

THE SET OF DISTANCES IN SEMINORMAL WEAKLY KRULL MONOIDS

ALFRED GEROLDINGER AND QINGHAI ZHONG

ABSTRACT. The set of distances of a monoid or of a domain is the set of all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property: there are irreducible elements $u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_{k+d}$ such that $u_1 \cdot \dots \cdot u_k = v_1 \cdot \dots \cdot v_{k+d}$, but $u_1 \cdot \dots \cdot u_k$ cannot be written as a product of l irreducible elements for any l with $k < l < k + d$. We show that the set of distances is an interval for certain seminormal weakly Krull monoids which include seminormal orders in holomorphy rings of global fields.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

Let H be a v -noetherian monoid (for example, a noetherian domain). Then every non-unit of H has a factorization as a finite product of atoms (irreducible elements), and all these factorizations are unique (i.e., H is factorial) if and only if H is a Krull monoid with trivial v -class group. Otherwise, there are elements having factorizations which differ not only up to associates and up to the order of the factors. The occurring phenomena of non-uniqueness are described by arithmetical invariants such as sets of lengths and sets of distances. We recall some arithmetical concepts and then we formulate the main result of the present paper.

For a finite non-empty set $L = \{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$ of positive integers with $m_1 < \dots < m_k$, we denote by $\Delta(L) = \{m_i - m_{i-1} \mid i \in [2, k]\}$ the set of distances of L . Thus $\Delta(L) = \emptyset$ if and only if $|L| \leq 1$. If a non-unit $a \in H$ has a factorization $a = u_1 \cdot \dots \cdot u_k$ into atoms u_1, \dots, u_k , then k is called the length of the factorization, and the set $\mathsf{L}(a)$ of all possible factorization lengths k is called the set of lengths of a . In v -noetherian monoids all sets of lengths are finite. If there is an element $a \in H$ with $|\mathsf{L}(a)| > 1$, then the n -fold sumset $\mathsf{L}(a) + \dots + \mathsf{L}(a)$ is contained in $\mathsf{L}(a^n)$ whence $|\mathsf{L}(a^n)| > n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set of distances $\Delta(H)$ (also called the delta set of H) is the union of all sets $\Delta(\mathsf{L}(a))$ over all non-units $a \in H$. Thus, by definition, $\Delta(H) = \emptyset$ if and only if $|\mathsf{L}(a)| = 1$ for all non-units $a \in H$, and $\Delta(H) = \{d\}$ if and only if $\mathsf{L}(a)$ is an arithmetical progression with difference d for all non-units $a \in H$.

The set of distances (together with associated invariants, such as the catenary degree) has found wide interest in the literature in settings ranging from numerical monoids to Mori domains (for a sample out of many see [11, 9, 4, 15, 16, 10, 8, 12, 21, 30]). In the present paper we focus on seminormal weakly Krull monoids and show – under mild natural assumptions – that their sets of distances are intervals.

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be a seminormal v -noetherian weakly Krull monoid, \widehat{H} its complete integral closure, and $\emptyset \neq \mathfrak{f} = (H : \widehat{H})$ its conductor. Suppose that the localization $H_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is finitely primary for each minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}(H)$, and that every class of the v -class group $G = \mathcal{C}_v(H)$ contains a minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}(H)$ with $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{f}$. Then $\Delta(H) = \emptyset$ or $\min \Delta(H) = 1$. Moreover, we have*

1. *If $|G| = 1$, then $\Delta(H) \subset \{1\}$ and if G is infinite, then $\Delta(H) = \mathbb{N}$.*
2. *Suppose that G is finite. If there is at most one $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}(H)$ such that $|\{\mathfrak{P} \in \mathfrak{X}(\widehat{H}) \mid \mathfrak{P} \cap H = \mathfrak{p}\}| > 1$ or if G is an elementary 2-group, then $\Delta(H)$ is a finite interval.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R27, 13A05, 13F15, 13F45, 20M13.

Key words and phrases. sets of lengths, sets of distances, weakly Krull monoids, seminormal domains, non-principal orders.

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF, Project Numbers M1641-N26 and P26036-N26.

Seminormal orders in algebraic number fields satisfy all assumptions of Theorem 1.1, and more examples will be given in Section 2. Seminormal orders have been studied by Dobbs and Fontana in [14], where they provide, among others, a full characterization of seminormal orders in quadratic number fields. Note that for a non-principal order R , which is not seminormal and whose Picard group has at most two elements, it is open whether or not we have $1 \in \Delta(R)$, let alone whether or not $\Delta(R)$ is an interval (e.g., [29]).

Every Krull monoid is seminormal v -noetherian weakly Krull and all localizations are discrete valuation monoids and hence finitely primary. For Krull monoids having a minimal prime ideal in each class (whence in particular for principal orders in algebraic number fields) it is well-known that the set of distances is an interval ([20]), and it is the goal of the present paper to generalize this result from the Krull to the weakly Krull case. Note, that even in the case of Krull monoids, the assumption that every class contains a minimal prime ideal is essential to obtain that the set of distances is an interval (see Examples 3.4.1).

Suppose that H is seminormal v -noetherian weakly Krull monoid with finite v -class group, nontrivial conductor, and with all localizations being finitely primary. It is well-known that the set of distances is finite, and this result holds without the seminormality assumption. However, seminormality is crucial for the set of distances being an interval (even in the local case, sets of distances may fail to be intervals without assuming seminormality, see Examples 3.4). There is an ideal-theoretic characterization when the set of distances is empty ([18, Theorem 6.2]; a necessary condition is that the v -class group has at most two elements). However, we did not want to include this characterization into the formulation of Theorem 1.1.

Suppose that the v -class group of H is finite but not trivial and consider the assumption in Statement 2 of Theorem 1.1. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{U}_k(H)$ denote the set of all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there is an equation of the form $u_1 \cdots u_k = v_1 \cdots v_\ell$ where $u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_\ell$ are atoms (equivalently, $\mathcal{U}_k(H)$ is the union of all sets of lengths containing k). The map $\pi: \mathfrak{X}(\widehat{H}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(H)$, defined by $\pi(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{P} \cap H$ for all $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathfrak{X}(\widehat{H})$, is surjective, and it is well-known that the unions $\mathcal{U}_k(H)$ are finite for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if π is bijective. If the unions are finite and H is seminormal, then the unions are finite intervals ([18, Theorem 5.8]). This shows that the first assumption in Statement 2 of Theorem 1.1 is a natural one (our machinery is strong enough so that we can handle the slightly more general situation where there is at most one prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}(H)$ which is not inert in \widehat{H}).

Suppose that this assumption on the map π does not hold. We settle the case where G is an elementary 2-group, and this allows us to show that $\Delta(H) = \emptyset$ or $\min \Delta(H) = 1$ without any additional assumptions. The proof for elementary 2-groups uses the fact that we know the maximum of the set of distances for Krull monoids whose class groups are elementary 2-groups (this maximum is known only in very special cases; see Proposition 2.4). We provide a detailed analysis of the case $|G| = 2$ and determine the maximum of the set of distances (Theorem 3.3). In case of Krull monoids it is straightforward that the set of distances is empty if and only if $|G| \leq 2$, and Theorem 3.3 reveals the complexity of the situation in the weakly Krull case.

The present paper is based on ideal-theoretic results for v -noetherian weakly Krull monoids, recently established in [18]. They allow to study sets of distances in a special class of weakly Krull monoids which are easier to handle. Furthermore, we use that sets of distances in Krull monoids are intervals ([20]). Our machinery will be put together in Section 2. The proof of Theorems 1.1 and 3.3 will be given in Section 3, and we end with examples demonstrating the necessity of the various assumptions of Theorem 1.1.

2. BACKGROUND IN (WEAKLY) KRULL MONOIDS

We denote by \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers, and for real numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$ the discrete interval between a and b . For subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}$, let $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ be their sumset, and let $\Delta(A) = \{d \in \mathbb{N} \mid d = l - k \text{ for some } k, l \in L \text{ with } [k, l] \cap A = \{k, l\}\}$ be the set of distances of L .

By a monoid, we mean a commutative cancellative semigroup with unit element. Let H be a monoid. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(H)$ the set of atoms of H , by H^\times the group of invertible elements of H , by $\mathfrak{q}(H)$ the quotient group of H , and by $H_{\text{red}} = H/H^\times$ the associated reduced monoid of H . For a set \mathcal{P} , we denote by $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P})$ the *free abelian monoid* with basis \mathcal{P} . Then every $a \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P})$ has a unique representation of the form

$$a = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{v_p(a)} \quad \text{with } v_p(a) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } v_p(a) = 0 \text{ for almost all } p \in \mathcal{P},$$

and we call $|a|_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P})} = |a| = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} v_p(a)$ the *length* of a . The monoid $Z(H) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(H_{\text{red}}))$ is called the *factorization monoid* of H , and the unique homomorphism

$$\pi: Z(H) \rightarrow H_{\text{red}} \quad \text{satisfying } \pi(u) = u \text{ for each } u \in \mathcal{A}(H_{\text{red}})$$

is the *factorization homomorphism* of H . For $a \in H$,

$$Z_H(a) = Z(a) = \pi^{-1}(aH^\times) \subset Z(H) \quad \text{is the set of factorizations of } a, \quad \text{and}$$

$$L_H(a) = L(a) = \{|z| \mid z \in Z(a)\} \subset \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{is the set of lengths of } a.$$

Thus $L(a) = \{0\}$ if and only if $a \in H^\times$, and $L(a) = \{1\}$ if and only if $a \in \mathcal{A}(H)$. The monoid H is said to be *atomic* if $Z(a) \neq \emptyset$ for every $a \in H$ (equivalently, every non-unit can be written as a finite product of atoms). If H is *v-noetherian* (i.e., the ascending chain condition on divisorial ideals holds), then H is atomic and all sets of lengths are finite and non-empty. Next, let

$$\Delta(H) = \bigcup_{a \in H} \Delta(L(a))$$

denote the *set of distances* of H . Clearly, $\Delta(H) = \emptyset$ if and only if $|L(a)| = 1$ for each $a \in H$, and in this case H is said to be *half-factorial* (for recent work on half-factorial domains see [13, 31, 32, 28]). If H is not half-factorial, then $\min \Delta(H) = \gcd \Delta(H)$ ([17, Proposition 1.4.4]). Thus, if there is an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m, m+1 \in \Delta(H)$, then $\min \Delta(H) = 1$.

Let $z, z' \in Z(H)$, say

$$z = u_1 \cdots u_l v_1 \cdots v_m \quad \text{and} \quad z' = u_1 \cdots u_l w_1 \cdots w_n,$$

where $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $u_1, \dots, u_l, v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathcal{A}(H_{\text{red}})$ with $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \cap \{w_1, \dots, w_n\} = \emptyset$. We call $d(z, z') = \max\{m, n\} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the *distance* between z and z' . For every $N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, an N -chain of factorizations of a from z to z' is a finite sequence $(z_i)_{i \in [0, k]}$ of factorizations $z_i \in Z(a)$ such that $z = z_0$, $z' = z_k$, and $d(z_{i-1}, z_i) \leq N$ for every $i \in [1, k]$. For an element $a \in H$, its *catenary degree* $c(a)$ is defined as the smallest $N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ such that for any two factorizations $z, z' \in Z(a)$ there is an N -chain of factorizations of a from z to z' . Then

$$c(H) = \sup\{c(a) \mid a \in H\} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$$

denotes the *catenary degree* of H . If $a \in H$ has at least two distinct factorizations, then $2 + \sup \Delta(L(a)) \leq c(a)$. The monoid H is *factorial* if and only if it is atomic and $c(H) = 0$. If H is atomic but not factorial, then $2 + \sup \Delta(H) \leq c(H)$ ([17, Theorem 1.6.3]).

Let D be a monoid such that $H \subset D$ is a submonoid. We say that $H \subset D$ is

- *saturated* if $H = \mathfrak{q}(H) \cap D$,
- *divisor-closed* if $a \in H$, $\alpha \in D$, and $\alpha \mid a$ imply that $\alpha \in H$,
- *cofinal* if for every $\alpha \in D$ there is an $a \in H$ such that $\alpha \mid a$, and

the factor group $\mathfrak{q}(D)/\mathfrak{q}(H)$ is called the *class group* of $H \subset D$.

Let $\mathfrak{X}(H)$ denote the set of all minimal non-empty prime s -ideals of H , and for subsets $A, B \subset \mathfrak{q}(H)$, we set $(A : B) = \{x \in \mathfrak{q}(H) \mid xB \subset A\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{I}_v^*(H)$ the monoid of v -invertible v -ideals (with v -multiplication) and by $\mathcal{F}_v(H)^\times = \mathfrak{q}(\mathcal{I}_v^*(H))$ its quotient group of fractional v -invertible v -ideals. The monoid of principal ideals $\mathcal{H} = \{aH \mid a \in H\}$ is a cofinal saturated submonoid of $\mathcal{I}_v^*(H)$, and the class group of $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{I}_v^*(H)$ is the v -class group $\mathcal{C}_v(H) = \mathcal{F}_v(H)^\times / \mathfrak{q}(\mathcal{H})$ of H . We denote by

- $H' = \{x \in \mathfrak{q}(H) \mid \text{there exists some } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } x^n \in H \text{ for all } n \geq N\}$ the *seminormal closure* (also called the *seminormalization*) of H , and by
- $\widehat{H} = \{x \in \mathfrak{q}(H) \mid \text{there exists some } c \in H \text{ such that } cx^n \in H \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the *complete integral closure* of H .

We say that H is seminormal (completely integrally closed resp.) if $H = H'$ ($H = \widehat{H}$ resp.), and $(H : \widehat{H})$ is called the conductor of H . The localization $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of any monoid D is a primary monoid for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}(D)$. We will mainly be concerned with a special class of primary monoids. A monoid D is called *finitely primary* if there exist $s, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ such that D is a submonoid of a factorial monoid $F = F^\times \times [q_1, \dots, q_s]$ with s pairwise non-associated prime elements q_1, \dots, q_s satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad D \setminus D^\times \subset q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_s F \quad \text{and} \quad (q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_s)^\alpha F \subset D.$$

If this holds, then D is primary, $F = \widehat{D}$, $|\mathfrak{X}(\widehat{D})| = s$ is called the rank of D , and D is seminormal if and only if

$$D = q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_s F \cup D^\times.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $D \subset F = F^\times \times [q_1, \dots, q_s]$ be a seminormal finitely primary monoid of rank s . Then*

1. $\mathcal{A}(D) = \{\epsilon q_1^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot q_s^{k_s} \mid \epsilon \in F^\times \text{ and } \min\{k_1, \dots, k_s\} = 1\}$.
2. *If $s = 1$, then $c(D) \leq 2$ and D is half-factorial.*
3. *If $s \geq 2$, then $\min L(a) = 2$ for all $a \in D \setminus (D^\times \cup \mathcal{A}(D))$. In particular, $c(D) = 3$.*

Proof. See [18, Lemma 3.6]. □

A monoid H is called a *weakly Krull monoid* ([25, Corollary 22.5]) if

$$H = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}(H)} H_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}(H) \mid a \in \mathfrak{p}\} \text{ is finite for all } a \in H.$$

A domain R is weakly Krull if and only if its multiplicative monoid $R^\bullet = R \setminus \{0\}$ of nonzero elements is weakly Krull. Weakly Krull domains were introduced by Anderson, Anderson, Mott, and Zafrullah [1, 2], and a divisor theoretic characterization was first given by Halter-Koch [24]. For seminormal v -noetherian domains (i.e., seminormal Mori domains) we refer to the survey by Barucci [5]. The ideal theory of (general) weakly Krull monoids is presented in [25, Chapters 21 – 24], and for seminormal v -noetherian weakly Krull monoids we refer to [18, Section 5]. A monoid H is said to be *Krull* if it is weakly Krull and $H_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a discrete valuation monoid for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}(H)$ (equivalently, H is v -noetherian and completely integrally closed).

Let H be a weakly Krull monoid. Then H is v -noetherian (seminormal resp.) if and only if all localizations $H_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are v -noetherian (seminormal resp.) for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}(H)$. Let H be a seminormal v -noetherian weakly Krull monoid with $\emptyset \neq \mathfrak{f} = (H : \widehat{H}) \subsetneq H$. Then \widehat{H} is Krull and for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}(H)$, $H_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is seminormal v -noetherian primary, and if H is the multiplicative monoid of nonzero elements of a domain, then $H_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is even finitely primary.

Noetherian domains are weakly Krull if and only if every prime ideal of depth one has height one, which holds in particular for all one-dimensional noetherian domains. Let R be a one-dimensional noetherian domain such that its integral closure \overline{R} is a finitely generated R -module. Then the integral closure coincides with the complete integral closure, the conductor $\mathfrak{f} = (R : \overline{R})$ is nonzero, and the v -class group is the usual Picard group. If R is an order in an algebraic number field or an order in a holomorphy ring of an algebraic function field, then the v -class group is finite and every class contains infinitely many prime ideals. We refer to [26, 3, 7, 27] for more on weakly Krull domains and to the extended list of further examples in [18, Examples 5.7].

We continue with weakly Krull monoids of a combinatorial flavor which are used to model general weakly Krull monoids. Let G be an additive abelian group, $G_0 \subset G$ a subset, T a reduced monoid and

$\iota: T \rightarrow G$ a homomorphism. Let $\sigma: \mathcal{F}(G_0) \rightarrow G$ be the unique homomorphism satisfying $\sigma(g) = g$ for all $g \in G_0$. Then

$$B = \mathcal{B}(G_0, T, \iota) = \{St \in \mathcal{F}(G_0) \times T \mid \sigma(S) + \iota(t) = 0\} \subset \mathcal{F}(G_0) \times T = F$$

is called the T -block monoid over G_0 defined by ι .

Proposition 2.2. *Let $D = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}) \times T$ be a reduced atomic monoid, where $\mathcal{P} \subset D$ a set of primes and $T \subset D$ is a submonoid, and let $H \subset D$ be an atomic saturated submonoid with class group $G = \mathfrak{q}(D)/\mathfrak{q}(H)$, and $G_{\mathcal{P}} = \{[p] \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\} \subset G$ the set of classes containing primes. Let $\iota: T \rightarrow G$ be defined by $\iota(t) = [t]$, $F = \mathcal{F}(G_{\mathcal{P}}) \times T$, $B = \mathcal{B}(G_{\mathcal{P}}, T, \iota) \subset F$, and let $\tilde{\beta}: D \rightarrow F$ be the unique homomorphism satisfying $\tilde{\beta}(p) = [p]$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\tilde{\beta}|T = \text{id}_T$.*

1. *The restriction $\beta = \tilde{\beta}|H: H \rightarrow B$ is a transfer homomorphism satisfying $\mathfrak{c}(H, \beta) \leq 2$. In particular, we have $\Delta(H) = \Delta(B)$ and $\mathfrak{c}(H) = \mathfrak{c}(B)$ (provided that H is not factorial).*
2. *If $H \subset D$ is cofinal, then $B \subset F$ is cofinal, and there is an isomorphism $\bar{\psi}: \mathfrak{q}(F)/\mathfrak{q}(B) \rightarrow G$, by which we will identify these groups.*
3. *If $T = D_1 \times \dots \times D_n$, D_1, \dots, D_n are seminormal finitely primary, and G is a torsion group, then H and B are seminormal v -noetherian weakly Krull monoids with nontrivial conductors.*

Proof. See [17, Proposition 3.4.8] and [18, Lemma 5.2]. □

Next we consider monoids of zero-sum sequences which are well-studied submonoids of T -block monoids. As before, let G be an additively written abelian group and $G_0 \subset G$ a subset. In combinatorial number theory the elements of $\mathcal{F}(G_0)$ are called *sequences* over G_0 and

$$\mathcal{B}(G_0) = \{S \in \mathcal{F}(G_0) \mid \sigma(S) = 0\} \subset \mathcal{F}(G_0)$$

is the *monoid of zero-sum sequences* over G_0 ([19, 23]). If T and B are as above, then $\mathcal{B}(G_0) \subset B$ is a divisor-closed submonoid whence $\mathfrak{Z}_B(A) = \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{L}_B(A) = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$. If $T = \{1\}$, then $\mathcal{B}(G_0) = B$. As usual, we set

$$\mathcal{A}(G_0) := \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}(G_0)), \quad \Delta(G_0) := \Delta(\mathcal{B}(G_0)), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{c}(G_0) := \mathfrak{c}(\mathcal{B}(G_0)).$$

The atoms of $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ are also called minimal zero-sum sequences over G_0 . If G_0 is finite, then the set $\mathcal{A}(G_0)$ is finite, and

$$D(G_0) = \max\{|U| \mid U \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)\}$$

is the *Davenport constant* of G_0 . Suppose that $G \cong C_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus C_{n_r}$, where $r, n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 < n_1 \mid \dots \mid n_r$, and set $D^*(G) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r (n_i - 1)$. Then $D^*(G) \leq D(G)$, and equality holds for p -groups, groups of rank at most two, and others ([17, Chapter 5]). It can be easily verified that $\Delta(G) = \emptyset$ if and only if $|G| \leq 2$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let G be a finite abelian group with $|G| \geq 3$. Then $\Delta(G)$ is a finite interval with $\min \Delta(G) = 1$.*

Proof. See [20, Theorem 1.1]. □

Since $\mathcal{B}(G) \subset B = \mathcal{B}(G, T, \iota)$ is divisor-closed, $\Delta(B)$ contains the interval $\Delta(G) = [1, \max \Delta(G)]$. We will provide examples showing that in general (under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1) we have $\max \Delta(G) < \max \Delta(B)$ (see Theorem 3.3 and Examples 3.4.4). The groups occurring in Statements 2 and 3 of Proposition 2.4 are the only groups at all for which the precise value of $\max \Delta(G)$ is known.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $G = C_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus C_{n_r}$, where $r, n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 < n_1 \mid \dots \mid n_r$, be a finite abelian group with $|G| \geq 3$.*

1.

$$\max \left\{ \exp(G) - 2, \sum_{i=1}^r \lfloor \frac{n_i}{2} \rfloor \right\} \leq \max \Delta(G) \leq \mathfrak{c}(G) - 2 \leq \mathfrak{D}(G) - 2.$$

2. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $\mathfrak{c}(G) = \mathfrak{D}(G)$.
- (b) $\max \Delta(G) = \mathfrak{D}(G) - 2$.
- (c) G is either cyclic or an elementary 2-group.

3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $\mathfrak{c}(G) = \mathfrak{D}(G) - 1$.
- (b) $\max \Delta(G) = \mathfrak{D}(G) - 3$.
- (c) G is isomorphic to $C_2^{r-1} \oplus C_4$ for some $r \geq 1$ or to $C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$ for some $n \geq 2$.

Proof. 1. See [17, Theorem 6.7.1].

2. The equivalence of (a) and (c) follows from [17, Theorem 6.4.7] (and this is easy to prove). Statement 1. shows that (b) is equivalent to (a) and (c).

3. The equivalence of (a) and (c) follows from [22, Theorem 1.1] (and this requires some effort). Again Statement 1. shows that (b) is equivalent to (a) and (c). \square

3. ARITHMETIC OF WEAKLY KRULL MONOIDS

We fix our notation for the present section. Let

$$B = \mathcal{B}(G, T, \iota) \subset F = \mathcal{F}(G) \times T,$$

where G is an additively written finite abelian group with $|G| > 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $T = D_1 \times \dots \times D_n$, D_1, \dots, D_n are reduced seminormal finitely primary monoids, and $\iota: T \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism. Clearly,

$$\mathcal{A}(F) = G \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}(D_i),$$

Since G is finite, $B \subset F$ is a cofinal saturated submonoid with class group $\mathfrak{q}(F)/\mathfrak{q}(B) \cong G$ ([17, Proposition 3.4.7]), and we identify the groups. For every $a \in \mathfrak{q}(F)$, we denote by $[a] = a\mathfrak{q}(B)$ the class containing a , and since $B \subset F$ is saturated, we have $a \in B$ if and only if $[a] = 0 \in G$. In particular, we have $a^{\exp(G)} \in B$ for every $a \in F$. For every $i \in [1, n]$, we set $D_i \subset \widehat{D}_i = \widehat{D}_i^\times \times [q_{i,1}, \dots, q_{i,s_i}]$ with $s_i \in \mathbb{N}$, and we have $\mathcal{A}(D_i) = \{\epsilon q_{i,1}^{k_1} \dots q_{i,s_i}^{k_{s_i}} \mid \epsilon \in \widehat{D}_i^\times, \min\{k_1, \dots, k_{s_i}\} = 1\}$ by Lemma 2.1. Every $A \in F$ has a unique product decomposition of the form

$$A = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$, and $a_i \in D_i$ for every $i \in [1, n]$. For the set of factorizations of A we observe that

$$\mathbf{Z}_F(A) = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_F(a_i).$$

We define a norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|\cdot\|: \mathcal{F}(G) \times T &\rightarrow (\mathbb{N}_0, +) \\ A = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n &\mapsto k + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \max \mathbf{L}_{D_i}(a_i) = k + 2 \max \mathbf{L}_F(a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\|S\| = |S|_{\mathcal{F}(G)}$ for all $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, and $\|A\| = 0$ if and only if $A = 1 \in F$. For each $i \in [1, n]$, let $\mathfrak{p}_i: \mathcal{F}(G) \times D_1 \times \dots \times D_n \rightarrow D_i$ denote the projection.

Lemma 3.1. *Let B be as above and $A \in B$ be an atom.*

1. *If q is atom of T such that $q \mid A$ (in F) and $g = [q] \in G$, then $gq^{-1}A$ is also an atom of B .*
2. *Let $i \in [1, n]$, $\epsilon \in \widehat{D}_i^\times$, and $q = \epsilon q_{i,1} \cdots q_{i,s_i}$ be an atom of T such that $g \mid A$ where $g = [q] \in G$. Then $gq^{-1}A$ is either an atom of B or a product of two atoms of B .*

Proof. 1. Obvious.

2. If $\mathfrak{p}_i(A) = 1$, then $gq^{-1}A$ is obviously an atom. Suppose that $\mathfrak{p}_i(A) = \epsilon_1 q_{i,1}^{k_1} \cdots q_{i,s_i}^{k_{s_i}}$ with $\epsilon_1 \in \widehat{D}_i^\times$ and $k_j \geq 1$ for all $j \in [1, s_i]$. Let $A' = gq^{-1}A = W_1 \cdots W_t$ with $W_i \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ for all $i \in [1, t]$. Assume to the contrary that $t \geq 3$. If $\mathfrak{p}_i(W_1) = 1$ or $\mathfrak{p}_i(W_2) = 1$, then $W_1 \mid A$ or $W_2 \mid A$, a contradiction. Otherwise $\mathfrak{p}_i(W_1) \neq 1$ and $\mathfrak{p}_i(W_2) \neq 1$ which implies that $\mathfrak{p}_i(W_3) = \epsilon_2 q_{i,1}^{r_1} \cdots q_{i,s_i}^{r_{s_i}}$ with $\epsilon_2 \in \widehat{D}_i^\times$ and $r_j \leq k_j - 1$ for all $j \in [1, s_i]$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}_i(W_3) \mid \mathfrak{p}_i(A)$ and $W_3 \mid A$, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let B be as above and $A \in B$ with $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) \geq \max \Delta(G) + 2$. Suppose that $|\{i \in [1, n] \mid s_i > 1\}| \leq 1$ or that G is an elementary 2-group. Then there exists an $A' \in B$ with $\|A'\| < \|A\|$ and $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A')) \geq \max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) - 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that

$$A = U_1 \cdots U_k = V_1 \cdots V_l,$$

where $U_1, \dots, U_k, V_1, \dots, V_l \in \mathcal{A}(B)$, $[k+1, l-1] \cap \mathbf{L}_B(A) = \emptyset$, and $l-k = \max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) \geq \max \Delta(G) + 2 \geq \exp(G)$ (for the last inequality we use Proposition 2.4.1). We distinguish several cases.

CASE 1: There exist $i \in [1, k]$ and $g_1, g_2 \in G$ such that $g_1 g_2 \mid U_i$, say $i = 1$.

Let $U'_1 = U_1(g_1 g_2)^{-1}(g_1 + g_2)$ and $A' = AU_1^{-1}U'_1$. Then $\|A'\| < \|A\|$, U'_1 is also an atom of B , and $k \in \mathbf{L}_B(A')$. After renumbering if necessary we may assume that $g_1 g_2 \mid V_1 V_2$. Let $V' = V_1 V_2 (g_1 g_2)^{-1}(g_1 + g_2)$. Then $A' = V' V_3 \cdots V_l$ and there exists $m_0 \geq l-1 > k$ such that $m_0 \in \mathbf{L}_B(A')$. Choose $m = \min(\mathbf{L}_B(A') \setminus [1, k])$. We only need to prove that $m \geq l-1$. Assume to the contrary that $k < m < l-1$. Then let $A' = W_1 \cdots W_m$ with $W_i \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ for all $i \in [1, m]$ and $g_1 + g_2 \mid W_1$. Let $W'_1 = W_1(g_1 + g_2)^{-1}g_1 g_2$. Then $A = W'_1 W_2 \cdots W_m$ and W'_1 is an atom or a product of two atoms. Hence m or $m+1 \in \mathbf{L}_B(A)$, a contradiction to $[k+1, l-1] \cap \mathbf{L}_B(A) = \emptyset$.

CASE 2: There exist $i \in [1, n]$, $\epsilon \in \widehat{D}_i^\times$, and $j \in [1, k]$ such that $q = \epsilon q_{i,1} \cdots q_{i,s_i}$ divides U_j , say $i = j = 1$.

Let $U'_1 = U_1 q^{-1}[q]$ and $A' = AU_1^{-1}U'_1$. Then $\|A'\| < \|A\|$, U'_1 is an atom of B by Lemma 3.1.1, and $k \in \mathbf{L}_B(A')$. After renumbering if necessary we may assume that $q \mid V_1 V_2$. Let $V' = V_1 V_2 q^{-1}[q]$. Then $A' = V' V_3 \cdots V_l$ and there exists $m_0 \geq l-1 > k$ such that $m_0 \in \mathbf{L}_B(A')$. Choose $m = \min(\mathbf{L}_B(A') \setminus [1, k])$. We only need to prove that $m \geq l-1$. Assume to the contrary that $k < m < l-1$. Then let $A' = W_1 \cdots W_m$ with $W_i \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ for all $i \in [1, m]$ and $[q] \mid W_1$. Let $W'_1 = W_1 [q]^{-1}q$. Then $A = W'_1 W_2 \cdots W_m$ and W'_1 is an atom or a product of two atoms by Lemma 3.1.2. Hence m or $m+1 \in \mathbf{L}_B(A)$, a contradiction to $[k+1, l-1] \cap \mathbf{L}_B(A) = \emptyset$.

CASE 3: There exists an $i \in [1, n]$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_i(A) \neq 1$ and $\max \mathbf{L}_F(\mathfrak{p}_i(A)) \leq 2$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mathfrak{p}_i(U_1) \neq 1$. Let $U'_1 = U_1 \mathfrak{p}_i(U_1)^{-1}[\mathfrak{p}_i(U_1)]$ and $A' = AU_1^{-1}U'_1$. Then $\|A'\| < \|A\|$, U'_1 is also an atom of B , and $k \in \mathbf{L}_B(A')$. Since $\max \mathbf{L}_F(\mathfrak{p}_i(A)) \leq 2$, we may assume, after renumbering if necessary, that $\mathfrak{p}_i(U_1) \mid \mathfrak{p}_i(A) \mid V_1 V_2$. Let $V' = V_1 V_2 \mathfrak{p}_i(U_1)^{-1}[\mathfrak{p}_i(U_1)]$. Then $A' = V' V_3 \cdots V_l$ and there exists $m_0 \geq l-1 > k$ such that $m_0 \in \mathbf{L}_B(A')$. We suppose that $A' = W_1 \cdots W_m$ with $[\mathfrak{p}_i(U_1)] \mid W_1$ and $m = \min(\mathbf{L}_B(A') \setminus [1, k])$, where $W_i \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ for each $i \in [1, m]$. Let $W'_1 = W_1 [\mathfrak{p}_i(U_1)]^{-1} \mathfrak{p}_i(U_1)$ and hence W'_1 is an atom or a product of two atoms by $\max \mathbf{L}_F(\mathfrak{p}_i(A)) \leq 2$. Since $A = W'_1 W_2 \cdots W_m$, it follows that $m \geq l-1$, whence $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A')) \geq m-k \geq l-1-k = \max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) - 1$.

We summarize what we know so far. If $A \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, then CASE 1 holds. After renumbering and replacing n by some $n' \in [1, n]$ if necessary we may suppose that $\mathfrak{p}_i(A) \neq 1$ for each $i \in [1, n]$. By CASE 3, we

may suppose that $\max \mathbf{L}_F(\mathbf{p}_i(A)) \geq 3$ for each $i \in [1, n]$. If there is some $i \in [1, n]$ with $s_i = 1$, then CASE 2 holds (see Lemma 2.1). Thus we may suppose that $s_i > 1$ for each $i \in [1, n]$. Then the inequality $|\{i \in [1, n] \mid s_i > 1\}| \leq 1$ made in the assumption of the proposition implies that $n = 1$. Again by CASE 2, we infer that $\mathbf{p}_i(U_j) \in \mathcal{A}(D_i) \cup \{1\}$ for each $i \in [1, n]$ and each $j \in [1, k]$. Now we continue with further case distinctions.

CASE 4: $k \geq 3$.

After renumbering if necessary we may suppose that $\mathbf{p}_1(U_1) \in \mathcal{A}(D_1)$ and $\mathbf{p}_1(U_2) \in \mathcal{A}(D_1)$. If $\min(\mathbf{L}_B(U_1U_2) \setminus \{2\}) \geq l - k + 2$, then $A' = U_1U_2$ satisfies $\|A'\| < \|A\|$ and $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A')) \geq \max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) - 1$. If $r = \min(\mathbf{L}_B(U_1U_2) \setminus \{2\}) \in [3, l - k + 1]$, say $U_1U_2 = W_1 \cdots W_r$ with atoms W_1, \dots, W_r , then $A = W_1 \cdots W_r U_3 \cdots U_k$ and $k + 1 \leq r + k - 2 \leq l - 1$, a contradiction to $[k + 1, l - 1] \cap \mathbf{L}_B(A) = \emptyset$.

Now suppose that $\mathbf{L}_B(U_1U_2) = \{2\}$. Since $\mathbf{p}_1(U_1) \neq 1$ and $\mathbf{p}_1(U_2) \neq 1$, we infer that $q = q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1} \mid \mathbf{p}_1(U_1U_2)$, and we set $U' = U_1U_2q^{-1}[q]$ and $A' = U'U_3 \cdots U_k$. Clearly, we have $\|A'\| < \|A\|$.

Suppose that $U' = W_1 \cdots W_t$ with $t \geq 3$ and atoms W_1, \dots, W_t . After renumbering if necessary we suppose that $[q] \mid W_1$, and then $W'_1 = W_1[q]^{-1}q$ is an atom or a product of two atoms by Lemma 3.1.2. Therefore $U_1U_2 = W'_1W_2 \cdots W_t$ and t or $t + 1 \in \mathbf{L}_B(U_1U_2)$, a contradiction. Hence U' is an atom or a product of two atoms which implies that k or $k - 1 \in \mathbf{L}_B(A')$.

After renumbering if necessary, we may assume that $q \mid V_1V_2$, and we set $V' = V_1V_2q^{-1}[q]$. Then $A' = V'V_3 \cdots V_l$ and there exists $m_0 \geq l - 1 > k$ such that $m_0 \in \mathbf{L}_B(A')$. We choose $m = \min(\mathbf{L}_B(A') \setminus [1, k])$ and need to prove that $m \geq l - 1$. Assume to the contrary that $k < m < l - 1$. Then $A' = W_1 \cdots W_m$ with $W_i \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ for all $i \in [1, m]$ and $[q] \mid W_1$. Let $W'_1 = W_1[q]^{-1}q$. Then $A = W'_1W_2 \cdots W_m$ and W'_1 is an atom or a product of two atoms by Lemma 3.1.2. Hence m or $m + 1 \in \mathbf{L}_B(A)$, a contradiction to $[k + 1, l - 1] \cap \mathbf{L}_B(A) = \emptyset$.

CASE 5: $k = 2$.

We suppose that none of the previous cases holds. Therefore, after a suitable renumbering if necessary, we have $A = U_1U_2$ with $U_1 = S_1a_1 \cdots a_n \in \mathcal{A}(B)$, $U_2 = S_2b_1 \cdots b_n \in \mathcal{A}(B)$, where $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ with $|S_1| \leq 1$, $|S_2| \leq 1$, and for each $i \in [1, n]$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= \epsilon_i q_{i,1}^{k_{i,1}} \cdots q_{i,s_i}^{k_{i,s_i}} \in D_i \text{ with } k_{i,1} = 1 \text{ and } k_{i,s_i} > 1, \\ b_i &= \epsilon'_i q_{i,1}^{t_{i,1}} \cdots q_{i,s_i}^{t_{i,s_i}} \in D_i \text{ with } t_{i,1} > 1 \text{ and } t_{i,s_i} = 1, \text{ where } \epsilon_i, \epsilon'_i \in \widehat{D}_i^\times. \end{aligned}$$

First, we suppose that there exists $i \in [1, n]$ such that $\max \mathbf{L}_F(a_i b_i) \geq \exp(G) + 2$, say $i = n$.

After renumbering if necessary there is a $\lambda \in [1, s_n]$ such that $k_{n,1} = \dots = k_{n,\lambda} = 1$ and $k_{n,\rho} > 1$ for all $\rho \in [\lambda + 1, s_n]$. Then $t_{n,\rho} \geq \exp(G) + 1$ for each $\rho \in [1, \lambda]$. It follows that $U_1U_2 = U'_1U'_2$ where

$$\begin{aligned} U'_1 &= U_1 a_n^{-1} \left(\epsilon_i q_{n,1}^{1+\exp(G)} \cdots q_{n,\lambda}^{1+\exp(G)} q_{n,\lambda+1}^{k_{n,\lambda+1}} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{k_{n,s_n}} \right) \in B \text{ and} \\ U'_2 &= U_2 b_n^{-1} \left(\epsilon'_i q_{n,1}^{t_{n,1}-\exp(G)} \cdots q_{n,\lambda}^{t_{n,\lambda}-\exp(G)} q_{n,\lambda+1}^{t_{n,\lambda+1}} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{t_{n,s_n}} \right) \in \mathcal{A}(B). \end{aligned}$$

If $U'_1 \in \mathcal{A}(B)$, then $q_{n,1} \cdots q_{n,s_n} \mid U'_1$ and hence the assumption of CASE 2 is satisfied. Otherwise, set $\ell = \min \mathbf{L}_B(U'_1)$ and hence $\ell \in [2, \exp(G) + 1]$. If $\ell \in [2, \exp(G)]$, then $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) = l - 2 \leq \ell + 1 - 2 < \exp(G)$, a contradiction. Thus $\ell = \exp(G) + 1$, $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) = \exp(G)$, and hence $k_{n,\rho} \geq \exp(G) + 1$ for all $\rho \in [\lambda + 1, s_n]$. Since

$$U_1 a_n^{-1} \left(\epsilon_i q_{n,1} \cdots q_{n,\lambda} q_{n,\lambda+1}^{k_{n,\lambda+1}-\exp(G)} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{k_{n,s_n}-\exp(G)} \right) \in \mathcal{A}(B),$$

we obtain that $\mathbf{L}_B \left(q_{n,1}^{\exp(G)} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{\exp(G)} \right) = \{\exp(G)\}$. We set

$$A' = q_{n,1}^{\exp(G)+1} \cdots q_{n,s_n-1}^{\exp(G)+1} q_{n,s_n}^{\exp(G)+2} \cdot (-[q_{n,s_n}])$$

and observe that $\|A'\| < \|A\|$. Since $\mathbf{L}_B(A') = \{2, \exp(G) + 1\}$, it follows that $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A')) = \exp(G) - 1 \geq \max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) - 1 = \exp(G) - 1$.

From now on we suppose that $\max \mathbf{L}_F(a_i b_i) \leq \exp(G) + 1$ for each $i \in [1, n]$, and distinguish two cases.

CASE 5.1: $n = 1$ (recall all the reductions made before CASE 4).

Then $U_1 = S_1 a_1$ and $U_2 = S_2 b_1$ with $|S_1| \leq 1$ and $|S_2| \leq 1$. Since $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) \geq \exp(G)$, we have that $\min(\mathbf{L}_B(A) \setminus \{2\}) \geq \exp(G) + 2$. By $\max \mathbf{L}_F(a_1 b_1) \leq \exp(G) + 1$, we obtain that $|S_1| = |S_2| = 1$, $S_1 S_2 \in \mathcal{A}(B)$, $a_1 a_2 \in B$, $\min(\mathbf{L}_B(A) \setminus \{2\}) = \exp(G) + 2$, $\max \mathbf{L}_F(a_1 b_1) = \exp(G) + 1$ and hence $\mathbf{L}_B(A) = \{2, \exp(G) + 2\}$. Since $q_{1,1}^{\exp(G)} \cdots q_{1,s_1}^{\exp(G)} \mid a_1 b_1$, we have that $a_1 b_1 (q_{1,1}^{\exp(G)} \cdots q_{1,s_1}^{\exp(G)})^{-1}$ is an atom and $\mathbf{L}_B(q_{1,1}^{\exp(G)} \cdots q_{1,s_1}^{\exp(G)}) = \{\exp(G)\}$.

We set

$$A' = q_{1,1}^{\exp(G)+1} \cdots q_{1,s_1-1}^{\exp(G)+1} q_{1,s_1}^{\exp(G)+2} \cdot (-[q_{1,s_1}])$$

and observe that $\|A'\| = 1 + 2(\exp(G) + 1) < 2 + 2(\exp(G) + 1) = \|A\|$. Since $\mathbf{L}_B(A') = \{2, \exp(G) + 1\}$, it follows that $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A')) = \exp(G) - 1 \geq \max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) - 1 = \exp(G) - 1$.

CASE 5.2: G is an elementary 2-group, say $G \cong C_2^r$.

We may assume that $n \geq 2$. Since $l - 2 = \max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) \geq \max \Delta(C_2^r) + 2 = r + 1$ (where the last equation follows from Proposition 2.4), we have that $l \geq r + 3 \geq 4$.

Since $3 \leq \max \mathbf{L}_F(\mathbf{p}_i(A)) = \max \mathbf{L}_F(a_i b_i) \leq \exp(G) + 1 = 3$ for each $i \in [1, n]$, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \epsilon_n q_{n,1} q_{n,2}^{k_{n,2}} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{k_{n,s_n}} \text{ with } k_{n,2} > 1 \text{ and,} \\ b_n &= \epsilon'_n q_{n,1}^2 q_{n,2}^{t_{n,3}} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{t_{n,s_n}} \text{ where } \epsilon_n, \epsilon'_n \in \widehat{D}_n^\times \text{ and } k_{n,j} + t_{n,j} \geq 3 \text{ for each } j \in [3, n]. \end{aligned}$$

CASE 5.2.1: $l = 4$.

Then $r = 1$ and $G \cong C_2$. If $[q_{n,1}] = e$, $[q_{n,k}] = 0$ for each $k \in [2, s_n]$, and $\{[\eta] \mid \eta \in \widehat{D}_n^\times\} = \{0\}$, then $U_2 = b_n$ and hence $n = 1$, a contradiction. Thus we may suppose that $[q_{n,1}] = [q_{n,k}]$ for some $k \in [2, s_n]$ or $[q_{n,1}] = [\eta_0]$ for some $\eta_0 \in \widehat{D}_n^\times$ because $[1_{\widehat{D}_n^\times}] = 0$. Let

$$b'_n = \epsilon'_n \eta q_{n,1} q_{n,2}^{t_{n,3}} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{t_{n,s_n}}, \text{ where } \eta = \begin{cases} q_{n,k} & \text{if } [q_{n,1}] = [q_{n,k}] \text{ for some } k \in [2, s_n], \\ \eta_0 & \text{otherwise } [q_{n,1}] = [\eta_0] \text{ for some } \eta_0 \in \widehat{D}_n^\times. \end{cases}$$

and hence $U'_2 = U_2 b_n^{-1} b'_n$ is an atom. We set $A' = U_1 U'_2$ and observe that $\|A'\| < \|A\|$. After renumbering if necessary we may assume that $q_{n,1} \cdots q_{n,s_n} \mid V_1 V_2$. Let

$$\mathbf{p}_n(V_1 V_2) = \epsilon_1 q_{n,1}^{x_1} q_{n,2}^{x_2} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{x_{s_n}} \text{ with } x_k > 1 \text{ for each } k \in [1, s_n]$$

and

$$d = \epsilon_1 \eta q_{n,1}^{x_1-1} q_{n,2}^{x_2} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{x_{s_n}}.$$

Then

$$A' = V_1 V_2 \mathbf{p}_n(V_1 V_2)^{-1} d V_3 V_4,$$

which implies that there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \geq 3$ and $k \in \mathbf{L}_B(A')$. Then $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A')) \geq 1 = l - 3 = \max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) - 1$.

CASE 5.2.2: $l \geq 5$.

Then $U'_1 = U_1 a_n^{-1} [a_n] \in \mathcal{A}(B)$, and after renumbering if necessary we may assume that $a_n \mid V_1 V_2 V_3$. We set

$$A' = U'_1 U_2 = V_1 V_2 V_3 a_n^{-1} [a_n] \cdot V_4 \cdots V_l,$$

and observe that $\|A'\| < \|A\|$ and there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \geq l - 2 \geq 3$ and $k \in \mathbf{L}_B(A')$. Suppose that $m = \min(\mathbf{L}_B(A') \setminus \{2\})$ and $A' = W_1 \cdots W_m$ with $[a_n] \mid W_1$, where W_i is an atom for each $i \in [1, m]$.

If $b_n \nmid W_1$, then $W_1' = W_1[a_n]^{-1}a_n$ is an atom and $U_1U_2 = W_1'W_2 \cdots W_m$. Therefore $m \geq l$ which implies that $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A')) \geq \max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A))$. Thus we assume that $b_n \mid W_1$. Let $W_1' = W_1[a_n]^{-1}a_n$ and hence $q = q_{n,1}^2 q_{n,2}^2 \cdots q_{n,s_n}^2 \mid a_n b_n \mid W_1'$. Therefore $W_1'q^{-1}$ is an atom and q is an atom or a product of two atoms. If q is an atom, then $m+1 \geq l$ and hence $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A')) \geq \max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) - 1$.

Suppose that q is a product of two atoms. Then there exists $\epsilon \in \widehat{D}_n^\times$ such that $\epsilon q_{n,1} q_{n,2} \cdots q_{n,s_n}$ is an atom. Let $b_n' = \epsilon_n' \epsilon q_{n,1} q_{n,2}^{t_{n,3}+1} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{t_{n,s_n}+1}$ and hence $U_2' = U_2 b_n^{-1} b_n'$ is an atom. Without loss of generality, we assume that $b_n \mid V_1 V_2 V_3$. Therefore

$$A'' = U_1 U_2' = V_1 V_2 V_3 b_n^{-1} b_n' V_4 \cdots V_l,$$

which implies that $\|A''\| < \|A\|$ and there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \geq l - 2 \geq 3$ and $k \in \mathbf{L}_B(A'')$.

Suppose that $m = \min(\mathbf{L}_B(A'') \setminus \{2\})$ and $A'' = X_1 \cdots X_m$ with X_i is an atom for each $i \in [1, m]$. If $\mathfrak{p}_n(A'') = \mathfrak{p}_n(X_i)$ for some $i \in [1, m]$, then $\epsilon q_{n,1} \cdots q_{n,s_n} \mid a_n b_n' \mid X_i$, a contradiction to that $\epsilon q_{n,1} \cdots q_{n,s_n} \in \mathcal{A}(B)$. Therefore we may assume that $\mathfrak{p}_n(A'') = \mathfrak{p}_n(X_1 X_2)$, $\mathfrak{p}_n(X_1) \neq 1$, and that $\mathfrak{p}_n(X_2) \neq 1$. Let

$$\mathfrak{p}_n(X_1) = \epsilon_1 q_{n,1} q_{n,2}^{r_2} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{r_{s_n}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{p}_n(X_2) = \epsilon_2 q_{n,1} q_{n,2}^{s_2} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{s_{s_n}}$$

with $r_i + s_i \geq 4$ for each $i \in [2, n]$. Let

$$c_n = \epsilon_1 q_{n,1} q_{n,2}^{r_2'} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{r_{s_n}'}, \quad \text{with } r_i' = \begin{cases} r_i & \text{if } r_i \leq 2, \\ r_i - 2 & \text{if } r_i \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad \text{for each } i \in [2, n],$$

$$d_n = \epsilon_2 \epsilon^{-1} q_{n,1}^2 q_{n,2}^{s_2'} \cdots q_{n,s_n}^{s_{s_n}'}, \quad \text{with } s_i' = \begin{cases} s_i - 1 & \text{if } r_i \leq 2, \\ s_i + 1 & \text{if } r_i \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad \text{for each } i \in [2, n].$$

Therefore $c_n d_n = a_n b_n$. Let $X_1' = X_1 \mathfrak{p}_n(X_1)^{-1} c_n$ and $X_2' = X_2 \mathfrak{p}_n(X_2)^{-1} d_n$. Then X_1' is an atom, X_2' is an atom or a product of two atoms, and $A = X_1' X_2' X_3 \cdots X_m$ which implies that $m \geq l - 1$ and hence $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A'')) \geq m - 2 \geq l - 3 = \max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A)) - 1$. \square

Recall that for an atomic but non-factorial monoid H we have $2 + \sup \Delta(H) \leq \mathfrak{c}(H)$. In general, this inequality can be strict (even for numerical monoids; see Examples 3.4.2). Suppose H is a Krull monoid with finite class group G and suppose that every class contains a minimal prime ideal. Then $\Delta(H) = \emptyset$ if and only if $|G| \leq 2$, and if G is nontrivial with $\mathbf{D}(G) = \mathbf{D}^*(G)$, then $2 + \max \Delta(H) = \mathfrak{c}(H)$ ([16, Corollary 4.1]).

Now let H be a weakly Krull monoid as in Theorem 1.1 but not Krull, whence H is seminormal v -noetherian with nontrivial conductor, all localizations $H_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are finitely primary, and every class of the v -class group contains a minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}(H)$ with $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{f}$. If G is trivial, then H_{red} is isomorphic to the monoid $\mathcal{I}_v^*(H)$ of v -invertible v -ideals and $2 + \max \Delta(H) = \mathfrak{c}(H) \in \{2, 3\}$ (this will be outlined in detail in the proof of Theorem 1.1). The next theorem provides a detailed analysis of the case where $|G| = 2$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let H be a seminormal v -noetherian weakly Krull monoid, \widehat{H} its complete integral closure, $\emptyset \neq \mathfrak{f} = (H : \widehat{H}) \subsetneq H$ its conductor, $\mathcal{P}^* = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}(H) \mid \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{f}\}$, and $\mathcal{P} = \mathfrak{X}(H) \setminus \mathcal{P}^*$. Suppose that $H_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is finitely primary of rank s_i for all $i \in [1, n]$, that the v -class group $G = \mathcal{C}_v(H)$ has two elements, and that each class contains some $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Then $\Delta(H)$ is an interval with $2 + \max \Delta(H) = \mathfrak{c}(H)$, and either $\Delta(H) = \emptyset$ or $\min \Delta(H) = 1$. Moreover, setting $G = \{0, e\}$,

$$D_\nu = (H_{\mathfrak{p}_\nu})_{\text{red}}, \quad \widehat{D}_\nu = \widehat{D}_\nu^\times \times [q_{\nu,1}, \dots, q_{\nu,s_\nu}], \quad \text{and} \quad G_\nu = \{[\epsilon] \mid \epsilon \in \widehat{D}_\nu^\times\} \quad \text{for all } \nu \in [1, n],$$

we have

$$\max \Delta(H) = \max(\{d_\nu + d_{\nu'} \mid \nu, \nu' \in [1, n] \text{ with } \nu \neq \nu'\} \cup \{d_\nu \mid \nu \in [1, n]\}) \quad \text{where}$$

$$d_\nu = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } G_\nu = \{0\} \text{ and } s_\nu = |\{i \in [1, s_\nu] \mid [q_{\nu,i}] = e\}| = 2, \\ 0, & \text{if } G_\nu = \{0\} \text{ and } s_\nu = 1; \text{ note that } [q_{\nu,1}] = e \text{ or } |\widehat{D}_\nu^\times| > 1, \text{ since } D_\nu \text{ is not factorial,} \\ -1, & \text{if } G_\nu = \{0\}, s_\nu \geq 2, \text{ and } |\{i \in [1, s_\nu] \mid [q_{\nu,i}] = e\}| = 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } G_\nu \neq \{0\}, \text{ or } (s_\nu \geq 2 \text{ and } |\{i \in [1, s_\nu] \mid [q_{\nu,i}] = e\}| = 1), \\ & \text{or } (s_\nu \geq 3 \text{ and } |\{i \in [1, s_\nu] \mid [q_{\nu,i}] = e\}| \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 and of Theorem 3.3. Let H be a v -noetherian weakly Krull monoid as in the formulation of Theorem 1.1 and of Theorem 3.3. We proceed in five steps. First, we show that it is sufficient to consider a special class of weakly Krull monoids. Second, we handle the special cases where the v -class group is either trivial or infinite, which settles the first statement of Theorem 1.1. In the third step we prove the second statement of Theorem 1.1, and in the fourth step we show that $\Delta(H) = \emptyset$ or $\min \Delta(H) = 1$. Finally we prove Theorem 3.3. We use all the notation introduced at the beginning of this section.

1. Reduction to a special case. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{aH \mid a \in H\}$ be the monoid of principal ideals, $\mathcal{I}_v^*(H)$ be the monoid of v -invertible v -ideals of H , $\delta_H: H_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_v^*(H)$ be the canonical monomorphism satisfying $\delta_H(H_{\text{red}}) = \mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{C}_v(H) = \mathfrak{q}(\mathcal{I}_v^*(H))/\mathfrak{q}(\mathcal{H})$ be the v -class group. We set $\mathfrak{f} = (H : \widehat{H})$, $\mathcal{P}^* = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}(H) \mid \mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{f}\}$, and $\mathcal{P} = \mathfrak{X}(H) \setminus \mathcal{P}^*$. By assumption, we have $\mathfrak{f} \neq \emptyset$. If $\mathfrak{f} = H$, then $H = \widehat{H}$ is Krull, and all statements of Theorem 1.1 hold by Proposition 2.3. Thus we suppose that $\mathfrak{f} \subsetneq H$ whence \mathcal{P}^* is finite and non-empty, say $\mathcal{P}^* = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By [18, Theorem 5.5], there exists an isomorphism

$$\chi: \mathcal{I}_v^*(H) \rightarrow D = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}) \times (H_{\mathfrak{p}_1})_{\text{red}} \times \dots \times (H_{\mathfrak{p}_n})_{\text{red}}$$

where $\chi|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and, for all $i \in [1, n]$, $D_i := (H_{\mathfrak{p}_i})_{\text{red}}$ is a reduced seminormal finitely primary monoid, say of rank s_i , which is not factorial. Hence $\chi \circ \delta_H: H_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} = \{aH \mid a \in H\} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}_v^*(H) \rightarrow D$ induces an isomorphism $H_{\text{red}} \rightarrow H^*$, where $H^* \subset D$ is a cofinal saturated submonoid, and there is a natural isomorphism $\bar{\chi}: \mathcal{C}_v(H) \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}(D)/\mathfrak{q}(H^*) = G$ mapping classes of primes onto classes of primes (use [18, Lemma 4.1]). Thus we may assume from now on that $H = H^* \subset D$ is a cofinal saturated submonoid with class group $G = \mathfrak{q}(D)/\mathfrak{q}(H)$.

By Proposition 2.2, it is sufficient to prove the assertion for the associated T -block monoid

$$B = \mathcal{B}(G, T, \iota) \subset F = \mathcal{F}(G) \times T,$$

where $T = D_1 \times \dots \times D_n$ and $\iota: T \rightarrow G$ is defined by $\iota(t) = [t]$ for all $t \in T$ (note that, again by Proposition 2.2, B is seminormal v -noetherian weakly Krull with non-trivial conductor and class group isomorphic to G). Since $\mathcal{B}(G) \subset B$ is a divisor-closed submonoid, it follows that $\Delta(G) \subset \Delta(B)$, and in case $|G| \geq 3$, Proposition 2.3 implies that $\Delta(G)$ is an interval with $\min \Delta(G) = 1$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.1.

Suppose that $|G| = 1$. Then $B = F$ and $c(B) = c(F) = \max\{c(D_1), \dots, c(D_n)\}$. Thus Lemma 2.1 implies that $c(B) \leq 3$ and hence $\Delta(B) \subset \{1\}$.

Suppose that G is infinite. Then $\Delta(G) = \mathbb{N}$ by [17, Theorem 7.4.1] and hence $\mathbb{N} \subset \Delta(G) \subset \Delta(B) \subset \mathbb{N}$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1.2.

Suppose that G is finite with $|G| > 1$, and that either $|\{i \in [1, n] \mid s_i > 1\}| \leq 1$ or that G is an elementary 2-group. Lemma 2.1 implies that $c(F) = \max\{c(D_1), \dots, c(D_n)\} \leq 3$. Since $B \subset F$ is cofinal saturated with finite class group, the finiteness of $c(F)$ implies that $c(B) < \infty$ by [17, Theorems 3.6.4 and 3.6.7]. Therefore $\Delta(B)$ is finite and it is sufficient to show that $[1, \max \Delta(B)] \subset \Delta(B)$. We set $m = \max \Delta(B)$, and we use the convention that $\max \Delta(G) = 0$ if $\Delta(G) = \emptyset$ (which is the case for $|G| = 2$).

We assert that for each $d \in [\max \Delta(G) + 1, m]$, there are $A_d, \dots, A_m \in B$ such that $\|A_d\| < \dots < \|A_m\|$, $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A_i)) = i$ for each $i \in [d, m]$, and that $\|A_i\|$ is minimal among all $\|A'_i\|$ with $A'_i \in B$ and $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A'_i)) = i$. This implies that $[d, m] \subset \Delta(B)$ for each $d \in [\max \Delta(G) + 1, m]$, and hence

$$\Delta(B) = \Delta(G) \cup [\max \Delta(G) + 1, \max \Delta(B)]$$

is an interval with $\min \Delta(B) = 1$.

We proceed by induction on d . Clearly, the assertion holds for $d = m$. Suppose it holds for some $d \in [\max \Delta(G) + 2, \max \Delta(B)]$. By Proposition 3.2 there is an $A_{d-1} \in B$ with $\|A_{d-1}\| < \|A_d\|$ and $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A_{d-1})) \geq \max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A_d)) - 1$. The minimality of $\|A_d\|, \dots, \|A_m\|$ implies that $\max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A_{d-1})) = \max \Delta(\mathbf{L}(A_d)) - 1 = d - 1$, and hence the assertion follows.

4. Suppose that $\Delta(B) \neq \emptyset$. We have to verify that $\min \Delta(B) = 1$. If G is trivial or infinite, then this follows from **2**. If G is finite with $|G| \geq 3$, then $1 \in \Delta(G) \subset \Delta(B)$ by Proposition 2.3. If $|G| = 2$, then G is an elementary 2-group and the assertion follows from **3**.

5. Proof of Theorem 3.3.

Suppose that $|G| = 2$, say $G = \{0, e\}$, and, as in the formulation of Theorem 3.3, we set $G_\nu = \{\{\epsilon\} \mid \epsilon \in \widehat{D}_\nu^\times\}$ for all $\nu \in [1, n]$. Note that $\max \mathbf{L}_F(A) \leq 2$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}(B)$. By definition of the catenary degree, there are $A \in B$ with $c(A) = c(B)$ and two factorizations

$$z_1 = U_1 \cdots U_k \in \mathbf{Z}_B(A) \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 = V_1 \cdots V_l \in \mathbf{Z}_B(A),$$

where $k \leq l$ and $U_1, \dots, U_k, V_1, \dots, V_l \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ such that there is no $(c(B) - 1)$ -chain between z_1 and z_2 . First we choose an element $A \in B$ such that $\|A\|$ is minimal with respect to this property, and then we choose factorizations $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_B(A)$ such that $|z_1| + |z_2| = k + l$ is maximal with the property that there is no $(c(B) - 1)$ -chain between z_1 and z_2 . Since $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is factorial and B is not factorial, it follows that $c(G) = 0 < c(B)$. Thus $A \notin \mathcal{B}(G)$, $|\mathbf{Z}_B(A)| > 1$, and hence there exists an $i \in [1, n]$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_i(A) \neq 1$, say $i = 1$.

We start with three assertions **A1**, **A2**, **A3**, and then distinguish five cases.

A1. $k = 2$.

Proof of A1. Assume to the contrary that $k \geq 3$. After renumbering if necessary, we may suppose $\mathfrak{p}_1(A) = \epsilon q_{1,1}^{k_1} \cdots q_{1,r}^{k_r} q_{1,r+1}^{k_{r+1}} \cdots q_{1,s_1}^{k_{s_1}}$, where $\epsilon \in \widehat{D}_1^\times$, $r \in [0, s_1]$, $[q_{1,1}] = \dots [q_{1,r}] = e$, and $[q_{1,r+1}] = \dots [q_{1,s_1}] = 0$.

Suppose that there exist an atom $W \in \mathcal{A}(B)$, $i_0 \in [1, k]$, and $j_0 \in [1, l]$ such that $W \mid \prod_{i \neq i_0} U_i$ and $W \mid \prod_{j \neq j_0} V_j$. Let $A = WU_{i_0}X_1 \cdots X_{m_1} = WV_{j_0}Y_1 \cdots Y_{m_2}$, where $X_1, \dots, X_{m_1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{m_2} \in \mathcal{A}(B)$. By the minimality of $\|A\|$, we obtain that there are $(c(B) - 1)$ -chains between $\prod_{i \neq i_0} U_i$ and $WX_1 \cdots X_{m_1}$, between $U_{i_0}X_1 \cdots X_{m_1}$ and $V_{j_0}Y_1 \cdots Y_{m_2}$, between $WY_1 \cdots Y_{m_2}$ and $\prod_{j \neq j_0} V_j$. Then there is an $(c(B) - 1)$ -chain between z_1 and z_2 , a contradiction.

Therefore we only need to find such a W to get a contradiction.

In fact, if $\mathfrak{p}_1(A)$ is an atom of D_1 , then $A' = A(\mathfrak{p}_1(A))^{-1}[\mathfrak{p}_1(A)]$ has the defining properties of A but $\|A'\| < \|A\|$, a contradiction to the minimality of $\|A\|$. Therefore $\max \mathbf{L}_F(\mathfrak{p}_1(A)) \geq 2$. If there exists an $\epsilon' \in \widehat{D}_1^\times$ such that $\epsilon' q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1} \in \mathcal{A}(B)$, then there exist distinct $i_1, i_2 \in [1, k]$ and distinct $j_1, j_2 \in [1, l]$ such that $\epsilon' q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1} \mid U_{i_1}U_{i_2}$ and $\epsilon' q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1} \mid V_{j_1}V_{j_2}$, and the atom $W = \epsilon' q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}$ has the required property.

Thus we may suppose that $G_1 = \{0\}$ and $[q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}] = e$ which implies that $r \geq 1$. We distinguish two cases.

CASE 1: $\max \mathbf{L}_F(\mathfrak{p}_1(A)) = 2$.

After renumbering if necessary we may suppose that $\mathfrak{p}_1(A) \mid U_1U_2$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1(A) \mid V_1V_2$. If there exists $i \in [1, r]$ such that $q_{1,i}q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1} \mid \mathfrak{p}_1(A)$, then we choose $W = q_{1,i}q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}$. Otherwise, it follows that $k_1 = \dots = k_r = 2$, hence $\mathfrak{p}_1(A) \in \mathcal{A}(B)$, and we choose $W = \mathfrak{p}_1(A)$.

CASE 2: $\max L_F(\mathfrak{p}_1(A)) \geq 3$.

Then $\mathfrak{p}_1(A) \neq \mathfrak{p}_1(U_i)$ for any $i \in [1, k]$ because $D(G) = 2$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\mathfrak{p}_1(U_1) \neq 1$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1(U_2) \neq 1$. We assert that $L(U_1U_2) = \{2\}$, and assume to the contrary that $U_1U_2 = W_1 \cdots W_x$ where $x \geq 3$ and $W_i \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ for each $i \in [1, x]$. Then there are $(c(B) - 1)$ -chains between $U_1 \cdots U_{k-1}$ and $W_1 \cdots W_x U_3 \cdots U_{k-1}$ by the minimality of $\|A\|$ and there is a $(c(B) - 1)$ -chains between $W_1 \cdots W_x U_3 \cdots U_k$ and z_2 by the maximality of $k + l$. It follows that there is a $(c(B) - 1)$ -chain between z_1 and z_2 , a contradiction. Thus $L(U_1U_2) = \{2\}$.

If $U_1 \neq \mathfrak{p}_1(U_1)$ and $U_2 \neq \mathfrak{p}_1(U_2)$, then $\mathfrak{p}_1(U_1)\mathfrak{p}_1(U_2) \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ by $L(U_1U_2) = \{2\}$. Let $U_1U_2 = W_1W_2$ with $W_1 = \mathfrak{p}_1(U_1)\mathfrak{p}_1(U_2)$. Then there is no $(c(B) - 1)$ -chain between $W_1W_2U_3 \cdots U_k$ and $V_1 \cdots V_l$. Thus we always may suppose that $U_1 = \mathfrak{p}_1(U_1)$ and hence there exists $i \in [1, r]$, say $i = 1$, such that $v_{q_{1,1}}(U_1) \geq 2$ by $G_1 = \{0\}$ and $[q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}] = e$. Therefore $W' = q_{1,1}^2 q_{1,2} \cdots q_{1,s_1} | U_1 \mathfrak{p}_1(U_2) | U_1U_2$.

With the same reason and without loss of generality, we always may suppose that $V_1 = \mathfrak{p}_1(V_1)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1(V_2) \neq 1$. Then there exists $j \in [1, r]$ such that $W'' = q_{1,j} q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1} | V_1 \mathfrak{p}_1(V_2) | V_1V_2$. If $j = 1$, then $W' = W'' \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ and we are done by choosing $W = W'$. Thus we assume that $j \neq 1$. After renumbering if necessary we may suppose that $\mathfrak{p}_1(U_i) \neq 1$ for each $i \in [1, k_0]$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1(U_i) = 1$ for each $i \in [k_0 + 1, k]$ where $k_0 \in [2, k]$. After renumbering if necessary we may suppose that $\mathfrak{p}_1(V_i) \neq 1$ for each $i \in [1, l_0]$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1(V_i) = 1$ for each $i \in [l_0 + 1, l]$ where $l_0 \in [2, l]$. If there exist distinct $j_1, j_2 \in [1, l_0]$ such that $W' | V_{j_1}V_{j_2}$, then we are done by choosing $W = W'$. Otherwise $l_0 \geq k_0$. Then there must exist distinct $i_1, i_2 \in [1, k_0]$ such that $W'' | U_{i_1}U_{i_2}$ and we are done by choosing $W = W''$. \square (Proof of **A1**)

If $L(A) = \{2\}$, then $\max \Delta(B) + 2 \leq c(B) = c(A) = 2$ whence $\Delta(B) = \emptyset$ and $\max \Delta(B) = 0 = c(B) - 2$. Suppose that $L(A) \neq \{2\}$, say

$$(3.1) \quad A = U_1U_2 = W_1 \cdots W_m$$

where $m = \min(L(A) \setminus \{2\})$ and $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{A}(B)$. Since $m + l > 2 + l$, we obtain that there is an $(c(B) - 1)$ -chain between z_2 and $W_1 \cdots W_m$. Therefore there is no $(c(B) - 1)$ -chain between U_1U_2 and $W_1 \cdots W_m$. It follows that $\max \Delta(B) + 2 \geq m \geq c(B) \geq \max \Delta(B) + 2$ and hence $\max \Delta(B) = m - 2 = c(B) - 2$. Since G is an elementary 2-group, Theorem 1.1.2 implies that $\Delta(B)$ is an interval.

We set $m = 2$ in case $L(A) = \{2\}$, and then it remains to prove that

$$(3.2) \quad m - 2 = \max \left(\{d_\nu + d_{\nu'} \mid \nu, \nu' \in [1, n] \text{ with } \nu \neq \nu'\} \cup \{d_\nu \mid \nu \in [1, n]\} \right).$$

For every $j \in [1, n]$ we may suppose, after renumbering if necessary, that $[q_{j,i}] = e$ for each $i \in [1, s'_j]$ and $[q_{j,i}] = 0$ for each $i \in [s'_j + 1, s_j]$ where $s'_j \in [0, s_j]$.

A2. Let $j \in [1, n]$.

1. If $s_j = 1$, $a, b \in D_j \cap \mathcal{A}(B)$, then there exists $\epsilon \in \widehat{D}_j^\times$ such that $b = \epsilon a$.
2. If $s_j \geq 2$ and $a \in D_j \cap B$, then

$$\min L(a) \leq \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } s_j = s'_j = 2 \text{ and } G_j = \{0\} \text{ i.e. } d_j = 2, \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof of A2. We prove the assertion for $j = 1$ and set $s' = s'_1$.

1. If $[q_{1,1}] = 0$, then $a = \epsilon_1 q_{1,1}$, $b = \epsilon_2 q_{1,1}$, where $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \widehat{D}_1^\times$ and hence the assertion follows. Thus we assume that $[q_{1,1}] = e$. If $G_1 = \{0\}$, then $a = \epsilon_1 q_{1,1}^2$, $b = \epsilon_2 q_{1,1}^2$, where $[\epsilon_1] = [\epsilon_2] = 0$ and hence the assertion follows. If $G_1 \neq \{0\}$, then $a = \epsilon_1 q_{1,1}$, $b = \epsilon_2 q_{1,1}$, where $[\epsilon_1] = [\epsilon_2] = e$ and hence the assertion follows.

2. Suppose $s' = 2$ and $G_1 = \{0\}$. If $s_1 = 2$, we assume to the contrary that $\min L(a) \geq 4$ and let $a = \epsilon q_{1,1}^{k_1} q_{1,2}^{k_2}$ with $k_i \geq 4$ for each $i \in [1, 2]$. Then $k_1 + k_2$ is even. If k_1 is even, then $\epsilon q_{1,1} q_{1,2}^{k_2-1}$ and $q_{1,1}^{k_1-1} q_{1,2}$ are two atoms of B . Thus $\min L(a) \leq 2$, a contradiction. If k_1 is odd, then $\epsilon q_{1,1} q_{1,2}$, $q_{1,1} q_{1,2}^{k_2-2}$ and $q_{1,1}^{k_1-2} q_{1,2}$ are three atoms of B . Thus $\min L(a) \leq 3$, a contradiction. If $s_1 \geq 3$, we let $a = \epsilon q_{1,1}^{k_1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}^{k_{s_1}}$ with

$k_i \geq 2$ for each $i \in [1, s_1]$. Then $k_1 + k_2$ is even. Since $\epsilon q_{1,1} q_{1,2} q_{1,3}^{k_3-1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}^{k_{s_1}-1}$ and $q_{1,1}^{k_1-1} q_{1,2}^{k_2-1} q_{1,3} \cdots q_{1,s_1}$ are two atoms of B . Thus $\min \mathbf{L}(a) \leq 2$.

For the other cases, we have that $G_1 \neq \{0\}$, or $s' \geq 3$, or $s' \leq 1$.

If $G_1 \neq \{0\}$, then let $a = \epsilon q_{1,1}^{k_1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}^{k_{s_1}}$ with $k_i \geq 2$ for each $i \in [1, s_1]$. There exists $\epsilon' \in \widehat{D}_1^\times$ such that $\epsilon' q_{1,1} q_{1,2}^{k_2-1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}^{k_{s_1}-1}$ and $\epsilon' q_{1,1}^{k_1-1} q_{1,2} \cdots q_{1,s_1}$ are two atoms of B and hence $\min \mathbf{L}(a) \leq 2$. Then we always assume that $G_1 = \{0\}$.

If $s' \geq 3$, we assume to the contrary that $\min \mathbf{L}(ab) \geq 3$ and let $a = \epsilon q_{1,1}^{k_1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}^{k_{s_1}}$ with $k_i \geq 3$ for each $i \in [1, s_1]$. We choose $\delta \in [1, 2]$ such that $q_{1,1} q_{1,2}^{k_2-1} q_{1,3}^\delta q_{1,4}^{k_4-1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}^{k_{s_1}-1}$ is an atom of B and hence $\epsilon q_{1,1}^{k_1-1} q_{1,2} q_{1,3}^{k_3-\delta} q_{1,4} \cdots q_{1,s_1}$ is also an atom of B . Therefore $\min \mathbf{L}(a) \leq 2$, a contradiction.

Now we assume that $s' \leq 1$ (note $s_1 \geq 2$) and $a = \epsilon q_{1,1}^{k_1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}^{k_{s_1}}$ with $k_i \geq 2$ for each $i \in [1, s_1]$. Suppose that $s' = 1$. Then k_1 is even. If $k_1 = 2$, then a is an atom of B . Otherwise $k_1 \geq 4$. It follows that $q_{1,1}^2 q_{1,2}^{k_2-1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}^{k_{s_1}-1}$ and $\epsilon q_{1,1}^{k_1-2} q_{1,2} q_{1,3} \cdots q_{1,s_1}$ are two atoms of B . Hence $\min \mathbf{L}(a) \leq 2$. Suppose that $s' = 0$. Then $\epsilon q_{1,1} q_{1,2}^{k_2-1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}^{k_{s_1}-1}$ and $q_{1,1}^{k_1-1} q_{1,2} q_{1,3} \cdots q_{1,s_1}$ are two atoms of B . Thus $\min \mathbf{L}(a) \leq 2$. \square (Proof of **A2**)

A3. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(B)$.

1. Suppose that there exists $i \in [1, n]$ such that $a \in D_i$ and $\mathbf{p}_i(b) \neq 1$. If $s_i = 1$, then $\mathbf{L}(ab) = \{2\}$. If $\mathbf{L}(ab) \neq \{2\}$, then

$$\min (\mathbf{L}(ab) \setminus \{2\}) \leq \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } d_i = 2, \\ 3, & \text{if } d_i \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

2. Suppose that $a = a_1 a_2$ and $b = b_1 b_2$ with a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 are atoms of F , that there exists $i \in [1, n]$ such that $\mathbf{p}_i(a) = a_1$, $\mathbf{p}_i(b) = b_1$, and that $a_2 = e$ or ($a_2 \in D_j$ and $b_2 \notin D_j$ where $j \in [1, n] \setminus \{i\}$). Then $d_i \neq -1$. If $d_i = 0$, then $\mathbf{L}(ab) = \{2\}$. If $\mathbf{L}(ab) \neq \{2\}$, then

$$\min (\mathbf{L}(ab) \setminus \{2\}) \leq \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } d_i = 2, \\ 3, & \text{if } d_i = 1. \end{cases}$$

3. Suppose that $a = a_1 a_2$ and $b = b_1 b_2$ with a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 are atoms of F and there exist distinct $i, j \in [1, n]$ such that $\mathbf{p}_i(a) = a_1$, $\mathbf{p}_j(a) = a_2$, $\mathbf{p}_i(b) = b_1$, and $\mathbf{p}_j(b) = b_2$. Then $d_i \neq -1$ and $d_j \neq -1$. If $d_i + d_j = 0$, then $\mathbf{L}(ab) = \{2\}$. If $\mathbf{L}(ab) \neq \{2\}$, then

$$\min (\mathbf{L}(ab) \setminus \{2\}) \leq \begin{cases} 6, & \text{if } d_i + d_j = 4, \\ 5, & \text{if } d_i + d_j = 3, \\ 4, & \text{if } d_i + d_j = 2, \\ 3, & \text{if } d_i + d_j = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof of A3. 1. If $s_i = 1$, we assume to the contrary that $ab = x_1 \cdots x_\mu$ with $\mu \geq 3$ and $x_k \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ for each $k \in [1, \mu]$. Then there exists $k \in [1, \mu]$, say $k = 1$, such that $x_1 \in D_i$ and hence $x_1 = \epsilon a$ where $[\epsilon] = 0$ by **A2.1**. Therefore $\epsilon^{-1} b = x_2 \cdots x_\mu$ is also an atom of B , a contradiction.

Suppose that $\mathbf{L}(ab) \neq \{2\}$ and $ab = x_1 \cdots x_\mu$ with $\mu \geq 3$ and $x_k \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ for each $k \in [1, \mu]$. Let $b = b_1 b_2$ with $b_1 = \mathbf{p}_i(b)$. Then there must exist $k \in [1, \mu]$, say $k = 1$, such that $b_2 | x_1$. Therefore $abx_1^{-1} \in D_i$ and $abx_1^{-1} \notin \mathcal{A}(B)$. Then by **A2**

$$\min (\mathbf{L}(ab) \setminus \{2\}) \leq 1 + \min \mathbf{L}(abx_1^{-1}) \leq \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } d_i = 2, \\ 3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. By definition of d_i and the existence of a , we have that $d_i \neq -1$. If $d_i = 0$, then $\mathbf{L}(ab) = \{2\}$ is obvious by definition. Suppose $\mathbf{L}(ab) \neq \{2\}$ and $ab = a_1 b_1 \cdot a_2 b_2 = x_1 \cdots x_\mu$ with $\mu \geq 3$ and $x_k \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ for each $k \in [1, \mu]$. Then there exist distinct $k, j \in [1, \mu]$, say $k = 2, j = 3$, such that $a_2 | x_j$

and $b_2 \mid x_3$ which implies that $x_1 \mid a_1 b_1$. By our assumption, $a_2 b_2 \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ and hence $a_1 b_1 \neq x_1$. Therefore $\min(\mathbf{L}(ab) \setminus \{2\}) \leq \min \mathbf{L}(a_1 b_1) + \min \mathbf{L}(a_2 b_2) \leq d_i + 2$ by **A2**.

3. By definition of d_i and the existence of a , we have that $d_i \neq -1$ and $d_j \neq -1$. If $d_i + d_j = 0$, then $d_i = d_j = 0$ and hence $\mathbf{L}(ab) = \{2\}$. Suppose that $\mathbf{L}(ab) \neq \{2\}$ and $ab = x_1 \cdots x_\mu$ with $\mu \geq 3$ and $x_k \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ for each $k \in [1, \mu]$. If $a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2 \in \mathcal{A}(B)$, then $x_k \nmid a_1 b_1$ and $x_k \nmid a_2 b_2$ for each $k \in [1, \mu]$. Thus $a_1 b_1 = \mathbf{p}_i(x_1) \cdots \mathbf{p}_i(x_\mu)$ with $\mathbf{p}_i(x_\nu) \neq 1$ for each $\nu \in [1, \mu]$, a contradiction to $\mathbf{D}(G) = 2$. Therefore $a_1 b_1 \notin \mathcal{A}(B)$ or $a_2 b_2 \notin \mathcal{A}(B)$. It follows that $\min(\mathbf{L}(ab) \setminus \{2\}) \leq \min \mathbf{L}(a_1 b_1) + \min \mathbf{L}(a_2 b_2) \leq d_i + d_j + 2$ by **A2**. \square (Proof of **A3**)

Note, if $(\mathbf{p}_i(U_1) = 1$ or $\mathbf{p}_i(U_2) = 1)$ for every $i \in [1, n]$, then $\mathbf{L}(A) = \{2\}$. Therefore $\mathbf{L}(A) \neq \{2\}$ implies that there exists $i_0 \in [1, n]$ such that $\mathbf{p}_{i_0}(U_1) \neq 1$ and $\mathbf{p}_{i_0}(U_2) \neq 1$.

Now we distinguish five cases depending on the size of the right hand side of Equation 3.2.

CASE 1 : $\max(\{d_\nu + d_{\nu'} \mid \nu, \nu' \in [1, n] \text{ with } \nu \neq \nu'\} \cup \{d_\nu \mid \nu \in [1, n]\}) = 4$.

Then there exist distinct $\nu, \nu' \in [1, n]$ such that $d_\nu = d_{\nu'} = 2$, say $d_1 = d_2 = 2$. We define $U'_1 = q_{1,1} q_{1,2}^2 q_{2,1} q_{2,2}^2$ and $U'_2 = q_{1,1}^2 q_{1,2} q_{2,1}^2 q_{2,2}$. Then $U'_1, U'_2 \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ and $\mathbf{L}(U'_1 U'_2) = \{2, 6\}$ which implies that $4 \in \Delta(B)$. By **A3**, we know that $\min \Delta(\mathbf{L}(U_1 U_2) \setminus \{2\}) \leq 6$. Thus $\max \Delta(B) \leq 4$ and hence $\max \Delta(B) = 4$.

CASE 2 : $\max(\{d_\nu + d_{\nu'} \mid \nu, \nu' \in [1, n] \text{ with } \nu \neq \nu'\} \cup \{d_\nu \mid \nu \in [1, n]\}) = 3$.

Then there exist distinct $\nu, \nu' \in [1, n]$ such that $d_\nu = 2$, $d_{\nu'} = 1$, and $d_\lambda \leq 1$ for each $\lambda \in [1, n] \setminus \{\nu, \nu'\}$, say $d_1 = 2$ and $d_2 = 1$. Since $d_2 = 1$, we set

$$a_1 = \begin{cases} \epsilon q_{2,1} \cdots q_{2,s_2} & \text{with } [\epsilon] + [q_{2,1} \cdots q_{2,s_2}] = e, \text{ if } G_2 \neq \{0\} \\ q_{2,1} q_{2,2} \cdots q_{2,s_2}, & \text{if } G_2 = \{0\}, s_2 \geq 2, \text{ and } [q_{2,1}] = e, [q_{2,j}] = 0 \text{ for each } j \in [2, s_2] \\ q_{2,1} q_{2,2}^\delta q_{2,3} \cdots q_{2,s_2}, & \text{with } \delta \in [1, 2] \text{ such that } [q_{2,2}^\delta] = [q_{2,3} \cdots q_{2,s_2}], \\ & \text{if } G_2 = \{0\}, s_2 \geq 3, \text{ and } [q_{2,1}] = [q_{2,2}] = e \end{cases}$$

$$a_2 = \begin{cases} a_1, & \text{if } G_2 \neq \{0\} \\ q_{2,1}^3 q_{2,2} \cdots q_{2,s_2}, & \text{if } G_2 = \{0\}, s_2 \geq 2, \text{ and } [q_{2,1}] = e, [q_{2,j}] = 0 \text{ for each } j \in [2, s_2] \\ q_{2,1}^3 q_{2,2}^\delta q_{2,3} \cdots q_{2,s_2}, & \text{with } \delta \in [1, 2] \text{ such that } [q_{2,2}^\delta] = [q_{2,3} \cdots q_{2,s_2}], \\ & \text{if } G_2 = \{0\}, s_2 \geq 3, \text{ and } [q_{2,1}] = [q_{2,2}] = e \end{cases}$$

and define $U'_1 = a_1 \cdot q_{1,1} q_{1,2}^2$ and $U'_2 = a_2 \cdot q_{1,1}^2 q_{1,2}$. Then $U'_1, U'_2 \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ and $\mathbf{L}(U'_1 U'_2) = \{2, 5\}$ which implies that $3 \in \Delta(B)$. By **A3**, we know that $\min \Delta(\mathbf{L}(U_1 U_2) \setminus \{2\}) \leq 5$. Thus $\max \Delta(B) \leq 3$ and hence $\max \Delta(B) = 3$.

CASE 3 : $\max(\{d_\nu + d_{\nu'} \mid \nu, \nu' \in [1, n] \text{ with } \nu \neq \nu'\} \cup \{d_\nu \mid \nu \in [1, n]\}) = 2$.

Then there exist distinct $\nu, \nu' \in [1, n]$ such that $d_\nu = d_{\nu'} = 1$, and $d_\lambda \leq 1$ for each $\lambda \in [1, n] \setminus \{\nu, \nu'\}$, or there exists $\nu \in [1, n]$ such that $d_\nu = 2$ and $d_\lambda \leq 0$ for each $\lambda \in [1, n] \setminus \{\nu\}$.

We start with the first case and, after renumbering if necessary, we suppose that $d_1 = d_2 = 1$. We set

$$a_1 = \begin{cases} \epsilon q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1} & \text{with } [\epsilon] + [q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}] = e, \text{ if } G_1 \neq \{0\} \\ q_{1,1} q_{1,2} \cdots q_{1,s_1}, & \text{if } G_1 = \{0\}, s_1 \geq 1, \text{ and } [q_{1,1}] = e, [q_{1,j}] = 0 \text{ for each } j \in [1, s_1] \\ q_{1,1} q_{1,2}^\delta q_{1,3} \cdots q_{1,s_1}, & \text{with } \delta \in [1, 2] \text{ such that } [q_{1,2}^\delta] = [q_{1,3} \cdots q_{1,s_1}], \\ & \text{if } G_1 = \{0\}, s_1 \geq 3, \text{ and } [q_{1,1}] = [q_{1,2}] = e \end{cases}$$

$$a_2 = \begin{cases} a_1, & \text{if } G_1 \neq \{0\} \\ q_{1,1}^3 q_{1,2} \cdots q_{1,s_1}, & \text{if } G_1 = \{0\}, s_1 \geq 1, \text{ and } [q_{1,1}] = e, [q_{1,j}] = 0 \text{ for each } j \in [1, s_1] \\ q_{1,1}^3 q_{1,2}^\delta q_{1,3} \cdots q_{1,s_1}, & \text{with } \delta \in [1, 1] \text{ such that } [q_{1,2}^\delta] = [q_{1,3} \cdots q_{1,s_1}], \\ & \text{if } G_1 = \{0\}, s_1 \geq 3, \text{ and } [q_{1,1}] = [q_{1,2}] = e \end{cases}$$

$$b_1 = \begin{cases} \epsilon q_{2,1} \cdots q_{2,s_2} & \text{with } [\epsilon] + [q_{2,1} \cdots q_{2,s_2}] = e, \text{ if } G_2 \neq \{0\} \\ q_{2,1} q_{2,2} \cdots q_{2,s_2}, & \text{if } G_2 = \{0\}, s_2 \geq 2, \text{ and } [q_{2,1}] = e, [q_{2,j}] = 0 \text{ for each } j \in [2, s_2] \\ q_{2,1} q_{2,2}^\delta q_{2,3} \cdots q_{2,s_2}, & \text{with } \delta \in [1, 2] \text{ such that } [q_{2,2}^\delta] = [q_{2,3} \cdots q_{2,s_2}], \\ & \text{if } G_2 = \{0\}, s_2 \geq 3, \text{ and } [q_{2,1}] = [q_{2,2}] = e \end{cases}$$

$$b_2 = \begin{cases} a_1, & \text{if } G_2 \neq \{0\} \\ q_{2,1}^3 q_{2,2} \cdots q_{2,s_2}, & \text{if } G_2 = \{0\}, s_2 \geq 2, \text{ and } [q_{2,1}] = e, [q_{2,j}] = 0 \text{ for each } j \in [2, s_2] \\ q_{2,1}^3 q_{2,2}^\delta q_{2,3} \cdots q_{2,s_2}, & \text{with } \delta \in [1, 2] \text{ such that } [q_{2,2}^\delta] = [q_{2,3} \cdots q_{2,s_2}], \\ & \text{if } G_2 = \{0\}, s_2 \geq 3, \text{ and } [q_{2,1}] = [q_{2,2}] = e \end{cases}$$

and define $U'_1 = a_1 b_1$ and $U'_2 = a_2 b_2$. Then $U'_1, U'_2 \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ and $\mathsf{L}(U'_1 U'_2) = \{2, 4\}$ which implies that $2 \in \Delta(B)$.

Now we consider the second case and suppose that there exists $\nu \in [1, n]$ such that $d_\nu = 2$ and $d_\lambda \leq 0$ for each $\lambda \in [1, n] \setminus \{\nu\}$, say $\nu = 1$. We define $U'_1 = e \cdot q_{1,1} q_{1,2}^2$ and $U'_2 = e \cdot q_{1,1}^2 q_{1,2}$. Then $U'_1, U'_2 \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ and $\mathsf{L}(U'_1 U'_2) = \{2, 4\}$ which implies that $2 \in \Delta(B)$.

Therefore in both cases, we have that $2 \in \Delta(B)$. By **A3**, we know that $\min \Delta(\mathsf{L}(U_1 U_2) \setminus \{2\}) \leq 4$. Thus $\max \Delta(B) \leq 2$ and hence $\max \Delta(B) = 2$.

CASE 4 : $\max(\{d_\nu + d_{\nu'} \mid \nu, \nu' \in [1, n] \text{ with } \nu \neq \nu'\} \cup \{d_\nu \mid \nu \in [1, n]\}) = 1$.

Then there exists $\nu \in [1, n]$ such that $d_\nu = 1$ and $d_\lambda \leq 0$ for each $\lambda \in [1, n] \setminus \{\nu\}$, or there exists $\nu \in [1, n]$ such that $d_\nu = -1$ and $d_\lambda = 0$ for each $\lambda \in [1, n] \setminus \{\nu\}$.

We start with the first case and, after renumbering if necessary, we suppose that $d_1 = 1$. We set

$$a_1 = \begin{cases} \epsilon q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1} & \text{with } [\epsilon] + [q_{1,1} \cdots q_{1,s_1}] = e, \text{ if } G_1 \neq \{0\} \\ q_{1,1} q_{1,2} \cdots q_{1,s_1}, & \text{if } G_1 = \{0\}, s_1 \geq 1, \text{ and } [q_{1,1}] = e, [q_{1,j}] = 0 \text{ for each } j \in [1, s_1] \\ q_{1,1} q_{1,2}^\delta q_{1,3} \cdots q_{1,s_1}, & \text{with } \delta \in [1, 2] \text{ such that } [q_{1,2}^\delta] = [q_{1,3} \cdots q_{1,s_1}], \\ & \text{if } G_1 = \{0\}, s_1 \geq 3, \text{ and } [q_{1,1}] = [q_{1,2}] = e \end{cases}$$

$$a_2 = \begin{cases} a_1, & \text{if } G_1 \neq \{0\} \\ q_{1,1}^3 q_{1,2} \cdots q_{1,s_1}, & \text{if } G_1 = \{0\}, s_1 \geq 1, \text{ and } [q_{1,1}] = e, [q_{1,j}] = 0 \text{ for each } j \in [1, s_1] \\ q_{1,1}^3 q_{1,2}^\delta q_{1,3} \cdots q_{1,s_1}, & \text{with } \delta \in [1, 1] \text{ such that } [q_{1,2}^\delta] = [q_{1,3} \cdots q_{1,s_1}], \\ & \text{if } G_1 = \{0\}, s_1 \geq 3, \text{ and } [q_{1,1}] = [q_{1,2}] = e \end{cases}$$

and define $U'_1 = e \cdot a_1$ and $U'_2 = e \cdot a_2$. Then $U'_1, U'_2 \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ and $\mathsf{L}(U'_1 U'_2) = \{2, 3\}$ which implies that $1 \in \Delta(B)$.

Now we consider the second case and suppose there exists $\nu \in [1, n]$ such that $d_\nu = -1$ and $d_\lambda = 0$ for each $\lambda \in [1, n] \setminus \{\nu\}$, say $\nu = 1$. We define $U'_1 = q_{1,1}^2 q_{1,2} \cdots q_{1,s_1}$ and $U'_2 = q_{1,1} q_{1,2}^2 \cdots q_{1,s_1}^2$. Then $U'_1, U'_2 \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ and $\mathsf{L}(U'_1 U'_2) = \{2, 3\}$ which implies that $1 \in \Delta(B)$.

Therefore in both cases, we have that $1 \in \Delta(B)$. By **A3**, we know that $\min \Delta(\mathsf{L}(U_1 U_2) \setminus \{2\}) \leq 3$. Thus $\max \Delta(B) \leq 1$ and hence $\max \Delta(B) = 1$.

CASE 5 : $\max (\{d_\nu + d_{\nu'} \mid \nu, \nu' \in [1, n] \text{ with } \nu \neq \nu'\} \cup \{d_\nu \mid \nu \in [1, n]\}) = 0$.

Then $d_\nu = 0$ for each $\nu \in [1, n]$. We have $U_1 = \mathfrak{p}_{i_0}(U_1) = \epsilon p_{i_0,1}^\delta = \epsilon' U_2 = \epsilon' \mathfrak{p}_{i_0}(U_2)$, where $\delta \in [1, 2]$ and $\epsilon, \epsilon' \in \widehat{D}_i^\times$, which implies that $\mathsf{L}(U_1 U_2) = \{2\}$, a contradiction. \square

We provide a list of v -noetherian weakly Krull monoids having nontrivial conductor and finite v -class group. However, they are either not seminormal or they miss the assumption on the prime ideals in the classes, and the statements of Theorem 1.1 fail (i.e., $\min \Delta(H) > 1$ or $\Delta(H)$ is not an interval).

Examples 3.4.

1. (Krull monoids) By definition, every Krull monoid is a seminormal v -noetherian weakly Krull monoid. Let H be a Krull monoid with class group G and let $G_P \subset G$ denote the set of classes containing minimal prime ideals. If $G_P = G$, then $\Delta(H)$ is an interval (Proposition 2.3). Suppose that $G_P \neq G$. Then, in general, the set of distances $\Delta(H)$ need not be an interval. There is an abundance of natural examples, and all these phenomena already occur in Dedekind domains (see [20, Remark 3.1] and [17, Theorem 3.7.8]).

2. (Weakly factorial monoids) A monoid is weakly factorial if every non-unit is a finite product of primary elements (equivalently, if it is weakly Krull with trivial t -class group, see [25, Exercise 22.5]). In particular, primary monoids are weakly factorial. To recall the connection between ring theoretical and monoid theoretical concepts, let R be a domain. Then its multiplicative monoid R^\bullet is primary if and only if R is one-dimensional and local and, if R is a one-dimensional local Mori domain with $(R: \widehat{R}) \neq \{0\}$, then R^\bullet is finitely primary; furthermore, R^\bullet is seminormal finitely primary if and only if R is a seminormal one-dimensional local Mori domain ([17, Proposition 2.10.7] and [18, Lemma 3.4]).

The following examples are v -noetherian weakly Krull monoids with nontrivial conductor and trivial v -class group. However, they fail to be seminormal and their sets of distances are not intervals.

2.(a) (Numerical monoids) Numerical monoids are finitely generated (and hence v -noetherian) finitely primary monoids of rank one, and hence they are weakly Krull with nontrivial conductor and trivial v -class group. Let H be a numerical monoid. Then, in general, we have $2 + \max \Delta(H) < \mathfrak{c}(H)$ (see, for example, [17, Example 3.1.6]). Sets of distances of numerical monoids (and in particular, gaps in their sets of distances) have found wide interest in the literature. To mention an explicit example, if $H = \langle n, n+1, n^2 - n - 1 \rangle$ with $n \geq 3$, then $\Delta(H) = [1, n-2] \cup \{2n-5\}$ by [6, Proposition 4.9]. Furthermore, each set of the form $\{d, td\}$ with $d, t \in \mathbb{N}$ occurs as a set of distances of a numerical monoid ([12]).

2.(b) (Finitely primary monoids of higher rank) For each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a v -noetherian finitely primary monoid of rank two with $\min \Delta(H) = d$ ([17, Example 3.1.9]).

3. (Seminormal v -noetherian weakly Krull monoids with nontrivial conductor) Consider the seminormal v -noetherian finitely primary monoid

$$D = \{p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \mid k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{1\} \subset \widehat{D} = \mathcal{F}(\{p_1, p_2\}),$$

a finite cyclic group G of order $|G| = n \geq 3$, and an element $e \in G$ with $\text{ord}(e) = n$. We define a homomorphism $\iota: D \rightarrow G$ by setting $\iota(p_1) = e$ and $\iota(p_2) = -e$.

3.(a) Then $H = \text{Ker}(\iota) \hookrightarrow D$ is a cofinal saturated submonoid ([17, Proposition 2.5.1]) and it is a seminormal v -noetherian weakly Krull monoid with $(H: \widehat{H}) \neq \emptyset$ by [18, Lemma 5.2]. We assert that $\min \Delta(H) = n$.

Clearly, $H = \{p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \mid k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}, k_1 \equiv k_2 \pmod{n}\} \cup \{1\}$ and

$$\mathcal{A}(H) = \{p_1 p_2^{k_2} \mid k_2 \in 1 + n\mathbb{N}_0\} \cup \{p_1^{k_1} p_2 \mid k_1 \in 1 + n\mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Thus, if $u_1 \cdots u_k = v_1 \cdots v_\ell$, where $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_\ell \in \mathcal{A}(H)$, then $k \equiv \ell \pmod{n}$ and hence n divides $\gcd \Delta(H) = \min \Delta(H)$. To show that $n \in \Delta(H)$, consider the element $a = p_1^{n+2} p_2^{n+2} \in H$. Clearly, $\{p_1 p_2, p_1 p_2^{n+1}, p_1^{n+1} p_2\}$ is the set of atoms of H dividing a , $a = (p_1 p_2^{n+1})(p_1^{n+1} p_2) = (p_1 p_2)^{n+2}$, and hence $\mathsf{L}_H(a) = \{2, n+2\}$.

3.(b) If the above monoid occurs as the primary component of a T -block monoid, then the situation is different. To show this, let us consider the monoid

$$B = \mathcal{B}(G, T, \iota) \subset \mathcal{F}(G) \times D,$$

where G, ι , and D are as at the beginning of 3. Then B satisfies all assumptions of Theorem 1.1, $\mathcal{B}(G) \subset B$ and $H \subset B$ are divisor-closed submonoids. We assert that $\Delta(B) = [1, n]$. Since $\Delta(G) = [1, n-2]$ by Propositions 2.3 and 2.4, it follows that $[1, n-2] \subset \Delta(B)$. Furthermore, $a = p_1^{n+2} p_2^{n+2} \in H \subset B$, $\mathsf{L}_H(a) = \mathsf{L}_B(a) = \{2, n+2\}$, and hence $n \in \Delta(B)$. The element $b = p_1^{n+1} p_2^{n+2} e \in B$, $\{p_1 p_2, p_1 p_2^{n+1}, e p_1 p_2^2, e p_1^n p_2\}$ is the set of atoms of B dividing b , $b = (p_1 p_2^{n+1})(e p_1^n p_2) = (p_1 p_2)^n (e p_1 p_2^2)$, $\mathsf{L}_B(b) = \{2, n+1\}$, and hence $n-1 \in \Delta(B)$. It can be checked that $\max \Delta(B) \leq n$, and then the assertion follows.

4. We provide an example of a weakly Krull monoid B with v -class group G satisfying all assumptions of Theorem 1.1 where

$$\max \Delta(B) > \mathsf{D}(G) - 2 > \max \Delta(G)$$

(confer the bounds given in Proposition 2.4). Since $\mathsf{D}(G) - 2 > \max \Delta(G)$, Proposition 2.4.2 implies that G can neither be cyclic nor be an elementary 2-group. We set $G = C_3^r$ with $r \geq 2$, choose a basis (e_1, \dots, e_r) of G with $\text{ord}(e_1) = \dots = \text{ord}(e_r) = 3$, and set $e_0 = e_1 + \dots + e_r$. Then $\mathsf{D}(G) = \mathsf{D}^*(G) = 2r + 1$. For $i \in [0, r]$, we define a seminormal v -noetherian finitely primary monoid

$$D_i = \{p_i^{k_i} q_i^{l_i} \mid k_i, l_i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{1\} \subset \widehat{D}_i = \mathcal{F}(\{p_i, q_i\}),$$

and we define a homomorphism $\iota: T = D_0 \times \dots \times D_r \rightarrow G$ by $\iota(p_i) = e_i$, $\iota(q_i) = -e_i$ for every $i \in [0, r]$. Then $B = \mathcal{B}(G, T, \iota)$ is a seminormal v -noetherian weakly Krull monoid with nontrivial conductor and v -class group isomorphic to G (see Proposition 2.2). The elements

$$U_1 = p_1 q_1^2 \cdots p_r q_r^2 \cdot p_0^2 q_0, \quad U_2 = p_1^2 q_1 \cdots p_r^2 q_r \cdot p_0 q_0^2, \quad \text{and} \quad V_i = p_i q_i \quad \text{for every } i \in [0, r]$$

are atoms of B , $U_1 U_2 = (V_0 \cdots V_r)^3$, and $\mathsf{L}_B(U_1 U_2) = \{2, 3(r+1)\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.D. Anderson, D.F. Anderson, and M. Zafrullah, *Atomic domains in which almost all atoms are prime*, Commun. Algebra **20** (1992), 1447 – 1462.
- [2] D.D. Anderson, J. Mott, and M. Zafrullah, *Finite character representations for integral domains*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. **6** (1992), 613 – 630.
- [3] D.F. Anderson, Gyu Whan Chang, and J. Park, *Weakly Krull and related domains of the form $D + M$, $A + XB[X]$ and $A + X^2B[X]$* , Rocky Mountain J. Math. **36** (2006), 1 – 22.
- [4] P. Baginski, S.T. Chapman, R. Rodriguez, G.J. Schaeffer, and Y. She, *On the delta set and catenary degree of Krull monoids with infinite cyclic divisor class group*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), 1334 – 1339.
- [5] V. Barucci, *Seminormal Mori domains*, Commutative Ring Theory, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., vol. 153, Marcel Dekker, 1994, pp. 1 – 12.
- [6] C. Bowles, S.T. Chapman, N. Kaplan, and D. Reiser, *On delta sets of numerical monoids*, J. Algebra Appl. **5** (2006), 695 – 718.
- [7] Gyu Whan Chang, *Semigroup rings as weakly factorial domains*, Commun. Algebra **37** (2009), 3278 – 3287.
- [8] S.T. Chapman, M. Corrales, A. Miller, Ch. Miller, and Dh. Patel, *The catenary and tame degrees on a numerical monoid are eventually periodic*, J. Australian Math. Soc. **97** (2014), 289 – 300.

- [9] S.T. Chapman, P.A. García-Sánchez, and D. Llena, *The catenary and tame degree of numerical monoids*, Forum Math. **21** (2009), 117 – 129.
- [10] S.T. Chapman, F. Gotti, and R. Pelayo, *On delta sets and their realizable subsets in Krull monoids with cyclic class groups*, Colloq. Math. **137** (2014), 137 – 146.
- [11] S.T. Chapman, W.A. Schmid, and W.W. Smith, *On minimal distances in Krull monoids with infinite class group*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **40** (2008), 613 – 618.
- [12] S. Colton and N. Kaplan, *The realization problem for delta sets of numerical monoids*, arXiv:1503.08496.
- [13] J. Coykendall, *Extensions of half-factorial domains: a survey*, Arithmetical Properties of Commutative Rings and Monoids, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., vol. 241, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2005, pp. 46 – 70.
- [14] D.E. Dobbs and M. Fontana, *Seminormal rings generated by algebraic integers*, Mathematika **34** (1987), 141 – 154.
- [15] A. Geroldinger, D.J. Gryniewicz, G.J. Schaeffer, and W.A. Schmid, *On the arithmetic of Krull monoids with infinite cyclic class group*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), 2219 – 2250.
- [16] A. Geroldinger, D.J. Gryniewicz, and W.A. Schmid, *The catenary degree of Krull monoids I*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordx. **23** (2011), 137 – 169.
- [17] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, *Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.
- [18] A. Geroldinger, F. Kainrath, and A. Reinhart, *Arithmetic of seminormal weakly Krull monoids and domains*, J. Algebra **444** (2015), 201 – 245.
- [19] A. Geroldinger and I. Ruzsa, *Combinatorial Number Theory and Additive Group Theory*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics - CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser, 2009.
- [20] A. Geroldinger and P. Yuan, *The set of distances in Krull monoids*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **44** (2012), 1203 – 1208.
- [21] A. Geroldinger and Qinghai Zhong, *The set of minimal distances in Krull monoids*, Acta Arith., to appear.
- [22] ———, *The catenary degree of Krull monoids II*, J. Australian Math. Soc. **98** (2015), 324 – 354.
- [23] D.J. Gryniewicz, *Structural Additive Theory*, Developments in Mathematics, Springer, 2013.
- [24] F. Halter-Koch, *Divisor theories with primary elements and weakly Krull domains*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B **9** (1995), 417 – 441.
- [25] ———, *Ideal Systems. An Introduction to Multiplicative Ideal Theory*, Marcel Dekker, 1998.
- [26] W. Hassler, *Arithmetic of weakly Krull domains*, Commun. Algebra **32** (2004), 955 – 968.
- [27] Jung Wook Lim, *Weakly Krull domains and the composite numerical semigroup ring $D + E[\Gamma^*]$* , Pacific J. Math. **257** (2012), 227 – 242.
- [28] P. Malcolmson and F. Okoh, *Half-factorial subrings of factorial domains*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **220** (2016), 877 – 891.
- [29] A. Philipp, *A precise result on the arithmetic of non-principal orders in algebraic number fields*, J. Algebra Appl. **11**, 1250087, 42pp.
- [30] A. Plagne and W.A. Schmid, *On congruence half-factorial Krull monoids with cyclic class group*, submitted.
- [31] ———, *On large half-factorial sets in elementary p -groups: maximal cardinality and structural characterization*, Isr. J. Math. **145** (2005), 285 – 310.
- [32] ———, *On the maximal cardinality of half-factorial sets in cyclic groups*, Math. Ann. **333** (2005), 759 – 785.

UNIVERSITY OF GRAZ, NAWI GRAZ,, INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, HEINRICHSTRASSE 36, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: alfred.geroldinger@uni-graz.at, qinghai.zhong@uni-graz.at