \partial_{tt} u(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t)$	= f	$(x,t)\in Q_T$ ,
ł	u(x,t)	$= \varphi_0(x,t)$	$(x,t)\in S_T$ ,
	$\partial_{\nu} u(x,t)$	$= \varphi_1(x,t)$	$(x,t)\in S_{\mathcal{T}}$ .

Ill posed: No solution must exist!

# Lateral Cauchy Problem

Notations:  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  domain,  $Q_T := \Omega \times [0, T]$ ,  $S_T := \partial \Omega \times [0, T]$ . Initial conditions unknown, boundary measurement  $(u, \partial_{\nu} u)$ :

#### Problem (C)

Given c, f,  $\varphi_0$ ,  $\varphi_1$ , find u(x, t) in  $Q_T$  so that:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{c(x)^2} \partial_{tt} u(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) &= f \qquad (x,t) \in Q_T, \\ u(x,t) &= \varphi_0(x,t) \qquad (x,t) \in S_T, \\ \partial_\nu u(x,t) &= \varphi_1(x,t) \qquad (x,t) \in S_T. \end{cases}$$

#### Ill posed: No solution must exist!

Approximation via Method of Quasi-reversibility

Consider first the case of  $\varphi_0 \equiv \varphi_1 \equiv 0$ .

Ansatz: Look for best approximation in Hilbert space X having minimal Y-norm

Tikhonov functional

$$J_{\varepsilon}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{c(x)^2} \partial_{tt} u - \Delta u - f \right\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left\| u \right\|_Y^2 \to \min_{u \in X} du$$

Approximation via Method of Quasi-reversibility

Consider first the case of  $\varphi_0 \equiv \varphi_1 \equiv 0$ .

Ansatz: Look for best approximation in Hilbert space X having minimal Y-norm

Tikhonov functional

$$J_{\varepsilon}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{c(x)^2} \partial_{tt} u - \Delta u - f \right\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left\| u \right\|_Y^2 \to \min_{u \in X}$$

For which X, Y does the minimisation problem have a unique solution?

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion
000	0000000	0000	000000	

# Choice of Function Space

Tikhonov functional

$$J_{\varepsilon}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{c(x)^2} \partial_{tt} u - \Delta u - f \right\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left\| u \right\|_Y^2 \to \min_{u \in H^2_0(Q_T)}$$

Choose

$$X:=H^2_0(Q_T):=\left\{u\in H^2(Q_T):\ u|_{S_T}=\partial_\nu u|_{S_T}=0\right\}$$

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion
000	0000000	0000	000000	

# Choice of Function Space

### Tikhonov functional

$$J_{\varepsilon}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{c(x)^2} \partial_{tt} u - \Delta u - f \right\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left\| u \right\|_{QR}^2 \to \min_{u \in H_0^2(Q_T)}$$

#### Choose

$$X:=H^2_0(Q_T):=\left\{u\in H^2(Q_T):\ u|_{S_T}=\partial_\nu u|_{S_T}=0\right\}$$

with inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{QR} := \int_{Q_T} \partial_{tt} u \, \partial_{tt} v \, dq + \int_{Q_T} \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{ii} u \, \partial_{ii} v \, dq + \int_{Q_T} u \, v \, dq$$

and induced norm  $\|u\|_{QR}^2 := \langle u, u \rangle_{QR}$ 

# Characterisation of Solution

#### Lemma

 $\|u\|_{QR}^2$  and  $\|u\|_{H^2(Q_T)}^2$  are equivalent norms on  $H_0^2(Q_T)$ .

### Characterisation of Solution

#### Lemma

 $\|u\|_{QR}^2$  and  $\|u\|_{H^2(Q_T)}^2$  are equivalent norms on  $H_0^2(Q_T)$ .

 $\Rightarrow J_{\varepsilon}$  is coercive and convex, minimiser  $u_{\varepsilon}$  exists and satisfies

#### Euler equation

$$J_arepsilon'(u_arepsilon)(v)=0$$
 for all  $v\in H^2_0(Q_\mathcal{T})$ 

### Characterisation of Solution

#### Lemma

 $\|u\|_{QR}^2$  and  $\|u\|_{H^2(Q_T)}^2$  are equivalent norms on  $H_0^2(Q_T)$ .

 $\Rightarrow$   $J_{\varepsilon}$  is coercive and convex, minimiser  $u_{\varepsilon}$  exists and satisfies

#### Euler equation

$$\int_{Q_{\mathcal{T}}} Lu_{\varepsilon} Lv \, dq + \varepsilon \, \langle u_{\varepsilon}, v \rangle_{QR} - \int_{Q_{\mathcal{T}}} Lv \, f \, dq = 0 \text{ for all } v \in H^2_0(Q_{\mathcal{T}})$$

$$(Lu:=\frac{1}{c(x)^2}\partial_{tt}u-\Delta u)$$

### Non-homogeneous Boundary Conditions

Consider boundary function  $\Phi \in H^2(Q_T)$  for  $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(x,t) &= \varphi_0(x,t) \quad (x,t) \in S_T \\ \partial_{\nu} \Phi(x,t) &= \varphi_1(x,t) \quad (x,t) \in S_T \end{cases}$$

 $\Rightarrow u^* := u - \Phi$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} Lu^* &= f - L\Phi \quad (x,t) \in Q_T \\ u^*(x,t) &= 0 & (x,t) \in S_T \\ \partial_{\nu}u^*(x,t) &= 0 & (x,t) \in S_T \end{cases}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Set  $F := f - L\Phi$ 

(in the following:  $f \equiv 0$ )

### Non-homogeneous Boundary Conditions

Consider boundary function  $\Phi \in H^2(Q_T)$  for  $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(x,t) &= \varphi_0(x,t) \quad (x,t) \in S_T \\ \partial_{\nu} \Phi(x,t) &= \varphi_1(x,t) \quad (x,t) \in S_T \end{cases}$$

 $\Rightarrow u^* := u - \Phi$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} Lu^* &= f - L\Phi \quad (x,t) \in Q_T \\ u^*(x,t) &= 0 \qquad (x,t) \in S_T \\ \partial_\nu u^*(x,t) &= 0 \qquad (x,t) \in S_T \end{cases}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Set  $F := f - L\Phi$ 

(in the following:  $f \equiv 0$ )

### Non-homogeneous Boundary Conditions

Consider boundary function  $\Phi \in H^2(Q_T)$  for  $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(x,t) &= \varphi_0(x,t) \quad (x,t) \in S_T \\ \partial_{\nu} \Phi(x,t) &= \varphi_1(x,t) \quad (x,t) \in S_T \end{cases}$$

 $\Rightarrow u^* := u - \Phi$  satisfies

$$egin{array}{rll} Lu^* &= f - L\Phi & (x,t) \in Q_T \ u^*(x,t) &= 0 & (x,t) \in S_T \ \partial_
u^*(x,t) &= 0 & (x,t) \in S_T \end{array}$$

 $\Rightarrow \text{Set } F := f - L\Phi \qquad (\text{in the following: } f \equiv 0)$ 

# Quasi-reversibility Approximation

### Problem (Q)

Define bilinear form

$$M_{\varepsilon}(u,v) := \int_{Q_{T}} Lu \, Lv \, dq + \varepsilon \, \langle u,v 
angle_{QR}$$

Given  $\Phi \in H^2(Q_T)$ ,  $c \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , find  $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^2_0(Q_T)$  so that

$$M_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon},v)=-\int_{Q_{T}}L\Phi Lv\,dq$$

for all  $v \in H^2_0(Q_T)$ 

# Existence of Unique Solution

#### Theorem

For  $\Phi \in H^2(Q_T)$ ,  $c \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ :

- Problem (Q) has unique solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$
- There is a  $C(Q_T, \|c\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) > 0$  such that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^{2}(Q_{T})} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\Phi\|_{H^{2}(Q_{T})}$$

#### Proof.

 $M_{\varepsilon}(u,v)$  inner product: Riesz' theorem, estimate via equivalence of norms

# Existence of Unique Solution

### Theorem

For  $\Phi \in H^2(Q_T)$ ,  $c \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ :

- Problem (Q) has unique solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$
- There is a  $C(Q_{\mathcal{T}}, \|c\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) > 0$  such that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^{2}(Q_{T})} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\Phi\|_{H^{2}(Q_{T})}$$

#### Proof.

 $M_{\varepsilon}(u,v)$  inner product: Riesz' theorem, estimate via equivalence of norms

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion
	00000000			

# Regularity

#### Lemma

 $M_{\varepsilon}(u,v)$  is  $H_0^2(Q_T)$  elliptic: There are  $c_1(\|c\|_{L^2(\Omega)}), c_2(Q_T) > 0$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} |M_{\varepsilon}(u,v)| &\leq (c_1+\varepsilon) \|u\|_{H^2(Q_T)} \|v\|_{H^2(Q_T)} \\ |M_{\varepsilon}(u,u)| &\geq c_2 \varepsilon \|u\|_{H^2(Q_T)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Problem (Q) has a solution in  $H^3(U)$  for all compact  $U \subset Q_T$ 

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion
	00000000			

# Regularity

#### Lemma

 $M_{\varepsilon}(u,v)$  is  $H_0^2(Q_T)$  elliptic: There are  $c_1(\|c\|_{L^2(\Omega)}), c_2(Q_T) > 0$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} |M_{\varepsilon}(u,v)| &\leq (c_1+\varepsilon) \|u\|_{H^2(Q_T)} \|v\|_{H^2(Q_T)} \\ |M_{\varepsilon}(u,u)| &\geq c_2 \varepsilon \|u\|_{H^2(Q_T)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

#### Theorem

Problem (Q) has a solution in  $H^3(U)$  for all compact  $U \subset Q_T$ 

# Convergence of Approximations

#### Theorem

- $u^*$  solution of Problem (C) with boundary function  $\Phi$
- $u_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  solution of Problem (Q) for  $\Phi^{\delta}$  with  $\left\| \Phi \Phi^{\delta} \right\|_{H^{2}(Q_{T})} \leq \delta$
- c(x) bounded, satisfies  $2c^{-2}(x) + \langle 
  abla(c^{-2})(x), x x_0 
  angle_n > 0$
- If  $T > T_0(\Omega, c) > 0$ :

$$\left\|u^* - u_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}\right\|_{H^1(Q_{T})}^2 \leq C\left(\delta^2 + \varepsilon \left\|u^*\right\|_{H^2(Q_{T})}^2\right)$$

Parameter choice rule  $arepsilon= au\delta^2, au>1$  $\Rightarrow$  QR approximation is convergent regularisation method

# Convergence of Approximations

#### Theorem

- $u^*$  solution of Problem (C) with boundary function  $\Phi$
- $u_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  solution of Problem (Q) for  $\Phi^{\delta}$  with  $\left\| \Phi \Phi^{\delta} \right\|_{H^{2}(Q_{T})} \leq \delta$
- c(x) bounded, satisfies  $2c^{-2}(x) + \langle 
  abla(c^{-2})(x), x x_0 
  angle_n > 0$
- If  $T > T_0(\Omega, c) > 0$ :

$$\left\|u^* - u_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}\right\|_{H^1(Q_{T})}^2 \leq C\left(\delta^2 + \varepsilon \left\|u^*\right\|_{H^2(Q_{T})}^2\right)$$

Parameter choice rule  $\varepsilon = \tau \delta^2, \tau > 1$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  QR approximation is convergent regularisation method

# Ritz-Galerkin Approximation

Ansatz: Solve Problem (Q) in finite dimensional subspace

Problem (R)

Find  $u_h \in S_h \subset H^2_0(Q_T)$  such that for all  $v_h \in S_h$ 

$$M_{\varepsilon}(u_h,v_h)=-\int_{Q_T}L\Phi\,Lv_h\,dq$$

Here: Cubic splines

- satisfy regularity requirements
- are numerically advantageous (and easy to implement)
- allow construction of boundary function  $\Phi$

# Ritz-Galerkin Approximation

Ansatz: Solve Problem (Q) in finite dimensional subspace

Problem (R)

Find  $u_h \in S_h \subset H^2_0(Q_T)$  such that for all  $v_h \in S_h$ 

$$M_{\varepsilon}(u_h,v_h)=-\int_{Q_T}L\Phi\,Lv_h\,dq$$

Here: Cubic splines

- satisfy regularity requirements
- are numerically advantageous (and easy to implement)
- allow construction of boundary function  $\Phi$

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion
		0000		

### Ansatz Space

### Cubic splines in one dimension

 $S_i^4 := \{s : s \text{ piecewise polynomial of order } 4\} \cap C^2$ 

#### Cubic splines in n + 1 dimensions

$$\mathcal{S}^4 := ext{span} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} s_i : \ s_i \in \mathcal{S}^4_i 
ight\}$$

Ansatz space

$$S_h := \mathcal{S}^4|_{Q_T} \cap H^2_0(Q_T)$$

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion
		0000		

# Ansatz Space

### Cubic splines in one dimension

$$S_i^4 := \{s : s \text{ piecewise polynomial of order } 4\} \cap C^2$$

### Cubic splines in n + 1 dimensions

$$\mathcal{S}^4 := \operatorname{span}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} s_i : s_i \in \mathcal{S}^4_i\right\}$$

#### Ansatz space

$$S_h := \mathcal{S}^4|_{Q_T} \cap H^2_0(Q_T)$$

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion
		0000		

# Ansatz Space

### Cubic splines in one dimension

$$S_i^4 := \{s : s \text{ piecewise polynomial of order } 4\} \cap C^2$$

### Cubic splines in n + 1 dimensions

$$\mathcal{S}^4 := \operatorname{span}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} s_i : s_i \in \mathcal{S}^4_i\right\}$$

#### Ansatz space

$$S_h := \mathcal{S}^4|_{Q_T} \cap H^2_0(Q_T)$$

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion
		0000		

### Error Estimate

### Knots uniformly distributed, distance h:

#### Theorem

A solution  $u_h \in S_h$  of Problem (R) satisfies with  $C(\Omega, c, \varepsilon) > 0$ :

$$\|u_{\varepsilon} - u_h\|_{H^2(Q_T)} \le Ch \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^3(Q_T)}$$

### Proof.

- $llowbreak M_arepsilon$  elliptic, hence use Céa's lemma
- approximation theorems for tensor product splines in Sobolev spaces
- ${f 8}$  infimum of interpolation error in  ${\cal S}^4$  is attained in  $S_h$

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion
		0000		

### Error Estimate

Knots uniformly distributed, distance h:

#### Theorem

A solution  $u_h \in S_h$  of Problem (R) satisfies with  $C(\Omega, c, \varepsilon) > 0$ :

$$\|u_{\varepsilon} - u_{h}\|_{H^{2}(Q_{T})} \leq Ch \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^{3}(Q_{T})}$$

### Proof.

- 1)  $M_{arepsilon}$  elliptic, hence use Céa's lemma
- approximation theorems for tensor product splines in Sobolev spaces
- ${\mathfrak S}$  infimum of interpolation error in  ${\mathcal S}^4$  is attained in  $S_h$

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion
		0000		
				-

### Error Estimate

Knots uniformly distributed, distance h:

#### Theorem

A solution  $u_h \in S_h$  of Problem (R) satisfies with  $C(\Omega, c, \varepsilon) > 0$ :

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}-u_{h}\|_{H^{2}(Q_{T})} \leq Ch \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^{3}(Q_{T})}$$

### Proof.

- **1**  $M_{\varepsilon}$  elliptic, hence use Céa's lemma
- e approximation theorems for tensor product splines in Sobolev spaces
- **(3)** infimum of interpolation error in  $S^4$  is attained in  $S_h$

# Implementation

Choose basis of  $S_i^4$ :

### Normalised cubic B-splines $B_i^4(x)$

- form partition of unity
- have local support
- can be differentiated analytically with B-splines as derivative
- have inner products which can be evaluated exactly and stably by Gauss quadrature
- allow stable construction of boundary function by *complete cubic spline interpolation*



# Implementation

Choose basis of  $S_i^4$ :

### Normalised cubic B-splines $B_i^4(x)$

- form partition of unity
- have local support
- can be differentiated analytically with B-splines as derivative
- have inner products which can be evaluated exactly and stably by Gauss quadrature
- allow stable construction of boundary function by *complete cubic spline interpolation*



# Numerical Results

- Domain  $Q_T = [-3,3]^2 \times [0,7]$
- Discretisation  $h_x = h_y = 0.2$ ,  $h_t = 0.1$
- Given initial conditions  $\partial_t u(x, y, 0) \equiv 0$ ,

$$u(x, y, 0) = e^{-(x^2 + y^2)} \sin(3x) \cos(3y)$$
Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusior
000	0000000	0000	000000	

### Constant Coefficients ( $c \equiv 1$ ): Reconstruction



### Smooth Coefficients



$$\frac{1}{c(x,y)^2} = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{1}{12}(x^2 + y^2)$$

### Smooth Coefficients: Reconstruction



### Nondifferentiable Coefficients



$$c(x,y) = \max\left(2 - \left(\frac{\max(2 - 5 + x^2 + y^2, 0)}{2}\right)^2, 1\right)$$

### Nondifferentiable Coefficients: Reconstruction



 $u_{\varepsilon}(x,0,0), \ \varepsilon = 10^{-4}$ 

Numerical Solution

### Shepp-Logan Phantom



Given u(x, y, 0)

Numerical Solution

### Shepp-Logan Phantom



reconstruction (constant coefficients)

Numerical Solution

Numerical Results

### Shepp-Logan Phantom



reconstruction (smooth coefficients)

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion

### Conclusion

Advantages:

- robust
- not iterative (independent of initial guess, stopping criteria)
- applicable to variable (time dependent) coefficients
- extensible to large class of problems

Disadvantages:

- linear systems only
- high memory requirements

Perspective:

- systems: full elasticity, Maxwell equations
- general domains (weighted B-splines)

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion

### Conclusion

Advantages:

- robust
- not iterative (independent of initial guess, stopping criteria)
- applicable to variable (time dependent) coefficients
- extensible to large class of problems

Disadvantages:

- linear systems only
- high memory requirements

Perspective:

- systems: full elasticity, Maxwell equations
- general domains (weighted B-splines)

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion

### Conclusion

Advantages:

- robust
- not iterative (independent of initial guess, stopping criteria)
- applicable to variable (time dependent) coefficients
- extensible to large class of problems

Disadvantages:

- linear systems only
- high memory requirements

Perspective:

- systems: full elasticity, Maxwell equations
- general domains (weighted B-splines)

Background	Quasi-reversibility	Numerical Solution	Numerical Results	Conclusion

## Thank you for your attention!

### Mammography with TCT Prototype











# Relative $L^2$ Errors (Constants Coefficients)

$\delta, \varepsilon$	0	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-5}$	10 <sup>-4</sup>	10 <sup>-3</sup>	10 <sup>-1</sup>	1.0
0	0.15971	0.15964	0.15901	0.15281	0.09858	0.87138	0.99522
0.05	0.16029	0.16041	0.15768	0.15073	0.09941	0.87178	0.99524
0.1	0.16211	0.15708	0.15837	0.15076	0.09618	0.87057	0.99527
0.2	0.16614	0.15912	0.16017	0.15119	0.09509	0.86999	0.99539
0.4	0.16653	0.18398	0.17926	0.15146	0.11096	0.87364	0.99583
0.5	0.19039	0.14141	0.18613	0.16458	0.13000	0.86619	0.99617
1.0	0.20092	0.23337	0.18634	0.21325	0.12906	0.88003	0.99444
2.0	0.31615	0.38390	0.43442	0.27649	0.34904	0.85463	0.99199
3.0	0.54785	0.43724	0.52161	0.48232	0.55094	0.84709	0.99659
4.0	0.78441	0.69156	0.64085	0.98342	0.64522	0.86108	0.99543
6.0	0.90998	1.08680	0.84162	1.28090	1.26290	0.91392	0.99826

# Relative $L^2$ Errors (Smooth Coefficients)

$\delta, \varepsilon$	0	10 <sup>-6</sup>	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-4}$	10 <sup>-3</sup>	$10^{-1}$	1.0
0	0.14984	0.14690	0.14850	0.15036	0.12667	0.76300	0.99530
0.05	0.14253	0.13900	0.15360	0.13643	0.11971	0.76330	0.99540
0.1	0.14457	0.14330	0.14200	0.14502	0.10915	0.76364	0.99513
0.2	0.13169	0.14170	0.15068	0.13298	0.10365	0.76320	0.99554
0.5	0.15338	0.17681	0.16089	0.15436	0.14229	0.75604	0.99508
1.0	0.17350	0.22785	0.22412	0.21235	0.19087	0.75570	0.99376

# Time Development (Smooth Coefficients)



### Idea of proof.

• Use Carleman estimate for wave equation with variable coefficients to derive Lipschitz observability estimate:

$$||u||^2_{H^1(Q_T)} \leq C ||Lu||^2_{L^2(Q_T)}$$

2 Difference 
$$w := u^* - u_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$$
 satisfies

$$\|Lw\|_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}^{2}+\varepsilon \|w\|_{QR}^{2}=-\left\langle L(\Phi-\Phi^{\delta}),Lw\right\rangle_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}+\varepsilon \langle u^{*},w\rangle_{QR}$$

8 Apply observability estimate to w, estimate

$$\left\|Lw\right\|_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}^{2} \leq C\left(\delta^{2} + \varepsilon \left\|u^{*}\right\|_{QR}^{2}\right)$$

### Idea of proof.

• Use Carleman estimate for wave equation with variable coefficients to derive Lipschitz observability estimate:

$$||u||^{2}_{H^{1}(Q_{T})} \leq C ||Lu||^{2}_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}$$

**2** Difference  $w := u^* - u_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  satisfies

$$\|Lw\|_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}^{2}+\varepsilon \|w\|_{QR}^{2}=-\left\langle L(\Phi-\Phi^{\delta}),Lw\right\rangle_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}+\varepsilon \langle u^{*},w\rangle_{QR}$$

Output Apply observability estimate to w, estimate

$$\|Lw\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^2 \le C\left(\delta^2 + \varepsilon \|u^*\|_{QR}^2\right)$$

#### Idea of proof.

• Use Carleman estimate for wave equation with variable coefficients to derive Lipschitz observability estimate:

$$||u||^{2}_{H^{1}(Q_{T})} \leq C ||Lu||^{2}_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}$$

**2** Difference  $w := u^* - u_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  satisfies

$$\|Lw\|_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}^{2}+\varepsilon \|w\|_{QR}^{2}=-\left\langle L(\Phi-\Phi^{\delta}),Lw\right\rangle_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}+\varepsilon \langle u^{*},w\rangle_{QR}$$

 $\bigcirc$  Apply observability estimate to w, estimate

$$\|Lw\|_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}^{2} \leq C\left(\delta^{2} + \varepsilon \|u^{*}\|_{QR}^{2}\right)$$

### Sketch of proof.

 Carleman estimate for wave equation with variable coefficients:

$$\begin{split} \lambda^3 \int_{Q_{\sigma}} |u|^2 e^{2\lambda\varphi} \, dq + \lambda \int_{Q_{\sigma}} \left( |\nabla u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 \right) e^{2\lambda\varphi} \, dq \\ &\leq C \int_{Q_{\tau}} |Lu|^2 e^{2\lambda\varphi} \, dq \end{split}$$

holds for all  $u \in H^2_0(Q_{\sigma})$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  large enough,  $\varphi$  pseudo-convex function,  $Q_{\sigma} \subset Q_T$  pseudo-convex domain

### Sketch of proof.

Carleman estimate for wave equation with variable coefficients:

$$\begin{split} \lambda^3 \int_{Q_{\sigma}} |u|^2 e^{2\lambda\varphi} \, dq + \lambda \int_{Q_{\sigma}} \left( |\nabla u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 \right) e^{2\lambda\varphi} \, dq \\ &\leq C \int_{Q_{\tau}} |Lu|^2 e^{2\lambda\varphi} \, dq \end{split}$$

holds for all  $u \in H_0^2(Q_{\sigma})$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  large enough,  $\varphi$  pseudo-convex function,  $Q_{\sigma} \subset Q_T$  pseudo-convex domain 2 Use cutoff function for estimate in  $Q_{\sigma}$  for  $u \in H_0^2(Q_T)$ 

$$\|u\|_{H^{1}(Q_{\sigma})}^{2} \leq C\left(e^{-2\lambda c_{1}}\|u\|_{H^{1}(Q_{T})}^{2} + e^{2\lambda c_{2}}\|Lu\|_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}^{2}\right)$$

Sketch of proof.

**2** Use cutoff function for estimate in  $Q_{\sigma}$  for  $u \in H^2_0(Q_T)$ 

$$\|u\|_{H^{1}(Q_{\sigma})}^{2} \leq C\left(e^{-2\lambda c_{1}}\|u\|_{H^{1}(Q_{T})}^{2} + e^{2\lambda c_{2}}\|Lu\|_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}^{2}\right)$$

**③** Combine estimates for suitable  $Q_{\sigma}$ ,  $Q'_{\sigma}$  for estimate in  $E := \Omega \times [t_1, t_2]$ 

#### Sketch of proof.

**2** Use cutoff function for estimate in  $Q_{\sigma}$  for  $u \in H^2_0(Q_T)$ 

$$\|u\|_{H^{1}(Q_{\sigma})}^{2} \leq C\left(e^{-2\lambda c_{1}}\|u\|_{H^{1}(Q_{T})}^{2} + e^{2\lambda c_{2}}\|Lu\|_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}^{2}\right)$$

- **3** Combine estimates for suitable  $Q_{\sigma}$ ,  $Q'_{\sigma}$  for estimate in  $E := \Omega \times [t_1, t_2]$
- **4** There is a  $\theta \in [t_1, t_2]$  such that

$$\|u\|_{H^{1}(E)}^{2} \geq (t_{2} - t_{1}) \left( \|u(\cdot, \theta)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}u(\cdot, \theta)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} 
ight)$$

#### Sketch of proof.

- **③** Combine estimates for suitable  $Q_{\sigma}$ ,  $Q'_{\sigma}$  for estimate in  $E := \Omega \times [t_1, t_2]$
- **4** There is a  $\theta \in [t_1, t_2]$  such that

$$\|u\|_{H^{1}(E)}^{2} \geq (t_{2} - t_{1}) \left( \|u(\cdot, \theta)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}u(\cdot, \theta)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \right)$$

**5** Use standard energy estimate for wave equation:

$$\|u\|_{H^{1}(Q_{T})}^{2} \leq 2C \left(\|u(\cdot,\theta)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}u(\cdot,\theta)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|Lu\|_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}^{2}\right)$$

#### Sketch of proof.

④ There is a 
$$heta\in[t_1,t_2]$$
 such that

$$\|u\|_{H^{1}(E)}^{2} \geq (t_{2} - t_{1}) \left( \|u(\cdot, \theta)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}u(\cdot, \theta)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \right)$$

**5** Use standard energy estimate for wave equation:

$$\|u\|_{H^{1}(Q_{T})}^{2} \leq 2C \left(\|u(\cdot,\theta)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}u(\cdot,\theta)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|Lu\|_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}^{2}\right)$$

**6** Insert in step 3 for Lipschitz observability inequality in  $Q_T$ 

$$||u||^2_{H^1(Q_T)} \le C ||Lu||^2_{L^2(Q_T)}$$

#### Sketch of proof.

**(6)** Insert in step 3 for Lipschitz observability inequality in  $Q_T$ 

$$||u||^{2}_{H^{1}(Q_{T})} \leq C ||Lu||^{2}_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}$$

7 Difference  $w := u^* - u_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|Lw\|_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}^{2} + \varepsilon \|w\|_{QR}^{2} = \\ - \left\langle L(\Phi^{\delta} - \Phi), Lw \right\rangle_{L^{2}(Q_{T})} + \varepsilon \left\langle u^{*}, w \right\rangle_{QR} \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of proof.

**7** Difference 
$$w := u^* - u_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$$
 satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|Lw\|_{L^{2}(Q_{T})}^{2} + \varepsilon \|w\|_{QR}^{2} = \\ - \left\langle L(\Phi^{\delta} - \Phi), Lw \right\rangle_{L^{2}(Q_{T})} + \varepsilon \left\langle u^{*}, w \right\rangle_{QR} \end{aligned}$$

Apply Lipschitz observability estimate to w, use step 7 to estimate ||Lw||<sup>2</sup><sub>L<sup>2</sup>(Q<sub>T</sub>)</sub>:

$$\|w\|_{H^1(Q_T)}^2 \le C\left(\delta^2 + \varepsilon \|u^*\|_{QR}^2\right)$$



### Cubic B-splines



## Cubic B-splines (first derivative)



### Cubic B-splines (second derivative)



### Basis of $S_h$

Simplified problem:

- $\Omega = [-R, R] \times [-R, R] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$
- Uniform discretisation with  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  knots in x, y, t

 $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ B_{i,1}^4(x) B_{j,2}^4(y) B_{k,3}^4(t), \ i \in \{1, \dots, k_1 + 4\}, j \in \{1, \dots, k_2 + 4\}, k \in \{1, \dots, k_3 + 4\} \right\}$ 

#### Basis of $S_h$

$$\mathcal{B}^{0} = \left\{ B_{i,1}^{4}(x) B_{j,2}^{4}(y) B_{k,3}^{4}(t), \\ i \in \{3, \dots, k_{1} + 2\}, j \in \{3, \dots, k_{2} + 2\}, k \in \{1, \dots, k_{3} + 4\} \right\}$$

### Basis of $S_h$

Simplified problem:

- $\Omega = [-R, R] \times [-R, R] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$
- Uniform discretisation with  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  knots in x, y, t

Basis of  $\mathcal{S}^4$ 

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ B_{i,1}^4(x) B_{j,2}^4(y) B_{k,3}^4(t), \\ i \in \{1, \dots, k_1 + 4\}, j \in \{1, \dots, k_2 + 4\}, k \in \{1, \dots, k_3 + 4\} \right\}$$

#### Basis of $S_h$

$$\mathcal{B}^{0} = \left\{ B_{i,1}^{4}(x) B_{j,2}^{4}(y) B_{k,3}^{4}(t), \\ i \in \{3, \dots, k_{1} + 2\}, j \in \{3, \dots, k_{2} + 2\}, k \in \{1, \dots, k_{3} + 4\} \right\}$$
## Basis of $S_h$

Simplified problem:

- $\Omega = [-R, R] \times [-R, R] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$
- Uniform discretisation with  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  knots in x, y, t

Basis of  $\mathcal{S}^4$ 

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ B_{i,1}^4(x) B_{j,2}^4(y) B_{k,3}^4(t), \\ i \in \{1, \dots, k_1 + 4\}, j \in \{1, \dots, k_2 + 4\}, k \in \{1, \dots, k_3 + 4\} \right\}$$

#### Basis of $S_h$

$$\mathcal{B}^{0} = \left\{ B_{i,1}^{4}(x) B_{j,2}^{4}(y) B_{k,3}^{4}(t), \\ i \in \{3, \dots, k_{1}+2\}, j \in \{3, \dots, k_{2}+2\}, k \in \{1, \dots, k_{3}+4\} \right\}$$

# Basis of $S_h$

### Basis of $\mathcal{S}^4$

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ B_{i,1}^4(x) B_{j,2}^4(y) B_{k,3}^4(t), \\ i \in \{1, \dots, k_1 + 4\}, j \in \{1, \dots, k_2 + 4\}, k \in \{1, \dots, k_3 + 4\} \right\}$$

### Basis of $S_h$

$$\mathcal{B}^0 = \left\{ B^4_{i,1}(x) B^4_{j,2}(y) B^4_{k,3}(t), \ i \in \{3, \dots, k_1 + 2\}, j \in \{3, \dots, k_2 + 2\}, k \in \{1, \dots, k_3 + 4\} 
ight\}$$

- **1** express  $u_h, v_h$  as linear combination from  $\mathcal{B}^0$
- **2** express  $\Phi$  as interpolant from  $\mathcal{B}$
- $\mathbf{3} \Rightarrow$  system of linear equations for coefficients of  $u_h$