## Semi-ideas About Semi-domains

## Harold Polo

University of California, Irvine

(joint works with Scott T. Chapman, Felix Gotti, Nathan Kaplan, and Sophia Liao)

Ring Theory Seminar at University of Graz March 14th, 2024

- 1. Preliminaries on Semidomains
- 2. Polynomial Extensions of Semidomains
- 3. Goldbach Theorems for Semidomains
- 4. Integer-Valued Polynomials on Semidomains

## Semidomains

**Definition.** A semiring S is a (nonempty) set endowed with two binary operations denoted by '+' and  $\cdot$ ' and called addition and multiplication, respectively, such that the following conditions hold:

- 1. (S, +) is a commutative monoid with its identity element denoted by 0;
- 2.  $(S, \cdot)$  is a commutative semigroup with an identity element denoted by 1;
- 3.  $b \cdot (c+d) = b \cdot c + b \cdot d$  for all  $b, c, d \in S$ .

## Semidomains

**Definition.** A semiring S is a (nonempty) set endowed with two binary operations denoted by '+' and  $\cdot$ ' and called addition and multiplication, respectively, such that the following conditions hold:

- 1. (S, +) is a commutative monoid with its identity element denoted by 0;
- 2.  $(S, \cdot)$  is a commutative semigroup with an identity element denoted by 1;
- 3.  $b \cdot (c+d) = b \cdot c + b \cdot d$  for all  $b, c, d \in S$ .

Definition. A semidomain is a subsemiring of an integral domain.

Remark: For a semidomain S, the additive group gp(S, +) is the smallest integral domain containing S; we denote it by  $\mathcal{G}(S)$ .

## Semidomains

**Definition.** A semiring S is a (nonempty) set endowed with two binary operations denoted by '+' and  $\cdot$ ' and called addition and multiplication, respectively, such that the following conditions hold:

- 1. (S, +) is a commutative monoid with its identity element denoted by 0;
- 2.  $(S, \cdot)$  is a commutative semigroup with an identity element denoted by 1;
- 3.  $b \cdot (c+d) = b \cdot c + b \cdot d$  for all  $b, c, d \in S$ .

Definition. A semidomain is a subsemiring of an integral domain.

Remark: For a semidomain S, the additive group gp(S, +) is the smallest integral domain containing S; we denote it by  $\mathcal{G}(S)$ .

#### **Examples of Semidomains**

- integral domains,
- Puiseux monoids that are closed under multiplication and contain 1,
- $\mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0[x]$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0[x, x^{-1}]$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0[[x]]$

A semidomain S consists of two monoids, namely, the additive monoid (S, +) and the multiplicative monoid  $(S \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ ; we denote the latter monoid as  $S^*$ .

A semidomain S consists of two monoids, namely, the additive monoid (S, +) and the multiplicative monoid  $(S \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ ; we denote the latter monoid as  $S^*$ .

### Definitions

- (a) The set of additive (resp., multiplicative) atoms of S is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_+(S)$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{A}(S)$ ).
- (b) A semidomain S is atomic if the monoid  $S^*$  is atomic.
- (c) A semidomain S is a bounded factorization semidomain (BFS) if the monoid  $S^*$  is a BFM.
- (d) A semidomain S is a finite factorization semidomain (FFS) if the monoid  $S^*$  is an FFM.
- (e) A semidomain S is a unique factorization semidomain (UFS) if the monoid  $S^*$  is a UFM.

**Definition.** The set consisting of all polynomials with coefficients in a semidomain S is also a semidomain, which we denote by S[x] and call the semidomain of polynomials over S.

**Definition.** The set consisting of all polynomials with coefficients in a semidomain S is also a semidomain, which we denote by S[x] and call the semidomain of polynomials over S.

<u>Remarks:</u>

• If *R* is an integral domain containing a semidomain *S* then the elements of *S*[*x*] are polynomials in *R*[*x*].

**Definition.** The set consisting of all polynomials with coefficients in a semidomain S is also a semidomain, which we denote by S[x] and call the semidomain of polynomials over S.

- If *R* is an integral domain containing a semidomain *S* then the elements of *S*[*x*] are polynomials in *R*[*x*].
- For a polynomial  $f = c_n x^{k_n} + \cdots + c_0 x^{k_0}$  written in canonical form, we set  $Supp(f) := \{k_n, \ldots, k_0\}.$

**Definition.** The set consisting of all polynomials with coefficients in a semidomain S is also a semidomain, which we denote by S[x] and call the semidomain of polynomials over S.

- If *R* is an integral domain containing a semidomain *S* then the elements of *S*[*x*] are polynomials in *R*[*x*].
- For a polynomial  $f = c_n x^{k_n} + \cdots + c_0 x^{k_0}$  written in canonical form, we set  $Supp(f) := \{k_n, \ldots, k_0\}.$
- Given a semidomain S, we define the semidomain of Laurent polynomials over S in a similar way, and we denote it by  $S[x, x^{-1}]$ .

**Definition.** The set consisting of all polynomials with coefficients in a semidomain S is also a semidomain, which we denote by S[x] and call the semidomain of polynomials over S.

- If *R* is an integral domain containing a semidomain *S* then the elements of *S*[*x*] are polynomials in *R*[*x*].
- For a polynomial  $f = c_n x^{k_n} + \cdots + c_0 x^{k_0}$  written in canonical form, we set  $Supp(f) := \{k_n, \ldots, k_0\}.$
- Given a semidomain S, we define the semidomain of Laurent polynomials over S in a similar way, and we denote it by  $S[x, x^{-1}]$ .
- Given a semidomain S, we define the semidomain of power series over S in a similar way, and we denote it by S[[x]].

**Definition.** The set consisting of all polynomials with coefficients in a semidomain S is also a semidomain, which we denote by S[x] and call the semidomain of polynomials over S.

- If *R* is an integral domain containing a semidomain *S* then the elements of *S*[*x*] are polynomials in *R*[*x*].
- For a polynomial  $f = c_n x^{k_n} + \cdots + c_0 x^{k_0}$  written in canonical form, we set  $Supp(f) := \{k_n, \ldots, k_0\}.$
- Given a semidomain S, we define the semidomain of Laurent polynomials over S in a similar way, and we denote it by  $S[x, x^{-1}]$ .
- Given a semidomain S, we define the semidomain of power series over S in a similar way, and we denote it by S[[x]].
- Given a semidomain *S*, we define the semidomain of Laurent series over *S* in a similar way, and we denote it by *S*[[*x*, *x*<sup>-1</sup>]].

## Theorem (folklore)

Let R be an integral domain. Then R is a UFD if and only if R[x] is a UFD.

### Theorem (folklore)

Let R be an integral domain. Then R is a UFD if and only if R[x] is a UFD.

**Example.** In the semidomain  $\mathbb{N}_0[x]$ , we have two factorizations of  $x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$ , namely,

$$(x+1)(x^4+x^2+1)$$
 and  $(x^2+x+1)(x^3+1)$ .

### Theorem (folklore)

Let R be an integral domain. Then R is a UFD if and only if R[x] is a UFD.

**Example.** In the semidomain  $\mathbb{N}_0[x]$ , we have two factorizations of  $x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$ , namely,

$$(x+1)(x^4+x^2+1)$$
 and  $(x^2+x+1)(x^3+1)$ .

#### Theorem (Gotti-P., 2022)

Let S be a semidomain. Then S is a UFD if and only if S[x] is a UFS.

## Theorem (folklore)

Let R be an integral domain. Then R is a UFD if and only if R[x] is a UFD.

**Example.** In the semidomain  $\mathbb{N}_0[x]$ , we have two factorizations of  $x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$ , namely,

$$(x+1)(x^4+x^2+1)$$
 and  $(x^2+x+1)(x^3+1)$ .

### Theorem (Gotti-P., 2022)

Let S be a semidomain. Then S is a UFD if and only if S[x] is a UFS.

### Open Question (Baeth-Chapman-Gotti)

Is  $\mathbb{N}_0$  the only "honest" semidomain S satisfying that (S, +) and S\* are both UFMs?

## Bounded and Finite Factorization Properties

**Example.** Let *M* be a BFM torsion-free monoid that is not an FFM. Then  $\mathbb{N}_0[x; M]$  is a BFS that is not an FFS.

## Bounded and Finite Factorization Properties

**Example.** Let *M* be a BFM torsion-free monoid that is not an FFM. Then  $\mathbb{N}_0[x; M]$  is a BFS that is not an FFS.

Theorem (Gotti-P., 2022)

Let S be a semidomain. Then S is a BFS if and only if S[x] is a BFS.

**Example.** Let *M* be a BFM torsion-free monoid that is not an FFM. Then  $\mathbb{N}_0[x; M]$  is a BFS that is not an FFS.

Theorem (Gotti-P., 2022)

Let S be a semidomain. Then S is a BFS if and only if S[x] is a BFS.

### Theorem (Gotti-P., 2022)

Let S be a semidomain. Then S is an FFS if and only if S[x] is an FFS.

In the context of integral domains, it is known that the ACCP ascends to polynomial extensions. However, this is not true in the more general context of commutative rings with identity (Heinzer and Lantz, 1994).

In the context of integral domains, it is known that the ACCP ascends to polynomial extensions. However, this is not true in the more general context of commutative rings with identity (Heinzer and Lantz, 1994).

Theorem (Gotti-P., 2022)

Let S be a semidomain. Then S satisfies the ACCP if and only if S[x] satisfies the ACCP.

In the context of integral domains, it is known that the ACCP ascends to polynomial extensions. However, this is not true in the more general context of commutative rings with identity (Heinzer and Lantz, 1994).

Theorem (Gotti-P., 2022)

Let S be a semidomain. Then S satisfies the ACCP if and only if S[x] satisfies the ACCP.

What about the power series extensions of semidomains?

In the context of integral domains, it is known that the ACCP ascends to polynomial extensions. However, this is not true in the more general context of commutative rings with identity (Heinzer and Lantz, 1994).

Theorem (Gotti-P., 2022)

Let S be a semidomain. Then S satisfies the ACCP if and only if S[x] satisfies the ACCP.

What about the power series extensions of semidomains?

**Example.** Consider the power series semidomain  $\mathbb{N}_0[[x]]$ . It does not satisfy the ACCP. Indeed,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{n \cdot 2^k} = \left(1 + x^{2^k}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{n \cdot 2^{k+1}}.$$

## Elasticity

Let *M* be an atomic monoid. The elasticity of an element  $b \in M \setminus U(M)$ , denoted by  $\rho(b)$ , is defined as

$$\rho(b) = \frac{\sup L(b)}{\inf L(b)}.$$

By convention, we set  $\rho(u) = 1$  for every  $u \in M^{\times}$ . In addition, the elasticity of the monoid M is defined to be

 $\rho(M) := \sup\{\rho(b) \mid b \in M\}.$ 

## Elasticity

Let *M* be an atomic monoid. The elasticity of an element  $b \in M \setminus U(M)$ , denoted by  $\rho(b)$ , is defined as

$$\rho(b) = \frac{\sup L(b)}{\inf L(b)}.$$

By convention, we set  $\rho(u) = 1$  for every  $u \in M^{\times}$ . In addition, the elasticity of the monoid M is defined to be

$$\rho(M) := \sup\{\rho(b) \mid b \in M\}.$$

On the other hand, the set of elasticities of M is  $R(M) := \{\rho(b) \mid b \in M\}$ , and M is said to have full elasticity provided that  $R(M) = (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}) \cap [1, \rho(M)]$ .

## Elasticity

Let *M* be an atomic monoid. The elasticity of an element  $b \in M \setminus U(M)$ , denoted by  $\rho(b)$ , is defined as

$$\rho(b) = \frac{\sup \mathsf{L}(b)}{\inf \mathsf{L}(b)}.$$

By convention, we set  $\rho(u) = 1$  for every  $u \in M^{\times}$ . In addition, the elasticity of the monoid M is defined to be

$$\rho(M) := \sup\{\rho(b) \mid b \in M\}.$$

On the other hand, the set of elasticities of M is  $R(M) := \{\rho(b) \mid b \in M\}$ , and M is said to have full elasticity provided that  $R(M) = (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}) \cap [1, \rho(M)]$ .

#### Proposition (Gotti-P., 2022)

Let S be a semidomain such that S[x] is atomic. Then S[x] has full and infinite elasticity provided that (S, +) is reduced.

The Goldbach Conjecture: Every positive even integer bigger than 2 can be written as the sum of two prime numbers.

The Goldbach Conjecture: Every positive even integer bigger than 2 can be written as the sum of two prime numbers.

## Theorem (Hayes, 1965)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with degree  $n \ge 1$  can be expressed as the sum of two irreducible polynomials.

The Goldbach Conjecture: Every positive even integer bigger than 2 can be written as the sum of two prime numbers.

## Theorem (Hayes, 1965)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with degree  $n \ge 1$  can be expressed as the sum of two irreducible polynomials.

### Theorem (Effinger-Hayes, 1991)

Every odd monic polynomial f of degree  $n \ge 2$  over every finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (except the case  $f = x^2 + \alpha$  with q even) can be expressed as the sum of three irreducible polynomials.

The Goldbach Conjecture: Every positive even integer bigger than 2 can be written as the sum of two prime numbers.

## Theorem (Hayes, 1965)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with degree  $n \ge 1$  can be expressed as the sum of two irreducible polynomials.

### Theorem (Effinger-Hayes, 1991)

Every odd monic polynomial f of degree  $n \ge 2$  over every finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (except the case  $f = x^2 + \alpha$  with q even) can be expressed as the sum of three irreducible polynomials.

## Theorem (Pollack, 2011)

Let D be a Noetherian domain with infinitely many maximal ideals. Every polynomial  $f \in D[x]$  can be expressed as the sum of two irreducible polynomials.

## Theorem (Hayes, 1965)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with degree  $n \ge 1$  can be expressed as the sum of two irreducible polynomials.

## Theorem (Hayes, 1965)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with degree  $n \ge 1$  can be expressed as the sum of two irreducible polynomials.

Remarks:

• Hayes proved this result using only Eisenstein's criterion.

## Theorem (Hayes, 1965)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with degree  $n \ge 1$  can be expressed as the sum of two irreducible polynomials.

#### Remarks:

- Hayes proved this result using only Eisenstein's criterion.
- Being able to subtract makes the problem easier.

## Theorem (Hayes, 1965)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with degree  $n \ge 1$  can be expressed as the sum of two irreducible polynomials.

#### Remarks:

- Hayes proved this result using only Eisenstein's criterion.
- Being able to subtract makes the problem easier.

$$10 = 5 + 5$$
  
= 3 + 7  
$$x^{2} + x + 1 = (x^{2} + 1) + x$$
  
= (x^{2} + 2) + (x - 1)  
: : :

 We do not recover the Goldbach conjecture by considering polynomials of degree 0 in the previous statement.

### Theorem (Liao-P., 2023)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{N}_0[x, x^{-1}]$  can be written as the sum of two irreducibles provided that f(1) > 3 and  $|\mathsf{Supp}(f)| > 1$ .

### Theorem (Liao-P., 2023)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{N}_0[x, x^{-1}]$  can be written as the sum of two irreducibles provided that f(1) > 3 and |Supp(f)| > 1.

#### <u>Remarks:</u>

Since N<sub>0</sub>[x, x<sup>-1</sup>]<sup>×</sup> = {x<sup>k</sup> | k ∈ Z}, we can think of a polynomial in N<sub>0</sub>[x, x<sup>-1</sup>] as a formal sum of units. Then, as in the Goldbach conjecture, proving this involves partitioning a fixed set of units into two subsets, each one of which represents an irreducible.

### Theorem (Liao-P., 2023)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{N}_0[x, x^{-1}]$  can be written as the sum of two irreducibles provided that f(1) > 3 and |Supp(f)| > 1.

#### Remarks:

- Since N<sub>0</sub>[x, x<sup>-1</sup>]<sup>×</sup> = {x<sup>k</sup> | k ∈ Z}, we can think of a polynomial in N<sub>0</sub>[x, x<sup>-1</sup>] as a formal sum of units. Then, as in the Goldbach conjecture, proving this involves partitioning a fixed set of units into two subsets, each one of which represents an irreducible.
- Note that f ∈ N<sub>0</sub>[x, x<sup>-1</sup>] is irreducible when f(1) is a prime number. Therefore, if the Goldbach conjecture were true, then our statement would hold for Laurent polynomials f ∈ N<sub>0</sub>[x, x<sup>-1</sup>] satisfying that f(1) is an even number strictly greater than 2.

### Theorem (Liao-P., 2023)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{N}_0[x, x^{-1}]$  can be written as the sum of two irreducibles provided that f(1) > 3 and |Supp(f)| > 1.

#### Remarks:

- Since N<sub>0</sub>[x, x<sup>-1</sup>]<sup>×</sup> = {x<sup>k</sup> | k ∈ Z}, we can think of a polynomial in N<sub>0</sub>[x, x<sup>-1</sup>] as a formal sum of units. Then, as in the Goldbach conjecture, proving this involves partitioning a fixed set of units into two subsets, each one of which represents an irreducible.
- Note that f ∈ N<sub>0</sub>[x, x<sup>-1</sup>] is irreducible when f(1) is a prime number. Therefore, if the Goldbach conjecture were true, then our statement would hold for Laurent polynomials f ∈ N<sub>0</sub>[x, x<sup>-1</sup>] satisfying that f(1) is an even number strictly greater than 2.
- A similar statement does not hold for polynomials with positive integer coefficients as  $x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2$  cannot be written as the sum of two irreducibles.

### Theorem (Liao-P., 2023)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{N}_0[x, x^{-1}]$  can be written as the sum of two irreducibles provided that f(1) > 3 and |Supp(f)| > 1.

#### <u>Remarks:</u>

• Conditions f(1) > 3 and |Supp(f)| > 1 are needed.

Ex: The polynomial  $x^2 + x + 1$  cannot be expressed as the sum of two irreducibles.

### Theorem (Liao-P., 2023)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{N}_0[x, x^{-1}]$  can be written as the sum of two irreducibles provided that f(1) > 3 and |Supp(f)| > 1.

#### <u>Remarks:</u>

• Conditions f(1) > 3 and |Supp(f)| > 1 are needed.

Ex: The polynomial  $x^2 + x + 1$  cannot be expressed as the sum of two irreducibles.

• The proof of this theorem uses facts about the distribution of primes in  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Z}}.$ 

### Theorem (Liao-P., 2023)

Every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{N}_0[x, x^{-1}]$  can be written as the sum of two irreducibles provided that f(1) > 3 and |Supp(f)| > 1.

#### <u>Remarks:</u>

• Conditions f(1) > 3 and |Supp(f)| > 1 are needed.

Ex: The polynomial  $x^2 + x + 1$  cannot be expressed as the sum of two irreducibles.

• The proof of this theorem uses facts about the distribution of primes in  $\mathbb{Z}.$ 

### Open Question

Can we write every element of  $\mathbb{N}_0[[x, x^{-1}]]$  as the sum of at most two irreducibles?

## Goldbach Conjecture for Laurent Polynomials

## Theorem (Kaplan-P., 202?)

Let S be an additively reduced and additively atomic semidomain. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $\mathcal{A}_+(S)=S^{ imes};$
- 2. every  $f \in S[x, x^{-1}]$  with |Supp(f)| > 1 can be expressed as the sum of at most 2 irreducibles;
- 3. there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every  $f \in S[x, x^{-1}]$  with |Supp(f)| > 1 can be expressed as the sum of at most k irreducibles.

## Goldbach Conjecture for Laurent Polynomials

## Theorem (Kaplan-P., 202?)

Let S be an additively reduced and additively atomic semidomain. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $\mathcal{A}_+(S)=S^{ imes};$
- every f ∈ S[x, x<sup>-1</sup>] with |Supp(f)| > 1 can be expressed as the sum of at most 2 irreducibles;
- 3. there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every  $f \in S[x, x^{-1}]$  with |Supp(f)| > 1 can be expressed as the sum of at most k irreducibles.

Moreover, if any of the previous statements hold and  $f \in S[x, x^{-1}]$  does not have one of the following forms:

(a) 
$$f = ax^{k_0} + bx^{k_1}$$
, where either  $a \in S^{\times}$  or  $b \in S^{\times}$ ;  
(b)  $f = ax^{k_0} + bx^{k_1} + cx^{k_2}$ , where  $a, b, c \in S^{\times}$ ,  
then  $f$  is the sum of exactly two irreducible polynomials in  $S[x, x^{-1}]$ .

# Goldbach Conjecture for Polynomial Semidomains

## Theorem (Kaplan-P., 202?)

Let S be an additively reduced and additively atomic semidomain. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $\mathcal{A}_+(S)=S^{ imes};$
- every f ∈ S[[x, x<sup>-1</sup>]] with |Supp(f)| > 1 can be expressed as the sum of at most 3 irreducibles;
- 3. there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every  $f \in S[[x, x^{-1}]]$  with |Supp(f)| > 1 can be expressed as the sum of at most k irreducibles.

# Goldbach Conjecture for Polynomial Semidomains

## Theorem (Kaplan-P., 202?)

Let S be an additively reduced and additively atomic semidomain. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $\mathcal{A}_+(S)=S^{ imes};$
- every f ∈ S[[x, x<sup>-1</sup>]] with |Supp(f)| > 1 can be expressed as the sum of at most 3 irreducibles;
- 3. there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every  $f \in S[[x, x^{-1}]]$  with |Supp(f)| > 1 can be expressed as the sum of at most k irreducibles.

### Open Question

Can we write every element of  $S[[x, x^{-1}]]$  as the sum of at most two irreducibles (assuming S is additively reduced and additively atomic)?

**Example.** Consider the additive monoid  $M = \langle (\frac{2}{3})^k | k \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ , which is clearly reduced. It is known that M is atomic and  $\mathcal{A}(M) = \{r^k | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Observe that M is a semidomain.

**Example.** Consider the additive monoid  $M = \langle (\frac{2}{3})^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ , which is clearly reduced. It is known that M is atomic and  $\mathcal{A}(M) = \{r^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Observe that M is a semidomain. Denoting this semidomain by S, we have that  $\mathcal{A}_+(S) = S^{\times}$ .

**Example.** Consider the additive monoid  $M = \langle (\frac{2}{3})^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ , which is clearly reduced. It is known that M is atomic and  $\mathcal{A}(M) = \{r^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Observe that M is a semidomain. Denoting this semidomain by S, we have that  $\mathcal{A}_+(S) = S^{\times}$ . The polynomial  $f = \frac{4}{3}x + 2$  has infinitely many Goldbach decompositions in  $S[x, x^{-1}]$ . In fact, using the identity  $2(\frac{2}{3})^n = 3(\frac{2}{3})^{n+1}$ , it is not hard to show that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can write  $\frac{4}{3} = (\frac{2}{3})^n + s_n$  for some  $s_n \in S^*$ . Thus, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that

$$f = \left[ \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n x + 1 \right] + \left[ s_n x + 1 \right],$$

where each summand between brackets is irreducible.

**Example.** Consider the additive monoid  $M = \langle (\frac{2}{3})^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ , which is clearly reduced. It is known that M is atomic and  $\mathcal{A}(M) = \{r^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Observe that M is a semidomain. Denoting this semidomain by S, we have that  $\mathcal{A}_+(S) = S^{\times}$ . The polynomial  $f = \frac{4}{3}x + 2$  has infinitely many Goldbach decompositions in  $S[x, x^{-1}]$ . In fact, using the identity  $2(\frac{2}{3})^n = 3(\frac{2}{3})^{n+1}$ , it is not hard to show that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can write  $\frac{4}{3} = (\frac{2}{3})^n + s_n$  for some  $s_n \in S^*$ . Thus, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that

$$f = \left[ \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n x + 1 \right] + \left[ s_n x + 1 \right],$$

where each summand between brackets is irreducible.

#### Proposition (Kaplan-P., 202?)

Let S be an additively reduced and additively atomic semidomain for which  $\mathcal{A}_+(S) = S^{\times}$ . Suppose that  $f \in S[[x, x^{-1}]]$  is not a polynomial. Then we can write f as the sum of at most three irreducibles in, at least,  $2^{\aleph_0}$  ways.

**Definition.** Let S be a semidomain with quotient field  $\mathcal{F}(S)$ , and let Int(S) be the set of integer-valued polynomials on S, that is,

$$\operatorname{Int}(S) \coloneqq \{g \in \mathcal{F}(S)[x] : g(S) \subseteq S\}.$$

**Definition.** Let S be a semidomain with quotient field  $\mathcal{F}(S)$ , and let Int(S) be the set of integer-valued polynomials on S, that is,

$$\mathsf{Int}(S) \coloneqq \{g \in \mathcal{F}(S)[x] : g(S) \subseteq S\}.$$

Remarks:

• Note that  $S \subseteq S[x] \subseteq Int(S) \subseteq Int(\mathcal{G}(S))$ .

**Definition.** Let S be a semidomain with quotient field  $\mathcal{F}(S)$ , and let Int(S) be the set of integer-valued polynomials on S, that is,

```
\operatorname{Int}(S) \coloneqq \{g \in \mathcal{F}(S)[x] : g(S) \subseteq S\}.
```

Remarks:

- Note that  $S \subseteq S[x] \subseteq Int(S) \subseteq Int(\mathcal{G}(S))$ .
- In general,  $Int(S, \mathcal{G}(S)) \neq Int(S)$ .

**Definition.** Let S be a semidomain with quotient field  $\mathcal{F}(S)$ , and let Int(S) be the set of integer-valued polynomials on S, that is,

```
\operatorname{Int}(S) \coloneqq \{g \in \mathcal{F}(S)[x] : g(S) \subseteq S\}.
```

Remarks:

- Note that  $S \subseteq S[x] \subseteq Int(S) \subseteq Int(\mathcal{G}(S))$ .
- In general,  $Int(S, \mathcal{G}(S)) \neq Int(S)$ .
- In many instances,  $Int(S) \neq S[x]$ . For instance, suppose that S is an "honest" semidomain (i.e., not an integral domain) satisfying that, for all  $s, s' \in S$ , either  $s s' \in S$  or  $s' s \in S$  (e.g.,  $\mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_0$ ). Then  $Int(S) \neq S[x]$  as  $(x 1)^2 \in Int(S)$ .

**Definition.** Let S be a semidomain with quotient field  $\mathcal{F}(S)$ , and let Int(S) be the set of integer-valued polynomials on S, that is,

```
\operatorname{Int}(S) \coloneqq \{g \in \mathcal{F}(S)[x] : g(S) \subseteq S\}.
```

Remarks:

- Note that  $S \subseteq S[x] \subseteq Int(S) \subseteq Int(\mathcal{G}(S))$ .
- In general,  $Int(S, \mathcal{G}(S)) \neq Int(S)$ .
- In many instances,  $Int(S) \neq S[x]$ . For instance, suppose that S is an "honest" semidomain (i.e., not an integral domain) satisfying that, for all  $s, s' \in S$ , either  $s s' \in S$  or  $s' s \in S$  (e.g.,  $\mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_0$ ). Then  $Int(S) \neq S[x]$  as  $(x 1)^2 \in Int(S)$ .

### Open Question

Let S be a semidomain that is not an integral domain. Is  $Int(S) \neq S[x]$ ?

**Example.** Consider the semidomain  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  whose elements we refer to as natural-valued polynomials.

**Example.** Consider the semidomain  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  whose elements we refer to as natural-valued polynomials.

Facts:

•  $\mathcal{G}(Int(\mathbb{N}_0)) = Int(\mathbb{Z}).$ 

**Example.** Consider the semidomain  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  whose elements we refer to as natural-valued polynomials.

Facts:

- $\mathcal{G}(Int(\mathbb{N}_0)) = Int(\mathbb{Z}).$
- Not all elements of  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  can be expressed as  $\mathbb{N}$ -linear combinations of  $\left\{ \binom{X}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$ .

**Example.** Consider the semidomain  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  whose elements we refer to as natural-valued polynomials.

Facts:

- $\mathcal{G}(Int(\mathbb{N}_0)) = Int(\mathbb{Z}).$
- Not all elements of  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  can be expressed as  $\mathbb{N}$ -linear combinations of  $\left\{ \binom{X}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$ .
- $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  is an FFS with infinite elasticity.

**Example.** Consider the semidomain  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  whose elements we refer to as natural-valued polynomials.

Facts:

- $\mathcal{G}(Int(\mathbb{N}_0)) = Int(\mathbb{Z}).$
- Not all elements of  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  can be expressed as  $\mathbb{N}$ -linear combinations of  $\left\{ \binom{X}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$ .
- $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  is an FFS with infinite elasticity.

**Example.** Consider the semidomain  $Int(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  whose elements we refer to as positive-real-valued polynomials.

**Example.** Consider the semidomain  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  whose elements we refer to as natural-valued polynomials.

Facts:

- $\mathcal{G}(Int(\mathbb{N}_0)) = Int(\mathbb{Z}).$
- Not all elements of  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  can be expressed as  $\mathbb{N}$ -linear combinations of  $\left\{ \binom{X}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$ .
- $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  is an FFS with infinite elasticity.

**Example.** Consider the semidomain  $Int(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  whose elements we refer to as positive-real-valued polynomials.

Facts:

•  $Int(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[x] : f \text{ has no positive real roots}\}.$ 

**Example.** Consider the semidomain  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  whose elements we refer to as natural-valued polynomials.

Facts:

- $\mathcal{G}(Int(\mathbb{N}_0)) = Int(\mathbb{Z}).$
- Not all elements of  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  can be expressed as  $\mathbb{N}$ -linear combinations of  $\left\{ \binom{X}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$ .
- $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  is an FFS with infinite elasticity.

**Example.** Consider the semidomain  $Int(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  whose elements we refer to as positive-real-valued polynomials.

Facts:

- $\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[x] : f \text{ has no positive real roots}\}.$
- $\mathcal{A}\left(\operatorname{Int}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\right)\right) = \{x + r : r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\} \cup \{(x c)(x \overline{c}) : c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}\}.$

**Example.** Consider the semidomain  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  whose elements we refer to as natural-valued polynomials.

Facts:

- $\mathcal{G}(Int(\mathbb{N}_0)) = Int(\mathbb{Z}).$
- Not all elements of  $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  can be expressed as  $\mathbb{N}$ -linear combinations of  $\left\{ \binom{X}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$ .
- $Int(\mathbb{N}_0)$  is an FFS with infinite elasticity.

**Example.** Consider the semidomain  $Int(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  whose elements we refer to as positive-real-valued polynomials.

Facts:

- $\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[x] : f \text{ has no positive real roots}\}.$
- $\mathcal{A}(\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})) = \{x + r : r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\} \cup \{(x c)(x \overline{c}) : c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}\}.$
- $Int(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  is a UFS.

- 1. F. Campanini and A. Facchini: *Factorizations of polynomials with integral non-negative coefficients*, Semigroup Forum **99** (2019) 317–332.
- 2. F. Gotti and H. Polo: *On the arithmetic of polynomial semidomains*, Forum Math. **35** (2023) 1179–1197.
- 3. D. R. Hayes: *A Goldbach theorem for polynomials with integral coefficients*, Amer. Math. Monthly **72** (1965) 45–46.
- 4. G. Effinger and D. R. Hayes: A complete solution to the polynomial 3-primes problem, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1991) 363–369.
- 5. S. Liao and H. Polo: A Goldbach theorem for Laurent polynomials with positive integer coefficients, Amer. Math. Monthly (to appear).



# Thank you!