

Nilpotent orbits of a reductive group over a local field

(Algebraic Groups and Invariant Theory
Ascona)

George McNinch

Tufts University

September 4, 2009

Reductive groups: some conditions

We'll consider a connected reductive group G over a field K .
I want to suppose G is " D -standard."

- if G is semisimple, D -standard just means the characteristic is "very good" for G .
- Any K -form of GL_n is D -standard; a form of SL_n is D -standard $\iff n$ is invertible in K .
- $Sp(V)$ is D -standard just when $p \neq 2$.
- a Levi factor of a parabolic subgroup of a D -standard group is again D -standard.

Nilpotent orbits, geometrically

- Let G be D -standard with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}
- Recall G -orbits in the nilpotent variety $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ are classified *geometrically* by “Bala-Carter data”
- ...in particular, one can label the *geometric* nilpotent orbits using data derived just from the root datum of G .
- More complicated in general: study of $G(K)$ -orbits in $\mathcal{N}(K)$.

Nilpotent centralizers

- if char. K is 0, \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triples containing X are a useful tool; unavailable (and less useful) in general.
- For a general D -standard group, one replaces the ss elt H of a triple by a suitable cocharacter $\phi : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$ “associated with X ”.
- following Premet, one knows such a cocharacter to exist by using geometric invariant theory result of Kempf-Rousseau (since nilpotent elements are precisely the unstable vectors in the adjoint representation)
- If ϕ is a cocharacter associated with X , one knows that $M = C \cap C_G(\text{im } \phi)$ is a Levi factor of C (over K).

Optimal SL_2 's

- Assume $X^{[p]} = 0$ (or $p = 0$). If the cocharacter ϕ is associated to X , this data determines a so-called “optimal” homomorphism $\psi : SL_2 \rightarrow G$ for which X is in the image of $d\psi$, and $\psi \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \phi(t)$.
- the adjoint representation of the image of ψ on \mathfrak{g} is a direct sum of indecomposable *tilting modules* each of highest weight $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2p - 2$.
- a tilting module V is one for which both V and V^\vee have a filtration by standard modules $H^0(\mu)$

Structure of a nilpotent centralizer

Theorem (M - Nagoya Math. J. 2008)

Assume G is D -stdnd, and let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ nilpotent. Then the (geometric) root datum of a Levi factor of the centralizer $C = C_G(X)$ is independent of p .

Method of proof: may suppose $K = K_{\text{alg}}$.

- let \mathcal{A} be a DVR with residues K and fractions of char 0.
- let \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{A} be split reductive with root datum of G
- find a nilpotent section $X_1 \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ specializing to X for which the \mathcal{A} group scheme $C_{\mathcal{G}}(X_1)$ is *smooth* over \mathcal{A} .
- find the Levi factor “over \mathcal{A} ”.



Local K

The object of this talk is related to the method of proof of the previous result. I want to consider reductive groups over a local field.

Notations for local fields

\mathcal{A} complete DVR, with

- fractions K ,
 - residues $k = \mathcal{A}/\pi\mathcal{A}$ – assume k perfect
 - valuation $v : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$
-
- e.g. $\mathcal{A} = k[[t]]$, $K = k((t))$
 - or $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{Z}_p$, $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$, $k = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$.
 - From now on, G is a connected reductive group over K (additional assumptions as we proceed).

Results for local K

- DeBacker (Annals of Math, 2002) described $G(K)$ -orbits in $\mathcal{N}(K)$, provided the residue char. is sufficiently large.
- his result relates nilpotent $G(K)$ -orbits with nilpotent orbits for the reductive quotients of special fibers of corresponding parahoric group schemes.
- “labelling” is achieved using the *Bruhat-Tits building* of G .
- *my goal* (in this talk): give a description/construction of DeBacker’s mapping, under milder assumptions on G
- apology in advance: I’m going to ignore a number of issues (in particular, I won’t describe DeBacker’s labelling).

Goal, a bit more precisely

- let \mathcal{P} be a parahoric group scheme attached to G (more about parahorics in a bit...)
- and let X_0 be a nilpotent element in $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{P}/k, \mathrm{red})$
(I'll need to assume $X_0^{[p]} = 0$).
- idea is to produce a corresponding nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{g}(K)$
(with reasonable properties).
- it suffices to carry out the construction when the residue field k is algebraically closed; *we assume this from now on*
- (explanation: since k is anyhow perfect, there is always an étale extension K' of K for which k' is an alg. closure of k .
And our constructions will descend for étale base change.)

Parahoric group schemes

- since k alg. closed, thm of Steinberg $\implies G$ *quasisplit* $/K$.
- fix a max'l K -split torus S and K -Borel subgroup $B \supset S$.
- the centralizer T of S is a max K -torus of G , and \exists smooth \mathcal{T} over \mathcal{A} with $T = \mathcal{T}/_K$, containing the "canonical" \mathcal{A} -torus \mathcal{S} with $\mathcal{S}/_K = S$
- $\Phi \subset X^*(S)$: K -roots of G ; $\alpha \in \Phi$ determines $U_\alpha \subset G$
- Bruhat-Tits: \exists "valuation of the root datum of G " hence filtration of $U_\alpha(K)$ compatible with the valuation on K and corresp. \mathcal{A} -group schemes $\mathcal{U}_{f,\alpha}$ with generic fiber U_α
- for suitable choices of $\mathcal{U}_{f,\alpha}$, the data $(\mathcal{T}, (\mathcal{U}_{f,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Phi})$ determ's smooth affine \mathcal{A} -gp scheme \mathcal{P} with $\mathcal{P}/_K = G$.

Parahoric example

Consider $G = \mathrm{Sp}_6 = \mathrm{Sp}(V)$:

- fix "hyperbolic" basis $\{e_i, f_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 3\}$ of V .
- $\{X_\alpha\}$ corresp. Chev. basis of \mathfrak{g} .
- $S = T$ is 3 dim'l split torus
- B is stab. of isotropic flag
 $Ke_1 \subset Ke_1 + Ke_2 \subset Ke_1 + Ke_2 + Ke_3$.

Parahoric example, continued

Recall

$$G = \mathrm{Sp}_6$$

- Consider the vertex $\varpi = \frac{\varpi_1}{2}$ of the “affine” fund. alcove (where ϖ_i are fund. dom. coweights)
- define $f : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ by $f(\alpha) = -\langle \alpha, \varpi \rangle$.
- $\exists \mathfrak{U}_{f,\alpha}$ with $\mathfrak{U}_{f,\alpha}(\mathcal{A}) = \exp(\pi^{[f(\alpha)]} \mathcal{A}X_\alpha)$.
- some sample roots:
 - $f(\alpha_1) = -1/2$, so $\mathfrak{U}_{f,\alpha_1}(\mathcal{A}) = \exp(\mathcal{A}X_\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{U}_{f,-\alpha_1}(\mathcal{A}) = \exp(\pi \mathcal{A}X_\alpha)$
 - if $\beta = 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$, $f(\beta) = 1$ so $\mathfrak{U}_{f,\pm\beta} = \exp(\pi \mathcal{A}X_{\pm\beta})$.

Parahoric example, continued

Recall

$$G = \mathrm{Sp}_6, \mathfrak{U}_{f,\alpha}(\mathcal{A}) = \exp(\pi^{[f(\alpha)]} \mathcal{A}X_\alpha)$$

- the resulting group scheme \mathcal{P} is the stabilizer of lattice flag $\langle \pi^{-1}e_1, e_2, e_3, f_2, f_3, f_1 \rangle \subset \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, f_2, f_3, \pi f_1 \rangle$
- \mathcal{P}/k has reductive quotient $\mathrm{Sp}_2 \times \mathrm{Sp}_4$, hence an 8 dim'1 unip. radical

Parahoric groups schemes: Levi factor of special fiber

Theorem

The special fiber $\mathcal{P}/_k$ of a parahoric \mathcal{P} has a unique Levi factor containing $\mathcal{S}/_k$.

- result known to Bruhat-Tits – Tits formulated this result in his “Corvallis” notes. But I’m unaware of a published proof.

Note:

In general, a linear group need have no Levi factor.

e.g. $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(W_2)$ has none, where W_2 is ring of “length 2 Witt vectors”

Levi factors: possible proof

- I have an argument that essentially reduces the problem to a verification in case G has K -rank 1 or 2.
- If G is quasisplit with index 3D_4 or 6D_4 , there is a parahoric group scheme whose reductive quotient has type A_2 . (*right now*) I don't see to give an easy argument for existence of Levi factor. (Which is not to say I doubt the result...)
- the argument I have in mind at least covers the case where G is split, or even $G = R_{L/K}H$ for H split and L a finite separable extension.

Hope 1: adjoint representation of a parahoric

Let \mathcal{P} be a parahoric group scheme attached to G . Write M for a Levi factor of the special fiber \mathcal{P}/k .

- Assume that M and G are both D -standard.

Hope 1

The representation of M on $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{P}/k)$ is a tilting module.

some examples:

- $\mathcal{P}/K = \mathrm{Sp}_6$ and $\mathcal{P}/k_{\mathrm{red}} = \mathrm{Sp}_2 \times \mathrm{Sp}_4$.
 $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{P}/k) \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(M) \oplus H^0(\omega_1; \omega_1)$ as M -representation.
- \mathcal{P}/K split of type E_7 and $\mathcal{P}/k_{\mathrm{red}}$ of type $A_2 \times A_5$.
 $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{P}/k) \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(M) \oplus H^0(\omega_1; \omega_2) \oplus H^0(\omega_2; \omega_3)$.

Hope 1 continued

- more generally, **Hope 1** is true whenever G is split over K .
- to understand **Hope 1** in the non-split case, should consider various “non-split” *échelonnages* found in [BT 1].
- e.g. there is a parahoric \mathcal{P} for which $G = \mathcal{P}/_K$ has K -root system C_n and $\mathcal{P}/_{k,\text{red}}$ has k -root system of type D_n . (échelonnage named “ $B-C_n$ ”).

Hope 2: good filtration for optimal SL_2 's

Let F (alg. closed) field, let M be a D -standard reductive group over F .

- Fix nilpotent $X \in \text{Lie}(M)$ with $X^{[p]} = 0$,
- and fix cochar. associated with X .
- these choices determine an *optimal* mapping $SL_2 \rightarrow G$; write J for its image.

Hope 2

J is a good filtration subgroup of M .

Meaning: as J -module, each standard M -module $H_M^0(\lambda)$ has a filtration by modules of form $H_J^0(n)$ for various $n \geq 0$.

Hope 2: continued

Evidence for Hope 2:

- $\text{Lie}(M)$ has good filtration as J -module.
- always true when the almost-simple components of M are classical (types A,B,C,D) or of type G_2

Remark

Chuck Hague and I are investigating together this question via Frob. splitting.

Application:

If M is Levi factor of $\mathcal{P}_{/k}$ and $S \subset M$ is an optimal SL_2 , then the validity of **Hopes 1 and 2** would mean that $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{P}_{/k})$ is a tilting module for J .

Nilpotent sections with smooth centralizers

- Fix a parahoric \mathcal{P} , Levi factor M of \mathcal{P}/k , and nilpotent $X \in \text{Lie}(M)(k)$ with $X^{[p]} = 0$; assume that both G and M are D -standard
- choose co-character ϕ of M assoc. to X
- after replacing X by an $M(k)$ -conjugate, we may assume that ϕ is a cocharacter of \mathcal{S}/k
- thus ϕ “is” also an \mathcal{A} -map $\phi : \mathbf{G}_{m/\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.
- choose $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{p}(\phi; 2) = \mathfrak{p}(\phi; 2)(\mathcal{A})$ with $X = \tilde{X} + \pi\mathfrak{p}$.

...smooth centralizers

View \tilde{X} as a nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(\mathcal{P}/K)$. Recall that M and G are assumed to be D -standard.

Proposition

Assume that **Hope 1** and **Hope 2** are valid, and that all weights of ϕ on $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{P}/k)$ are $\leq 2p - 2$.

- (a) $\dim C_G(\tilde{X}) = \dim C_{\mathcal{P}/k}(X)$.
- (b) the group scheme $C_{\mathcal{P}}(\tilde{X})$ is smooth over \mathcal{A} .
- (c) the cocharacter $\phi \in X_*(S) = X_*(\mathcal{S}/K)$ is associated with $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{g}(K)$.

...smooth centralizers

Corollary

There is a natural mapping

$$H^1(k, C_{\mathcal{P}/k}(X)) \rightarrow H^1(K, C_G(\tilde{X})),$$

- Since k is *perfect*, $H^1(k, C_{\mathcal{P}/k}(X))$ may be identified with $H^1(k, C_M(X)_{/red})$
- This natural mapping is in some sense *realized* by DeBacker's mapping mentioned earlier

Corollary

If X is distinguished in $\text{Lie}(M)$, then \tilde{X} is K -distinguished (a maximal split torus in $C_G(X)$ is central in G).

Description of mapping

- Write \mathcal{P}^+ for pre-image of $(R_u\mathcal{P}/k)(k)$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$.
- And write \mathfrak{p}^+ for the pre-image of $\text{Lie}(R_u\mathcal{P}/k)$ under the mapping $\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}/k = \text{Lie}(\mathcal{P}/k)$.
- Let $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{A})$ be a lift of $X \in \text{Lie}(M)(k)$ as before.

Proposition (following DeBacker (following Waldspurger))

The $G(K)$ -orbit of \tilde{X} is the nilpotent orbit of minimal dimension having non-empty intersection with $\tilde{X} + \mathfrak{p}^+$.

Remark

The prop. characterizes the G -orbit \tilde{X} among all “lifts” of $X \in \text{Lie}(\mathcal{P}/k, \text{red})$.

description, continued

Using **Hopes 1 & 2**, construct \mathcal{A} -submodule $C \subset \mathfrak{p}$ which is an \mathcal{A} -direct summand, stable under the image of the cocharacter ϕ , and for which

- (a) $\mathfrak{g} = C_{/K} \oplus [\tilde{X}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and
- (b) $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{P}_{/k}) = C_{/k} \oplus [X, \text{Lie}(\mathcal{P}_{/k})]$.

Proof of previous proposition uses:

Proposition

$$\tilde{X} + \mathfrak{p}^+ = \text{Ad}(\mathcal{P}^+)(\tilde{X} + C \cap \mathfrak{p}^+).$$

Sketch of idea.

It suffices to prove the result holds mod π^n for all n . There is a unipotent k -group U whose k -points coincide with the image of \mathcal{P}^+ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}/\pi^n \mathcal{A})$. One uses that U -orbits are closed to facilitate the proof. □