

Power monoids and a conjecture by Bienvenu and Geroldinger

Salvatore TRINGALI

School of Mathematical Sciences, Hebei Normal University

based on joint work with Weihao YAN⁽¹⁾

Algebra & Number Theory seminar

University of Graz, IMSC ~ Graz (AT), Mar 30, 2023

⁽¹⁾To date, Weihao is an undergrad student in mathematics at Hebei Normal University.



1. Power monoids

2. The Bienvenu–Geroldinger conjecture

3. References



What is... a power monoid?

Throughout, H is a multiplicative[ly written] mon (short for “monoid”) and we denote by H^\times its **group of units**; H need not be commutative, cancellative, etc.

$\mathcal{P}(H)$: the mon obtained by endowing the family of all *non-empty* (finite or infinite) subsets of H with the operation of **setwise multiplication**

$$(X, Y) \mapsto XY := \{xy : x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

Each of the following is a submon of $\mathcal{P}(H)$:

- $\mathcal{P}_\times(H) := \{X \in \mathcal{P}(H) : X \cap H^\times \neq \emptyset\}$.
- $\mathcal{P}_1(H) := \{X \in \mathcal{P}(H) : 1_H \in X\}$.
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(H) := \{X \in \mathcal{P}(H) : |X| < \infty\}$.
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}, \times}(H) := \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(H) \cap \mathcal{P}_\times(H)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}, 1}(H) := \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(H) \cap \mathcal{P}_1(H)$.

Altogether, these structures will be generically called **power mons** (PMs).

In particular, $\mathcal{P}(H)$ is the **large PM**, $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(H)$ is the **small PM**, $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}, \times}(H)$ is the **restricted small PM**, and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}, 1}(H)$ is the **reduced small PM** of H .



Literature and popularization

PMs were introduced by Yushuang Fan and T. in 2018. To date, there are still very few publications devoted to their study (*good news for the young!*):

- Fan & T., J. Algebra **512** (2018), 252–294.
- Antoniou & T., Pacific J. Math. **312** (2021), No. 2, 279–308.
- Sect. 4.2 in T., J. Algebra **602** (July 2022), 352–380.
- Bienvenu & Geroldinger, Israel J. Math., to appear (arXiv:2205.00982).
- Example 4.5(3) and Remark 5.5 in Cossu & T., J. Algebra, to appear (arXiv:2208.05869).

PMs are also the subject of a CrowdMath project recently launched by F. Gotti on the Art of Problem Solving (AoPS) website:

<https://artofproblemsolving.com/polymath/mitprimes2023>

A major problem (from Sect. 5 of [Fan & T., 2018]) is the following:

Conjecture. If H is linearly orderable⁽²⁾, then every non-empty *finite* subset L of $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ is the **length set** of a set $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H)$, i.e., L is the set of all and only the integers $k \geq 0$ such that X is a product of k atoms⁽³⁾ of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H)$.

As noted in [Fan & T., 2018], the conjecture boils down to $H = (\mathbb{N}, +)$.

⁽²⁾There is a total order \preceq on H s.t. if $x \prec y$ then $uxv \prec uyv$ for all $u, v \in H$.

⁽³⁾In a multiplicative mon, an atom is a non-unit not factoring as a product of two non-units.



Why studying PMs

- 1) Owing to their “high non-cancellativity”, PMs are a leading example in the (ongoing) development of a *unifying theory of factorization*, where the role of mons (and atoms) in the *classical* theory is taken up by **premons** (and **irreds**):
 - T., J. Algebra **602** (July 2022), 352–380.
 - Cossu & T., Israel J. Math., to appear (arXiv:2108.12379).
 - Cossu & T., J. Algebra, to appear (arXiv:2208.05869).
 - T., Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., to appear (arXiv:2209.05238).
 - Cossu & T., preprint (under review, arXiv:2301.09961).
- 2) PMs are a natural algebraic framework for *famous* problems in additive NT:
 - **Sarkozy's conjecture**⁽⁴⁾. For all but finitely many primes p , the set $\mathcal{Q}_p \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ of quadratic residues mod p is an atom in the small/large PM of the additive group of \mathbb{F}_p .
 - **Inverse Goldbach conjecture**⁽⁵⁾. Every set of integers that differ from the set of (positive rational) primes by finitely many elements is an atom in the large PM of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$.
- 3) The mon of non-empty (2-sided) ideals of H is a submon of $\mathcal{P}(H)$, which is at least useful to demystify certain ideas and put them in the right perspective.

⁽⁴⁾Conjecture 1.6 in A. Sárközy, Acta Arith. **155** (2012), No. 1, 41–51.

⁽⁵⁾See C. Elsholtz, Mathematika **48** (2001), Nos. 1–2, 151–158.



A zoo of wild beasts

$\mathcal{P}(H)$, $\mathcal{P}_\times(H)$, and $\mathcal{P}_0(H)$ are rather complicated objects — their “finitary analogues” are much tamer, although $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(H)$ can still be a real headache.

In the below diagram, a “hooked arrow” $P \hookrightarrow Q$ means the inclusion map from P to Q and a “tailed arrow” $P \dashrightarrow Q$ means the embedding $P \rightarrow Q: x \mapsto \{x\}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \{1_H\} & \hookrightarrow & H^\times & \hookrightarrow & H \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},\times}(H) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(H) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{P}_1(H) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_\times(H) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}(H)
 \end{array}$$

FACT 1. TFAE:

- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},\times}(H)$ is a divisor-closed submon of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(H)$.
- $\mathcal{P}_\times(H)$ is a divisor-closed submon of $\mathcal{P}(H)$.
- H is Dedekind-finite.

FACT 2. If H is cancellative, then $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(H)$ is a divisor-closed submon of $\mathcal{P}(H)$.

FACT 3. If H is Dedekind-finite, then $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},\times}(H)$ have the same length sets (relative to factorizations into irreeds) and so do $\mathcal{P}_1(H) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\times(H)$.

The FACTS mentioned on the previous slide suggest that, at least for a *Dedekind-finite* H , there is much about $\mathcal{P}(H)$ and other PMs that we can understand from the investigation of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H)$. In addition:

FACT 4 (Proposition 3.2(iii) in [Antoniou & T., 2019]): $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(K)$ is a divisor-closed submon of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H)$ for every submon K of H .

\implies It is a good idea to investigate various properties of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H)$ when H is a **monogenic** monoid (i.e., is generated by one of its elements).

We are thus naturally led to consider

- the reduced PM of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$, herein denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$ and written additively;
- the restricted PM of the group $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +)$, herein denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$.

[When H is *cancellative*, there are no other monogenic submons (up to iso).]

So far, most of the work on PMs has been limited to their arithmetic⁽⁶⁾.

P. Bienvenu & A. Geroldinger have recently addressed ideal-theoretic and analytic properties of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$ and closely related structures.

⁽⁶⁾In particular, the arithmetic of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$ is the object of Sect. 4 in [Fan & T., 2018], and the arithmetic of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ for an *odd* modulus n the object of Sect. 5 in [Antoniou & T., 2019].



1. Power monoids

2. The Bienvenu–Geroldinger conjecture

3. References



In more detail

Let S be a **numerical mon**, i.e., a submon of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ s.t. $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ is finite.

Among other things, Bienvenu & Geroldinger have

- obtained quantitative results on the “density” of the atoms of the reduced PM of S , herein denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S)$ and written additively;
- started a foray into the ideal theory of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S)$, with emphasis on prime ideals.

Moreover, they have formulated (and proved special cases of) the following:

Bienvenu–Geroldinger (BG) conjecture

The reduced PM of a numerical mon S_1 is isomorphic to the reduced PM of a numerical mon S_2 iff $S_1 = S_2$.

It is worth noting that

- i) a mon hom $f: H \rightarrow K$ yields a well-defined (mon) hom $F: \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(K): X \mapsto f(X)$, and if f is iso then so also is F ;
- ii) the converse of i) need not be true — if H is an idempotent monoid with two elements, then $H \not\cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, +)$ but $H \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.
- iii) the BG conjecture is ultimately asking to show that i) can be reversed when H and K numerical mons, as it is folklore⁽⁷⁾ that two numerical mons are isomorphic iff they are equal.

⁽⁷⁾See Theorem 3 in J. C. Higgins, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 1 (1969), 115–125.



Proof outline

The proof of the BG conjecture is elementary and, *in hindsight*, quite simple — the most “advanced” result we use is a classical result⁽⁸⁾ commonly known as

Fundamental Theorem of Additive Combinatorics

Given $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$ with $\gcd A = 1$, there exist $b, c \in \mathbb{N}$, $B \subseteq \llbracket 0, b-2 \rrbracket$, and $C \subseteq \llbracket 0, c-2 \rrbracket$ s.t. $kA = B \cup \llbracket b, ka-c \rrbracket \cup (ka-C)$ for all large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $a := \max A$ and $kA := A + \cdots + A$ (k times).

One can break down the proof to the following steps:

- 1) Prove by the Fundamental Theorem of Additive Combinatorics that, given $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$, we have $(k+1)A = kA + B$ for all large $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $B \subseteq A$ with $\{0, \max A\}$.
- 2) Use 1) to show that an injective endo of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$ sends 2-element sets to 2-element sets.
- 3) Use 2) to prove that, if ϕ is an *injective* homo from the reduced PM of a numerical mon S to $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$ and a_1, \dots, a_n are elements in S , then
 - (i) there exist $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\phi(\{0, a_i\}) = \{0, b_i\}$ for each $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$.
 - (ii) $\phi(\{0, a_1 + \cdots + a_n\}) = \{0, b_1 + \cdots + b_n\}$.
- 4) Use 3) to conclude that, if S_1 and S_2 are numerical mons and ϕ is an iso $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S_2)$, then the fnc $\Phi: S_1 \rightarrow S_2: a \mapsto \max \phi(\{0, a\})$ is itself a (mon) iso.

⁽⁸⁾See M. B. Nathanson, Amer. Math. Monthly **79** (1972), No. 9, 1010–1012.



1. Power monoids
2. The Bienvenu–Geroldinger conjecture
3. References



Bibliography

- A. A. Antoniou & S. Tringali, *On the Arithmetic of Power Monoids and Sumsets in Cyclic Groups*, Pacific J. Math. **312** (2021), No. 2, 279–308 (arXiv:1804.10913).
- P.-Y. Bienvenu and A. Geroldinger, *On algebraic properties of power monoids of numerical monoids*, Israel J. Math., to appear (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.00982>).
- L. Cossu & S. Tringali, *Abstract Factorization Theorems with Applications to Idempotent Factorizations*, to appear in Israel J. Math. (arXiv:2108.12379).
- L. Cossu & S. Tringali, *Factorization under local finiteness conditions*, to appear in J. Algebra (arXiv:2208.05869).
- L. Cossu & S. Tringali, *On the finiteness of certain factorization invariants*, preprint (under review, arXiv:2301.09961).
- Y. Fan, A. Geroldinger, F. Kainrath & S. Tringali, *Arithmetic of commutative semigroups with a focus on semigroups of ideals and modules*, J. Algebra Appl. **16** (2017), No. 11.
- Y. Fan & S. Tringali, *Power monoids: A bridge between Factorization Theory and Arithmetic Combinatorics*, J. Algebra **512** (2018), 252–294.
- S. Tringali, *An abstract factorization theorem and some applications*, J. Algebra **602** (July 2022), 352–380 (arXiv:2102.01598).
- S. Tringali, *A characterization of atomicity*, to appear in Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. (arXiv:2209.05238).
- S. Tringali and W. Yan, *A conjecture by Bienvenu and Geroldinger on power monoids*, preprint (under review, available upon request).